## Exhibit 24

```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
 2
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
 4
      SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
      COMMISSION,
 5
                      Plaintiff,
 6
                                      ) 20-Civ-10832(AT)(SN)
               v.
 7
      RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY
      GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN
 8
      LARSEN,
 9
                     Defendants.
10
11
           **CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER**
12
13
14
                      VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
15
                  FRANK ALLEN FERRELL, III, Ph.D.
                   Wednesday, February 23, 2022
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
      Reported by:
      BRIDGET LOMBARDOZZI
24
      CSR, RMR, CRR, CLR
25
      Job No. 2202232BLO
```

```
2
 1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 3
      SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
 4
      COMMISSION,
 5
                      Plaintiff,
 6
                                      ) Case No.
                                      ) 20-Civ-10832(AT)(SN)
               v.
 7
      RIPPLE LABS, INC., BRADLEY
      GARLINGHOUSE, and CHRISTIAN
 8
      LARSEN,
 9
                     Defendants.
10
11
12
13
14
               Videotaped deposition of FRANK ALLEN FERRELL,
15
      III, taken on behalf of Plaintiff, held at the offices of
16
      Debevoise & Plimpton, 919 Third Avenue, New York, New
17
      York, commencing at 9:27 a.m. and ending at 6:49 p.m., on
18
      Wednesday, February 23, 2021, before Bridget Lombardozzi,
19
20
      CCR, RMR, CRR, CLR, and Notary Public of the States of
21
      New York and New Jersey, pursuant to notice.
22
23
24
25
```

```
3
 1
      A P P E A R A N C E S (Via Remote where indicated):
 2
 3
      For the Plaintiff:
 4
 5
 6
 7
              UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
              NEW YORK REGIONAL OFFICE
 8
              BY: MARK SYLVESTER, ESQUIRE
 9
                   ARTUR MINKIN, ESQUIRE
10
11
                   JON DANIELS, ESQUIRE
              200 Vesey Street
12
              Suite 400
13
              New York, New York 10281-1022
14
              Telephone: 212.336.1060
15
              Email: sylvesterm@sec.gov
16
                       minkina@sec.gov
17
                        jdaniels@sec.gov
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
4
      APPEARANCES (Continued):
 1
 2
      For Defendant Ripple Labs Inc.:
 3
               DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON LLP
               BY: SCOTT CARAVELLO, ESQUIRE (Remote)
 4
               919 Third Avenue
 5
               New York, New York 10022
 6
 7
               Telephone: 212.909.6000
               E-Mail: Scaravello@debevoise.com
 8
                           -and-
 9
10
               KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK PLLC
               BY: MICHAEL KELLOGG, ESQUIRE
11
12
                    REID FIGEL, ESQUIRE
13
                    JUSTIN BERG, ESQUIRE
14
                    ELIANA PFEFFER, ESQUIRE (Remote)
15
                    COLLIN WHITE, ESQUIRE (Remote)
16
               Sumner Square
17
               1615 M Street, N.W.
               Suite 400
18
               Washington, D.C. 20036
19
20
               Telephone: 202.326.7999
21
               E-mail: Mkellogg@kellogghansen.com
22
                        rfigel@kellogghansen.com
23
                        jberg@kellogghansen.com
24
                        epfeffer@kellogghansen.com
                        cwhite@kellogghansen.com
25
```

```
5
 1
      APPEARANCES (Continued):
 2
      For Defendant Bradley Garlinghouse:
 3
 4
               CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON
               BY: NICOLE TATZ, ESQUIRE (Remote)
 6
 7
               2112 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
               Washington, D.C. 20037
 8
               Telephone: 202.974.1500
 9
10
               E-mail: Ntatz@cgsh.com
11
12
      For Defendant Christian A. Larsen:
13
14
               PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
15
               By: SARAH PROSTKO, ESQUIRE (Remote)
16
                    MICHAEL GERTZMAN, ESQUIRE (Remote)
17
               1285 Avenue of the Americas
18
               New York, New York 10019-6064
19
20
               Telephone: 212.373.2491
               E-mail: sprostko@paulweiss.com
21
22
                        mgertzman@paulweiss.com
23
24
25
```

## Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 775-25 Filed 01/13/23 Page 7 of 376

```
6
 1
      ALSO PRESENT:
 2
                DEBORAH McCRIMMON, Ripple (Remote)
 3
                STELLA UVAYDOVA, SEC (Remote)
 4
                THOMAS DEVINE, Videographer
 5
                Shereck Video Service
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
7
 1
                             INDEX
 2
      WITNESS
                                              EXAMINATION
 3
      FRANK ALLEN FERRELL, III, Ph.D.
 4
         BY MR. SYLVESTER
                                                   11
 6
 7
 8
 9
                            EXHIBITS
10
      SEC - AF
      NUMBER
                           DESCRIPTION
                                                     PAGE
11
12
      Exhibit AF-1 Expert Report of
                                                      18
                   Allen Ferrell, Ph.D.
13
                   October 4, 2021
14
                   NO BATES, 133 pages
15
16
      Exhibit AF-2 Rebuttal Expert Report of
17
                                                   23
                   Allen Ferrell, Ph.D.
18
19
                   November 12, 2021
20
                   NO BATES, 52 pages
21
22
      Exhibit AF-6 Expert Rebuttal Report of
                                                     106
23
                        Ph.D.
                   November 12, 2021
24
25
                   NO BATES, 31 pages
```

## Case 1:20-cv-10832-AT-SN Document 775-25 Filed 01/13/23 Page 9 of 376

```
8
 1
                            EXHIBITS
 2
      SEC - AF
      NUMBER
                           DESCRIPTION
                                                    PAGE
 3
      Exhibit AF-21 Stata Program Linear
                                                       239
 4
                    Regression Runs for Exhibit 3
 5
 6
                    NO BATES, 1 page
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
9
1
                   DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX
2
3
      DIRECTION TO WITNESS NOT TO ANSWER
        Page Line
4
        58
5
        60
                 9
6
7
8
9
10
      STIPULATIONS
11
        Page Line
12
        11 15
13
14
15
      PORTION MARKED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
16
       Page Line
17
         - -none- -
18
19
     REQUEST FOR DOCUMENTS
20
21
        Page Line
22
         - -none- -
23
24
25
```

```
10
 1
 2
                           9:27 a.m.
 3
                      February 23, 2022
 4
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good
                morning. We're going on the record at
 6
 7
                9:27 a.m. on February 23rd, 2022.
                This is the videotaped deposition of
 8
                Allen Ferrell in the matter of
 9
10
                Securities and Ex -- Securities and
                Exchange Commission v. Ripple Labs,
11
12
                Inc., Bradley Garlinghouse and
13
                Christian Larsen, Case Number
                20-cv-10832 (AT)(SN).
14
15
                         This deposition is being held
                at the offices of Debevoise &
16
                Plimpton, 919 Third Avenue, New York,
17
                New York.
18
                         My name is Thomas Devine,
19
20
                certified legal video specialist with
21
                Gradillas Court Reporters located at
                400 N. Brand Boulevard, Suite 950,
22
23
                Glendale, California.
24
                         Appearances will be noted on
                the stenographic record.
25
```

```
11
                         Will the court reporter
 1
 2
               please swear in the witness and we may
 3
               proceed.
                         FRANK ALLEN
 4
               FERRELL, III, Ph.D., having been
                duly sworn, was examined and testified
 6
                as follows:
 7
 8
                         THE REPORTER: Thank you.
 9
                        You may proceed.
10
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Good morning
11
12
                         MR. KELLOGG: Before we
13
               begin, Mark --
14
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Sorry.
                         MR. KELLOGG: -- I'd like to
15
               put one thing on the record. Per
16
               prior practice, any objection by one
17
               defendant is considered an objection
18
               by all defendants.
19
20
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Sounds good.
21
      DIRECT-EXAMINATION
22
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
23
           Q. Good morning, Professor.
24
          A. Good morning.
               Could you please state your name for the
25
           Q.
```

```
12
 1
      record?
                My full name is Frank Allen Ferrell,
 2
           Α.
 3
      III.
                I'm Mark Sylvester. I'm an attorney
 4
           Q.
      with the SEC. I'm here with my colleagues from
      the SEC, Artur Minkin and Jon Daniels. A few of
 6
 7
      my colleagues are joining by Zoom as well.
                You've had your deposition taken before,
 8
      correct?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Is there anything that would
11
           Q.
12
      prevent you from testifying fully and truthfully
13
      here today?
14
           Α.
                No.
15
                Were you retained to provide expert
           Q.
      services in this case?
16
           Α.
17
                Yes.
                Who retained you?
18
           Q.
                I -- I was -- I worked with Kellogg
19
20
      Huber -- Kellogg and Debevoise. I believe the
21
      engagement letter's with them. I did the
22
      engagement letter through Compass Lexecon, so I
23
      would -- I would have to -- I would refer back to
24
      that engagement letter.
25
           Q. Are you also retained by the individual
```

```
13
 1
      defendants in this case?
                Again, I don't remember the engagement
 2
 3
      letters with the law firms or with the underlying
      clients. I would have to look at the engagement
 4
      letter. My understanding is I'm on the engagement
      letter with Compass Lexecon pursuant to which I
 6
 7
      performed my work.
                Do you know whether Paul Weiss or Cleary
 8
      are parties to that letter as well?
 9
10
           Α.
                I don't remember.
                Okay. Are you familiar with the term
11
           Q.
12
      "XRP"?
13
           Α.
                Yes.
14
           Ο.
                Are you familiar with the term "digital
      asset"?
15
           Α.
16
                Yes.
                Are you familiar with the term
17
      "investment contract"?
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Does "investment contract" have a
      generally accepted meaning in the field of
21
22
      economics?
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
                Calls for a legal conclusion.
25
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Actually,
```

```
14
                Counselor, I'm asking him to narrow
 1
                his answer to the field of economics.
 2
 3
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                So my question is, does "investment
 4
           Q.
      contract" have a generally accepted meaning in the
      field of economics?
 6
 7
                My understanding of the phrase
           Α.
      "investment contract" is it's a legal term.
 8
 9
           Q.
               Okay.
10
           A.
                It has a legal component to it.
                Again, focusing on the field of
11
           Q.
12
      economics, is there any authoritative economics
13
      text that defines investment contract to your
      knowledge?
14
15
                Same answer as before. That is the
      invest -- the phrase "investment contract" has a
      legal component to it. And just to be clear, I'm
17
      not providing an opinion on whether anything's an
18
      investment contract or not.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Whether or not the term has a legal
21
      component to it, are you aware of any
22
      authoritative economics text that defines
23
      investment contract?
24
           Α.
                None occurs to me sitting here. That
      is, the phrase "investment contract" -- and,
25
```

```
15
 1
      again, as used in this litigation and the
      complaint -- has a legal component to it.
 2
 3
           Q. Okay. Does it also have an economics
      component?
 4
                Well, I don't want -- there is --
      obviously "investment contract" is a reference to
 6
 7
      contracts, but it has a legal component to it and
      so I'm not opining on whether anything is an
 8
      investment contract or not.
 9
10
           Q.
                Okay.
                         MR. KELLOGG: I'm sorry to
11
12
                interrupt, but the people on Zoom said
13
                they can't see the witness.
14
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Let's go off
15
                the record if this takes more than a
16
                second.
                         MR. KELLOGG: A little more.
17
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: What
18
19
                should I do? Go off the record?
2.0
                         MR. SYLVESTER: No, if you
21
                just need to tilt the camera, that's
22
                fine. I just didn't know if it was
23
                going to be a longer correction.
24
                         MR. KELLOGG: That's good.
                Thank you.
25
```

BY MR. SYLVESTER:

- Q. In preparation of your expert opinions in this case, did you review any economics literature that discussed the economic characteristics of an investment contract?
  - A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of any economics
  literature that sets forth how to assess whether
  the economic substance of an asset or a
  transaction constitutes an investment contract?
- A. No. That's -- that would constitute in part a legal opinion.
- Q. Okay. When you say "that would constitute in part a legal opinion," can you explain what you mean?
- A. As I said before, the phrase "investment contract" is obviously a phrase that's used in -in the case law and in legal texts. And so my
  understanding of the phrase "investment contract"
  is that it has a legal -- it's a legal construct
  or a legal meaning to it. And I'm not opining on
  that question given it would involve a legal
  opinion.
- Q. Okay. So fair to say that the economics literature doesn't address the question of -- of

17 how to figure out what the economic substance of 1 an investment contract is? 2 3 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Phrased in that way, I agree with that 4 Α. statement. That is, "investment contract," as I understand that phrase, is a phrase that's used in 6 7 case law and in legal argumentation. So that would involve a legal opinion and I'm not 8 providing that. 9 10 Does the term "commonality" have a generally accepted meaning in the field of 11 economics? 12 13 I'm sure the phrase "commonality" is used in economics, but I -- I -- I'm not aware 14 of -- offhand, I don't have a citation for the 15 usage of the word "commonality." 16 When you used the word "commonality" in 17 Q. your expert report, are you referring to an 18 economics term or to one of the elements of the 19 20 Howey test? 21 You would have to -- you would have to refer me to my expert report to answer that 22 23 question fully. But if we're talking about 24 commonality as part of providing an opinion on investment contract status, I'm not providing that 25

```
18
 1
      opinion.
                Let's -- let me hand you what's been
 2
           Q.
 3
      marked AF-1. Let me try to hand that to you.
                (Whereupon, exhibit is received and
 4
      marked SEC Ferrell Exhibit AF-1 for
 5
      identification.)
 6
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
7
 8
           Q.
                There you go.
           A.
                Thank you.
9
10
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Michael, I'll
                just ask you to pass these out if
11
12
                that's all right.
13
                         MR. KELLOGG: Thank you.
                         THE WITNESS: Oh, she --
14
15
                the -- the court reporter wants a
16
                copy, too.
                         THE REPORTER: Thank you.
17
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
18
                If I could just direct you, Professor,
19
20
      to the -- well, let me start with authentication.
21
                Do you recognize AF-1?
22
           A.
                I do.
23
                Okay. And is this the expert report
24
      that you prepared in this case, or one of them?
25
           Α.
                Yes.
```

```
19
                Okay. Let me turn you to romanette III
 1
      of the table of contents of AF-1.
 2
 3
           Α.
                Romanette III?
                Yes. Near the bottom -- bottom of the
 4
           Ο.
      page, there's a Section F, "Economic Assertions
 5
      for Commonality are Fundamentally Flawed."
 6
 7
                Do you see that?
           Α.
                I don't see where you're looking at.
 8
      Can you -- what -- can you repeat where you're --
 9
10
      what part you're looking at?
           Ο.
                Sure.
11
12
                Are you on Romanette III?
13
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Do you see the bolded "XRP is a
14
15
      Virtual Currency" at the very bottom of the page?
           Α.
                Yes, I do.
16
                Immediately above that there's a
17
      subsection title, Section F, "Economic Assertions
18
      for Commonality are Fundamentally Flawed."
19
2.0
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. So my question is, when you used
21
22
      "commonality" in that title, were you referencing
23
      an economic concept or were you referencing an
24
      element of the Howey test?
25
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

20

```
Α.
         I'm referencing my discussion on pages
67, 68, 69 and 70. So that's what I'm referencing
there. And just give me one second here.
          Yeah. And in that discussion that's
being referenced in that bullet or in that table
of contents, I, in part, reference back to my
analysis in Section II where I get into the
economic substance of the -- of the contracts.
          So I would just reference the actual
content of that discussion on pages 67 through
page 70.
     Ο.
         What is the definition of commonality as
used in the -- as used in Subsection F of your
opening report?
                   MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
```

A. It's really the discussion I have in that section of the report in terms of what I'm talking about. So, for example, in paragraph 141, I mention there's no pooling of the funds.

Paragraph 142, I talk about the contracts. I also talk about my factor model.

So it's really a reference to these economic points that I'm making in that section.

Q. Returning to my question about the economics literature, as used in your Subsection

```
21
      F, is "commonality" a generally accepted term in
 1
      economics literature?
 2
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                So what I'm referencing here in the
 4
           Α.
      beginning of paragraph 140 is -- and I cite to the
 5
      complaint -- is the economic theory by the SEC as
 6
 7
      articulated in the complaint. So the first
 8
      sentence in this Section F is I am citing to the
      complaint and then I'm discussing thereafter, as I
 9
10
      explained at the end of paragraph 140, the
      economic analysis.
11
12
                So, again, Subsection F is the economic
13
      theory articulated in the complaint and then the
      economic analysis that I reference and discuss in
14
15
      paragraphs 141 through paragraph 145.
                Okay. I think you've answered, as far
16
           Ο.
      as I understand it, how you're using commonality
17
      for purposes of AF-1, is that right?
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
19
2.0
           Α.
                I -- I -- I just discuss what I'm
21
      actually doing in paragraph F -- I'm sorry, in
22
      Section F. So Section F is called "Economic
23
      Assertions for Commonality are Fundamentally
24
      Flawed." And it is correct that in paragraph 140,
      I cite to the complaint for the articulation of
25
```

```
22
      the economic theory by the SEC in the complaint.
 1
      So I am working off of that.
 2
 3
                As you see at the end of paragraph 140,
      I then say "As I explain below, the SEC's claims"
 4
      -- that is the claims about the economic theory --
      "are flawed as a matter of economic substance."
 6
                So that -- that's what this section is
 7
      doing. That's what I'm referring to when I say
 8
      "Economic Assertions for Commonality are
 9
10
      Fundamentally Flawed."
                And did you cite any economics
11
12
      literature in your report for the definition of
13
      commonality?
14
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
15
                I -- all the citations I use are in the
      report. We can talk about the citations. But for
16
      purposes of this section, I am focused in the
17
      beginning, in paragraph 140, for the economic
18
      theory as articulated by the SEC in its complaint.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Sitting here today, can you think of any
      economics literature that defines the term
21
      "commonality"?
22
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
           Α.
                I don't -- I don't have a view on that.
      When I'm discussing in this section the economic
25
```

```
23
      assertions for commonality, I'm talking about the
 1
      economic assertions in the complaint.
 2
 3
           O. Is --
                I'm sorry. Just to -- just to elaborate
 4
      one second. I didn't mean to interrupt.
           Ο.
                Please.
 6
 7
                So just to be a little more specific,
           Α.
      the first three footnotes in Subsection F that
 8
      we've been discussing -- Footnotes 243, Footnotes
 9
10
      244, Footnotes -- Footnote 245 -- are all
      citations to the complaint. Again, apropos of my
11
12
      earlier answer that I'm dealing here with the
13
      economic assertions for commonality as articulated
      in the SEC complaint.
14
15
               And as used in the SEC complaint,
      commonality is one of the elements of Howey,
16
      right?
17
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
                That calls for a legal conclusion. I --
19
20
      I have read the complaint and it is certainly the
      case that the complaint does talk about
21
      commonality.
22
23
                (Whereupon, exhibit is received and
24
      marked SEC Ferrell Exhibit AF-2 for
      identification.)
25
```

24 BY MR. SYLVESTER: 1 2 Let me hand you what's been marked AF-2. 3 There we go. Α. Thank you. 4 Q. Professor, is AF-2 the expert rebuttal report you submitted in this case? 6 7 Α. Yes. Q. Okay. Are all of the expert opinions 8 that you intend to offer in this case included 9 10 within either AF-1 or AF-2? I don't know what counsel's going to ask 11 12 me to opine on. I will say in addition to the 13 rebuttal report and the opening report, I have reviewed the rebuttal reports by Dr. and 14 by Dr. and Mr. And I certainly have 15 views on that. So I would include in my answer, 16 in terms of my work, my review and assessment as 17 it relates to me of those opposing expert reports. 18 Q. Do you intend to submit a supplemental 19 20 expert report in this case? 21 Sitting here today, I have not been 22 asked to do that. 23 Q. Other than the rebuttal reports of Dr. Dr. and Mr. have you read 24 any other expert reports in this case? 25

25 I did review -- I did read, albeit 1 not -- albeit it's not a focal point, I did read 2 the initial report of Dr. Obviously I 3 did because I -- I discuss that in my rebuttal. I 4 also read the initial report of Dr. Okay. And you did not provide a 6 7 rebuttal opinion to Dr. opening report, 8 correct? Α. That is correct. Ο. 10 Why not? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 11 12 Α. It wasn't my assignment. It was not 13 work that I was asked to do. Did you review Dr. work papers 14 15 related to the analysis he performed in connection with his opening report? 16 I don't have a recollection of that. 17 Α. I -- I focused -- again, just to be clear on the 18 record, I did read Dr. opening report, but 19 2.0 I focused on his rebuttal. And sitting here today, you can't 21 22 remember one way or the other whether you reviewed 23 work papers that he prepared in 24 connection with his opening report?

I believe the answer to that is no.

25

Α.

- Q. Okay. Did you perform any analysis of Dr. analysis that he set forth in his opening report?
- A. No. It's not -- it was not a focal point. I focused on -- in terms of Dr. and what he says, I focused on his rebuttal.
- Q. Did you review Dr. work papers that he prepared in connection with his rebuttal report?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Did you perform any analysis of Dr. analysis that he performed in connection with his rebuttal report?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. What analysis did you perform?
- A. So one of the things I did with respect to the rebuttal report of Dr. is -- two things. One is, he critiques me for using one return for THC -- I forget the exact date -- the THC crypto. So this is one out of approximately 6,700 returns, roughly speaking, that I used in the PCA analysis. He critiques the usage of that one return.

 $\,$  And so I simply reran the model not using that particular return and not using THC to

```
27
 1
      see if my conclusions are robust to that and I
      found that they were. It doesn't affect anything.
 2
 3
                The second thing I did with respect to
          in addition to obviously carefully
 4
      reviewing what he says, is I calculated the
      alphas -- not the change in alpha, but the
 6
      alphas -- in his Figure 17, that last page of his
 7
 8
      report, and whether or not they were statistically
      significant at the 5 percent level.
 9
10
                So I believe those are the two
      additional things that I did in addition to just
11
12
     carefully reading what he had to say with respect
13
     to Dr.
               And -- and just for the record, you
14
15
     don't intend to submit any supplemental report
     reflecting any analysis that you conducted
16
     regarding Dr. analysis in his rebuttal
17
     report, correct?
18
                I have not been asked by counsel to
19
20
      submit a supplemental report --
           Q. Okay.
21
               -- sitting here today.
22
23
               Other than the deposition transcripts
24
     that are listed in your AF-1, have you reviewed
     any other deposition testimony in this case?
```

A. No. All the deposition testimony is listed in the materials I listed in the report.

- Q. Okay. Other than the rebuttal of

  Dr. opening report that is set forth in

  your AF-2, are there any other expert opinions

  that you're rebutting in this case?
- A. Yes. Doc -- just to be clear, I did

  review Dr. -- Mr. critique of my

  opening report. And so I obviously reviewed that

  discussion by Mr. as well.
- Q. Okay. With respect to your critiques of Mr. sitting here today, you don't intend to crystallize those into a supplemental report that you'll be submitting, correct?
- A. Same answer as before. I've not --sitting here today, I have not been asked by counsel to prepare a supplemental report.
- Q. Okay. Have you performed any additional analysis pertaining to any of the opinions that you offered in Exhibit 1 since October 4th of 2021 other than anything that might have been encompassed by your previous answers here today?
  - A. Exhibit 1, is that my opening report?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

O. It is.

29 Α. Okay. So could you repeat the question? 1 2 Q. Sure. Other than any analysis we've already 3 discussed today, have you performed any additional 4 analysis regarding the opinions that you've set forth in AF-1? 6 7 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Α. So I did -- so we talked about Dr. 8 We talked -- and my analyses there of his 9 10 rebuttal. I did -- as I did with Dr. in his rebuttal -- take a careful look at Dr. 11 12 critique of my work, as well as Dr. 13 So I would encompass in my answer my review and assessment not just of Dr. 14 15 rebuttal, but those additional rebuttal reports which obviously speak to and concern the issues 16 that I discuss in AF-1. 17 Did you do any additional analysis 18 outside of what you just described in your last 19 2.0 answer? 21 Α. Yes. What? 22 Q. 23 So just -- just to be clear. So earlier 24 we discussed my work in discussing Dr.

rebuttal.

With respect to Dr. -- or Mr. the 1 one addition -- besides obviously reading and 2 3 carefully considering what he had to say, the additional work I did with respect to that 4 rebuttal report, or his critique of my opening report, is I did look at non-MoneyGram remittance 6 7 data for 2020 in connection with reviewing -- Mr. critique of my work. 8 With respect to Dr. and his 9 10 critique, I did a couple additional analyses. One is, my understanding is that he critiques me for 11 12 including -- as a distribution to a non-Ripple 13 party, he critiques my inclusion in that type of 14 distribution the distribution of XRP to custody 15 accounts. 16 I don't agree with that critique, but, nevertheless, I also analyzed whether any of my 17 results, the results I report in my opening 18 report, were changed if you just look at 19 20 distributions that are programmatic, market maker, 21 or -- or sales on to exchanges to see if it's robust to confining the distribution analysis to 22 23 those distributions. And I found that it doesn't 24 make any difference to my conclusions. So that's one thing that I did with Dr. 25

```
I should say, also, with Dr.
 1
      before the filing of my report, the report in
 2
      AF-1, I had run the -- the -- I had run the
 3
      distributions both in U.S. dollars and in XRP
 4
      units. Obviously I went with U.S. dollars in my
      denomination, but I'd already known prior to the
 6
 7
      filing of my report, the report in AF-1, that that
 8
      doesn't make a difference. It's obviously one of
      his critiques.
 9
10
                Another comment along these lines is
      before the filing of my report as -- you know, the
11
12
      report in AF-1, I had also run the factor model on
13
      weekly returns, on 30-day fixed -- you know,
      30-day fixed period returns, and on the calendar
14
15
      monthly returns.
16
                Now, as I explain in my report, I felt,
      and still feel, that 28 days is the best level of
17
      frequency for the factor model, but prior to the
18
      filing of the report, I knew that it was robust.
19
2.0
      It was -- the results of the factor model, the
21
      results of whether the alpha is statistically
      significant, were robust to weekly, 30-day, or
22
23
      calendar. So I know that's something that
24
      Dr. mentions in his rebuttal and so I
      mention it -- mention that fact here.
25
```

```
One other thing I wanted to say. So
 1
                 distribution analysis; his critique
 2
 3
     of the frequency of the data. Let me just think
     here, make sure I give a complete answer.
 4
               Oh, one other thing I should mention as
      long as we're having this conversation about all
 6
 7
     of the work I've done, including prior to the
      filing, is prior to the filing of the report in
 8
     AF-1, I had also ran the factor model just on the
 9
10
     CoinMarket return data. And that -- that
     didn't -- I -- I used CryptoCompare for the
11
12
     reasons I describe in the report, and I think
13
     that's the right choice, but I had run the model
14
     on just the CoinMarket price return data and that
15
     didn't change anything.
               Let me just think. Anything else in
16
17
     Dr. ?
                So I know that Dr. critiques the
18
     use of this -- the square root price impact model.
19
20
     And, you know, I -- I do have comments on that.
21
     Obviously that's something I didn't discuss beyond
22
     the footnote with the academic citations that I
23
     have for that, but I certainly have reactions to
24
     his critique of the use of that -- that square
     root price impact model.
25
```

```
Just give me one more second to think
 1
      here if there's anything else. I think that's a
 2
      pretty complete list. Let me just think if
 3
      there's anything else. Give me a moment if you
 4
      could.
           Ο.
                Sure.
 6
 7
                It might be helpful to see Dr.
           Α.
      rebuttal. I'm just trying to think if there's
 8
      anything else in Dr.
 9
                Oh, I remember now. I was searching for
10
      it and now I remembered.
11
12
                The other thing that I did after -- this
13
      is after the filing of his rebuttal report, just
      to be clear. The last thing that I did in terms
14
      of that work is I recalculated the 1.6 percent
15
      price impact number -- let me be a little clearer.
16
      The potential price impact number of 1.6 percent,
17
      I recalculated that using just top-tier exchanges
18
      as identified by CryptoCompare and using just that
19
2.0
      volume. And it changes the number using that
21
      formula from 1.6 to 2 percent.
                I believe that's a complete answer to
22
23
      your -- your question.
24
           Q.
                Returning to the portion of your answer
      where you described running your factor model on
25
```

```
34
 1
      weekly returns and 30-day fixed returns, did you
      perform that analysis prior to submitting AF-1?
 2
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
                Is that analysis described anywhere in
 4
      AF-1?
                No. I -- and the reason being -- maybe
 6
 7
      I'm going beyond your question -- is I felt,
      and -- and feel -- my opinion is that 28 days is
 8
      the -- is the best definition, the best return
 9
10
      series to use.
                So I would rely on the 28-day period
11
12
      that I use for the reasons given in my report.
13
      I'm just noting that the criticism by, I believe,
           of I should have used different
14
15
      frequency data -- again, a criticism I don't agree
      with -- but it doesn't make a difference.
16
17
           Q.
                And did you include within the backup
      materials that you provided for production to the
18
      SEC any of your analysis regarding your -- running
19
20
      your factor model on weekly returns and 30-day
21
      fixed returns in connection with your opening
22
      report?
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
           Α.
                I don't know offhand. What I relied
      upon in AF-1 is -- is the -- and what I report is
25
```

- the 28-day returns. I don't -- but going directly to your question, I don't know offhand.
  - Q. Okay. You also mentioned, if I understood your testimony correctly, that you also ran your factor model using just CoinMarketCap data prior to submitting AF-1, is that right?
  - A. That's correct. So when I say "CoinMarketCap data," I mean the prices in CoinMarket, yes.
  - Q. Okay. When you say "CoinMarket" and I'm saying "CoinMarketCap," are we talking about the same thing or no?
    - A. I believe we are.

Q. Okay. And does AF-1 mention anywhere that you ran your factor model using only CoinMarketCap data?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I do not believe it does because the return series that I used was the best return series, in my opinion, which was the combination of CoinMarketCap and CryptoCompare. That's consistent with the academic literature in my view.
- Q. And sitting here today, do you know whether the backup materials that you prepared in

```
36
      connection with your opening report, AF-1,
 1
      contains your analysis in which you ran your
 2
 3
      factor model on CoinMarketCap data only?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 4
                Same answer as before. I don't know
           Α.
      offhand. Obviously what I relied upon in my AF-1
 6
 7
      report is -- is the return series that's described
      in that report.
 8
                Focusing just on your preparation of
 9
10
      AF-1, is there any other category of analysis that
      you performed in connection with forming your
11
12
      opinions that are set forth in AF-1 that is not
13
      described in AF-1?
14
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
15
                I believe I've -- I've -- I've
      mentioned -- I think our earlier discussion
16
17
      encapsulates that -- that other work.
                Okay. When were you retained to provide
18
      expert services in this case?
19
2.0
           Α.
                I don't have an exact date.
                                             T know
21
      that -- I believe that I started work early last
      year. I believe February of last year is when I
22
23
      started doing work. I don't know the exact date
24
      of the engagement letter, but that's my best
      recollection.
25
```

Were you retained to provide expert 1 services related to this case prior to your 2 3 retention as an expert witness in this case? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 4 Could you repeat the question? Α. Q. Sure. 6 7 Were you retained to provide expert services in this case prior to your retention as 8 an expert witness in this case? 9 10 My -- my understanding from the beginning was I was going to be an expert. I have 11 12 no memory of it changing in any way. So my 13 understanding was from -- from the beginning is I would be hired as an expert. 14 When you say the word "expert" in that 15 answer, do you mean a testifying expert? 16 Α. 17 Yes. 18 Q. Okay. That was my understanding. 19 Α. 20 Q. Prior to your retention as an expert witness in this case, did you know anything about 21 Ripple? 22 23 Α. I had read about it in general market 24 commentary. What did you know about Ripple prior to 25 Q.

```
38
 1
      your retention?
                Well, at a high level, it's a crypto
 2
      asset. It involves blockchain. It was one of the
 3
      larger cryptocurrencies earlier in time. I do
 4
      remember reading about the filing of the SEC
      lawsuit that's at issue here. So at that level of
 6
 7
      generality.
                Prior to your retention as an expert in
 8
      this case, had you ever met Mr. Garlinghouse?
 9
10
           Α.
                No.
                Prior to your retention, had you ever
11
12
      met Mr. Larsen?
13
           Α.
                No.
                Prior to your retention, had you ever
14
15
      met anyone who worked at Ripple to your knowledge?
           Α.
16
                No.
                Prior to your retention, had you ever
17
      met any of the lawyers representing defendants in
18
      this case?
19
2.0
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
21
                         You can answer yes or no.
                I have a bad memory for this sort of
22
23
      thing. It's entirely -- entirely possible, but I
24
      don't have a recollection.
           Q. Did -- go ahead. Sorry.
25
```

- A. I do want to be clear that I'm really terrible at mem -- at remembering this sort of thing. I don't want to offend anybody. I don't have a recollection.
  - Q. Prior to your retention in this case, had you ever been retained as an expert witness by Kellogg?
  - Memory of that. I am involved in cases where there's a number of firms. It's possible that Kellogg was, you know, involved because that often happens. But I don't -- answering your question, I don't have a memory sitting here right now of being retained by Kellogg in other matters. It's possible, but I don't have a recollection sitting here.
  - Q. Prior to your retention, had you ever been retained as an expert witness by Debevoise & Plimpton?
    - A. Yes.

2.0

- Q. How many times?
- A. Again, I'm going to be very cautious

  here. I -- I don't have a clear recollection.

  I -- I believe it's several times prior to this

engagement that I've been retained by Debevoise.

```
40
      I want to say two, but it could easily be more
 1
      than that. But I want to say something like one
 2
 3
      or two times is my best recollection sitting here.
      Again, with the very important caveat that, you
 4
      know, I've -- I work with a lot of law firms and,
      you know, I don't have a list in my mind of the
 6
      number of times I've worked with this or that
 7
      firm.
 8
                But the answer to your question is, yes,
 9
10
      I have been retained by Debevoise before.
                When was your most recent retention as
11
12
      an expert witness by Debevoise?
13
           Α.
                Putting aside this matter, I do remember
14
      doing a 10b-5 matter with Debevoise. I believe
15
      this is a few years ago. That's my best
      recollection. It's possible that I've done a case
16
      since then. I would have to double-check. I know
17
      I've worked with Debevoise in the past.
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: I'd like to
19
2.0
                direct the witness not to discuss the
21
                nature of any prior representation.
22
                         THE WITNESS: Okay.
23
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
24
           Q. Okay. Prior to your retention in this
      case, had you read the SEC's complaint against
25
```

```
41
 1
      Ripple?
 2
           Α.
                I read it around the time of my
 3
      engagement. I might have read it contemporaneous
      with -- with the engagement. I don't know the
 4
      exact timing of that.
                Prior to your engagement, I believe you
 6
 7
      testified that you had read about the SEC's case
      against Ripple, is that right?
 8
           A. Yeah, as we -- sorry, I didn't mean to
 9
10
      interrupt.
           O. Go ahead.
11
12
           Α.
                Yeah. So I -- I -- I have a
13
      recollection of it being reported upon in the
      press. I don't have a -- I don't -- don't have a
14
      recollection of -- of -- I don't have a
15
      recollection of reading it -- I believe that's in
16
      December of 2020. I think that I read it after
17
      that point. So my memory is the complaint was
18
      filed in December of 2020 and I read it -- I don't
19
      think I read it in December, you know.
20
21
                I guess I want to leave this open. I --
      I read it somewhere in the December-February time
22
23
      frame, if I -- if my memory of the -- of the
24
      timing is correct.
           Q. And just so the record is clear, are we
25
```

```
42
 1
      talking about the complaint or about press
      articles about the lawsuit?
 2
                Well, we -- we have two different
 3
           Α.
      questions now lingering. One question is, when
 4
      did I read the complaint? And with respect to
      that question, it's somewhere in the
 6
 7
      December-February time frame. I don't have a
      specific recollection of exactly when I read the
 8
      complaint.
 9
10
                The second question that's out there is,
      when did I read about the SEC filing a complaint?
11
12
      My memory, my best recollection, is I do recall
13
      reading in the press that the SEC had filed a
      lawsuit, is my best recollection.
14
15
                And with respect to reading in the press
      about the SEC's lawsuit against Ripple, when did
16
      that occur?
17
                Same time period. Somewhere in the
18
      December-February time period. If I'm remembering
19
20
      correctly when this all transpired, which I
      believe was December of 2020. That's -- that's my
21
22
      best recollection.
23
                It -- it's fair to say, Professor, that
24
      you were familiar with the Howey case prior to
      your engagement in this case?
25
```

```
43
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 1
                That's a fair statement.
 2
           Α.
 3
           Q.
                Okay. Again in the period prior to your
      retention, what was your opinion, if you had one,
 4
      about whether the SEC's allegations, if proved,
      would demonstrate a violation of its securities
 6
 7
      laws?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
 8
                calls for a legal conclusion.
 9
10
                Yeah, that calls for a legal conclusion.
      I'm not providing an opinion on that.
11
12
           Q.
                Perfectly understood. But I think we've
13
      established that you understood the Howey test
      prior to your retention and that you at least may
14
15
      have read the complaint or a press article about
      the complaint prior to your retention.
16
                So I'm asking if you formed any opinion
17
      based on what you'd read --
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
19
2.0
                calls for a legal conclusion.
21
                         MR. SYLVESTER: I haven't
                finished my question, Counselor.
22
23
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
24
                I'm focusing just on the period prior to
      your retention.
25
```

Based on anything that you read about the case or the complaint, did you form an opinion about whether or not the SEC's allegations, if proved, constitute a violation of securities laws?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

2.0

- A. Well, I would not form a legal conclusion without having read the actual complaint. So the answer to that question is certainly no. And, you know, in terms of reading the complaint, obviously I have views about the economic theory and assertions in the complaint, but I have not formed a legal opinion as to how the judge should rule here. So I'm not -- not providing that opinion.
- Q. Again, I'm just focusing on the period prior to your retention in this case.

Did you have any views about the economic theories or assertions in the complaint prior to your retention as an expert?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So, again, my memory reading the complaint is -- is in that time frame. So I don't remember whether it is at the same time as the engagement or it was a month, you know, a week earlier, but it's in that time frame that I read

```
45
 1
      the complaint.
                As I said before, I did read general
 2
 3
      descriptions in the press of the SEC's theory, but
      I -- you know, quite frankly, I would not form a
 4
      legal conclusion, even if I was asked to do so,
      based on secondhand reporting on legal matters.
 6
 7
                When you read the complaint, did you
           Ο.
      form an opinion about whether the facts alleged,
 8
      if proved, would demonstrate a violation of the
 9
10
      securities laws?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
11
12
                calls for a legal conclusion.
13
           Α.
                I don't have a view on that.
14
           Ο.
                I'm not sure what that answer means.
15
                Does that mean no or you don't recall or
      something else?
16
                I don't have a -- okay. I -- I am
17
      not -- I'm not providing and I don't have a legal
18
      analysis of all the legal issues that relate to
19
20
      this dispute; that is, whether, in fact, this
21
      constitutes a security as defined by the
22
      securities laws. That's -- obviously very capable
23
      counsel and -- and the judge and -- and the legal
24
      system will decide that. I'm not providing an
      opinion and I haven't formed a legal opinion on
25
```

```
46
 1
      that.
                What was it that you read in the press
 2
      about the SEC's case against Ripple prior to your
 3
      retention?
 4
                I don't -- I don't have a specific
      recollection. I -- I -- I read a lot of press and
 6
 7
      I don't -- I don't -- I do have a generalized
      recollection of reading about it, but I'm not
 8
      going to be able to give you more particulars.
 9
10
           Ο.
                Do you own XRP?
           Α.
11
                No.
12
           Q.
                Have you ever owned XRP?
13
           Α.
                No.
                Sitting here today, do you have any
14
           Ο.
15
      plans to acquire XRP?
           A.
16
                No.
                Are you charging a fee for your expert
17
      services in this case?
18
           Α.
                Yes.
19
20
           Q.
                How much is your fee?
21
                So my expert fee is 1,250 at the time
           Α.
22
      that I was retained. So that part of my
23
      compensation is my hourly rate. Just to have a
24
      complete answer for the record, another component
      of my compensation is I get a percentage of junior
25
```

```
47
 1
      people at Compass Lexecon that work pursuant to my
      instructions and supervision.
 2
 3
                So an example of that would be somebody
      put -- puts together binders and sends that to me.
 4
      So junior people at Compass Lexecon working in
      this matter under my instructions and supervision,
 6
      I do get compensation based on that as well as my
 7
      hourly rate.
 8
                Is the compensation that you just
 9
10
      referenced a percentage of your billables?
                Yes, but with the important
11
12
      qualification that it's not the billables at
13
      large. It's junior staff at Compass Lexecon for
14
      which this would apply.
15
                What's that percentage?
           Q.
                So I do have a confidential contract
16
           Α.
      with Compass Lexecon. I -- it's -- I just want to
17
      make sure I'm not violating any confidentiality.
18
19
                         MR. FIGEL: Give us just a
2.0
                second.
21
                          (Pause)
22
                         MR. KELLOGG: So if your
23
                agreement with Compass is that it's
24
                confidential, then you should not
                reveal it.
25
```

48 THE WITNESS: Okay. 1 BY MR. SYLVESTER: 2 3 Q. Okay. So just for purposes of the record, you're declining to answer my question 4 based on a preexisting confidentiality agreement with a private party? 6 7 So my understanding, sitting here today, Α. is that my agreement with Compass Lexecon is 8 confidential. That's my understanding sitting 9 10 here today. And so the answer to your question would be yes. But I do, to reiterate, get a 11 12 percentage of the junior staff working pursuant to 13 my instruction and supervision in this matter. MR. KELLOGG: To make it 14 15 clear, we do not represent Compass Lexecon so we're not forming a legal 16 opinion about the nature of the 17 contract. 18 MR. SYLVESTER: Understood. 19 2.0 THE WITNESS: And I --21 maybe -- so I want to make clear that's my understanding of the nature 22 23 of the agreement sitting here today, that it's confidential. I -- I can 24 certainly confirm or disconfirm that 25

```
49
 1
                my understanding is correct or
 2
                incorrect.
 3
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                How much have you billed for your
 4
           Q.
      services in this matter so far?
                So I haven't added up the number of
 6
 7
      hours, but it is substantial. So it's several
      hundred hours over this period since I've been
 8
      engaged, so -- but I had not added up the hours.
 9
10
           Ο.
                Is the seven -- strike that.
                Is the several hundred hours just your
11
12
      time or you plus any staff assisting you?
13
           Α.
                So my answer to your earlier question is
14
      just my time.
15
           Q.
                Okay.
                So it would be multiple of, you know,
16
      several -- I know "several" has a lack of -- you
17
      know, it's -- it's not a specific number, but it
18
      is a significant amount of time, my personal
19
2.0
      time.
                And do you have a sense of how many
21
           Ο.
      hours any staff working with you in connection
22
23
      with this matter have billed?
24
           Α.
                I do not, no.
                Okay. Would Compass Lexecon have those
25
           Ο.
```

```
50
 1
      records?
           Α.
                I would assume so.
 2
                Okay. And would Compass Lexecon have
 3
           Q.
      precise records of how many hours you've billed in
 4
      this matter?
                I'm not privy to their recordkeeping
 6
      system. I would assume so but that -- that's just
 7
 8
      an assumption.
                Have you received any compensation for
 9
10
      your work in this case in XRP?
           Α.
11
                No.
12
                Do you have any plans to receive XRP as
13
      compensation for your expert services in this
14
      case?
15
           Α.
                No.
                Did others assist you with providing
16
      your expert services in this case?
17
           Α.
                Yes.
18
           Q.
                Who?
19
20
           Α.
                So I interacted with -- I'm just going
      to spell her name -- A-N-D-R-I-A, Andria,
21
22
      van der Merwe. And she is the senior person at
23
      Compass Lexecon with whom I interfaced.
24
           Q.
                What's her title?
                Executive vice president or senior --
25
           A.
```

```
51
      I -- I forget her exact title, but she's a -- a
 1
 2
      senior person at Compass Lexecon with whom I've
 3
      worked in the past with.
           Q.
                Is there anyone --
 4
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,
                Counsel. Your -- your mic is sort of
 6
 7
                rubbing against your shirt. Sorry for
                the interruption.
 8
 9
                         MR. SYLVESTER: No problem.
10
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                Is there anyone else who assisted you
11
12
      with providing your expert services in this case?
13
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection on
14
                work product grounds.
15
                         Do not discuss any
16
                conversations with attorneys.
17
                         THE WITNESS: Okay.
                So my understanding is that she --
18
      this is the person at Compass Lexecon -- did have
19
2.0
      assistance from Compass Lexecon staff in terms of
21
      work product or analysis. But my interactions
      were through her, or with her, in terms of -- of
22
23
      my instructions and supervision and so forth.
24
           Q.
                Who are the assistants that
      Ms. van der Merwe interacted with in connection
25
```

```
with the preparation of your expert report?
```

2.0

- A. So I don't have a list off the top of my head. So I know there was junior staff that were doing different tasks, but I interfaced with her.
- Q. Did you ever directly communicate with any of the junior staff that were doing different tasks in connection with preparation of your expert opinion?
- A. So my memory sitting here today is just

  -- my best recollection in terms of Compass

  Lexecon staff is one other person as -- as best I

  can remember. Ron -- I'm going to mispronounce

  his last name -- Lewon -- Lewinski, Lewonski.

  Ronald Lewonski. Some -- something along those

  lines.

So in addition with -- to interfacing with her, that's the one other person that I can recall sitting here today.

- Q. What is Mr. Lewonski's role at Compass Lexecon?
  - A. So he's a -- was a statistician.
  - Q. He's no longer with the company?
- A. My memory is that he moved to another company to do something -- some statistical stuff with another company. I -- was it Amazon or -- I

forget which company.

- Q. What assistance did Mr. Lewonski provide with your expert opinions?
- A. So, again, I interfaced with that -that one person at Lexecon. He did join in a
  conversation about the factor model. So it was
  that one conversation where I do remember he -- he
  talked.
- Q. Did he have any assistance in designing your factor model?
- A. Well, the factor model was my -- my instructions and I'm the one that provided instructions on exactly what I wanted done and how -- how it was to be done.
- Q. How did Ms. van der Merwe assist in your expert services in this case?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So she helped -- you know, a couple different buckets. She helped put together binders of materials for me; kept the flow of materials organized. She -- through her, interfacing with her, there was a lot of data work, merging of data and so forth. And I used Compass Lexecon pursuant to my instructions for that.

54 So, yeah, it was really -- I worked 1 closely with her in preparing the analyses and the 2 end result of my reports reflected in AF-1 and 3 AF-2. 4 What was the role of the junior assistants at Compass Lexecon with whom you did 6 7 not directly communicate in preparation of your expert opinions in this case? 8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 9 10 So -- so I don't have a breakdown of who did what. I interfaced with this person. I know 11 12 that she had assistants. But, again, it was 13 pursuant to my instructions and -- and supervision 14 about the ultimate work product. 15 Was Ms. van der Merwe responsible for the merging of price data between CoinMarketCap 16 and CryptoCompare? 17 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 18 So you used the word "responsible." At 19 20 the end of the day, I'm responsible. She did 21 assist in the merging of the data pursuant to my 22 instructions and supervision. 23 Do you know how much -- strike that. 24 Are Ms. van der Merwe and Mr. Lowanski also charging, through Compass Lexecon, defendants 25

```
55
 1
      for their services?
                I have no personal knowledge of that.
 2
 3
      assume so, but I have no personal knowledge.
                Do you know what their billing rates
 4
           Q.
      are?
           Α.
                No.
 6
 7
                Did anyone at Compass Lexecon provide
           Ο.
      any comments to drafts of AF-1?
 8
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 9
10
                The comments or the -- the interactions
      were -- I certainly discussed with Compass Lexecon
11
12
      what I wanted done. I certainly had their
13
      assistance. In terms of the draft itself, my
      memory of the draft was really reflecting the work
14
15
      product. So I think the discussions, the
      thinking, the analysis, occurred before the final
16
      production of the report. So I certainly had
17
      those conversations.
18
                Who wrote the first draft of AF-1?
19
           Q.
2.0
           Α.
                I did.
21
           Q.
                Okay.
                But I -- I did have the assistance of
22
23
      Compass Lexecon. So, for example, some -- the
24
      exhibits were produced pursuant to my instructions
      and supervision by Compass Lexecon primarily
25
```

```
56
 1
      because we would still be waiting -- waiting for
      the report if I were to do these exhibits in a --
 2
 3
      in a clean and, you know, properly formatted way.
                So I did have the assistance of Compass
 4
      Lexecon, but the first draft was mine.
 5
                Okay. Who are all the individuals at
 6
 7
      Compass Lexecon who contributed in any way to the
      drafting of your report?
 8
 9
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
10
                mischaracterizes the testimony.
                So -- so my interactions with Compass
11
12
      Lexecon in terms of the draft is -- is what I
13
      described earlier. I did the first draft. I did
14
      have the assistance of Compass Lexecon; they
15
      helped put together the exhibits pursuant to my
      instructions and supervision. And obviously, you
16
      know, that was, you know, something that, as I
17
      worked on the draft, I continued to have the
18
      assistance of Compass Lexecon.
19
2.0
                Who were the people that provided the
           Q.
21
      assistance in -- as described in your last
22
      answer?
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
24
                asked and answered.
                It's the same answer as before. I
25
           Α.
```

```
57
      interfaced, with that one exception that I can
 1
      recall, with -- with -- with that one person at
 2
 3
      Compass Lexecon.
           Ο.
                With Ms. van der Merwe?
 4
           Α.
                Yes.
                Did any attorneys provide comments to
 6
      drafts of Exhibit 1?
7
 8
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                         Direct the witness not to
 9
10
                answer. You can answer yes or no, but
                that's it.
11
12
                What's the pending question?
           Α.
13
           Q.
                Did any attorneys provide comments on
      drafts of Exhibit 1?
14
                I don't recall ever receiving comments
15
      from counsel on the draft. I certainly talked
16
      about -- well --
17
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
                         Don't -- don't go into detail.
19
20
           Α.
                So I -- I don't recall ever receiving
      comments on the draft from -- from counsel, is my
21
22
      best recollection.
23
           Q.
                Okay.
24
                So, in other words, you know, for -- for
      example, I did a draft and then there's a markup
25
```

```
58
      of the draft by counsel. I don't recall ever
 1
 2
      that -- I never recall that happening.
 3
           Q.
                Just a yes or no: Did you ever receive
      comments orally from counsel on the draft?
 4
                         MR. KELLOGG: You can answer
 6
                yes or no.
 7
                It depends on what you mean by
           Α.
      "comments." So I did describe to counsel --
 8
 9
                         MR. KELLOGG: I'm going to
10
                direct the witness not to answer that
11
                question.
12
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Not to answer
13
                whether or not he received comments
14
                orally from counsel?
15
                         MR. KELLOGG: Yes.
                         MR. SYLVESTER: I believe --
16
17
                do you want to strike it from the
                record? Because he already answered.
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Yes.
19
2.0
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Okay. So
                just so the record is clear, you would
21
                permit Professor Ferrell to answer the
22
23
                question whether or not he received
24
                written comments to his draft, but
                you object and instruct him not to
25
```

```
59
                answer as to whether or not
 1
                he received oral --
 2
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: I object to --
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Please let me
 4
                finish just for the record.
                         You would object -- object
 6
                and instruct him not to answer as to
 7
                whether or not he received oral
 8
                comments from counsel on his draft
 9
10
                expert report.
                         THE WITNESS: Would this be a
11
12
                good time to take a five-minute break?
                We've been --
13
                         MR. SYLVESTER: That's fine
14
15
                by me. Let's go off the record.
                         MR. FIGEL: Why don't we
16
                finish this --
17
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
18
                Thank you. The time is approx --
19
20
                approximately 10:24. We're going off
                the record.
21
                          (Whereupon, a recess is
22
23
                taken.)
24
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time
                is approximately 10:44. We're back on
25
```

```
60
                the record. This is the beginning of
 1
                Media 2.
 2
 3
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Okay. When
                we went off the record, we were having
 4
                a colloquy about Ripple's counsel's
                instruction not to answer.
 6
 7
                         Have you come to a position
                on whether or not he is --
 8
                         MR. KELLOGG: Correct. I
 9
10
                will direct the witness not to answer
                any questions about conversations or
11
12
                other interactions with counsel about
13
                the drafting process of the report.
14
                         MR. SYLVESTER: And just for
15
                clarity, that includes whether or not
                such conversations occurred?
16
17
                         MR. KELLOGG: Correct.
18
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Okay.
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
19
2.0
                And are you, Professor, going to follow
21
      counsel's instruction not to answer any questions
      regarding any conversations you had with counsel
22
23
      about the drafting process of your report
24
      including whether or not such conversations
      occurred?
25
```

61 Α. I'm going to follow the instruction of 1 2 counsel. 3 Q. Okay. MR. SYLVESTER: Before we get back to questioning -- questioning, I also want to put a reservation of 6 rights on the record. 7 To the extent that Professor 8 Ferrell testifies here today about any 9 10 analysis that he has performed that the SEC has not received or any 11 12 opinions he has formed that are not 13 set forth in his expert reports, AF-1 or AF-2, we reserve all rights to 14 15 preclude any such analysis or any such testimony at a later date. 16 BY MR. SYLVESTER: 17 Moving on, have you served as an expert 18 witness prior to this case? 19 2.0 Α. Yes. 21 Approximately how many times have you been retained as an expert witness? 22 23 I don't have an answer for that. I 24 don't have a number. General terms is fine. 25 Ο.

```
I've been retained over the years in a
 1
      number of securities and capital market cases, but
 2
      I don't have a number.
 3
                Is it north of 50, would you estimate?
 4
           Q.
                If the question is north of 50 in my
      lifetime, yes.
 6
 7
                Okay. Is it north of 100, would you
           Ο.
      estimate?
 8
                In my lifetime, yes, it would be north
 9
           Α.
10
      of 100. I do want to note that I have been on
      occasion retained as an expert and the case
11
12
      settled and I effectively do very little or --
13
      if -- if anything.
                So north of 100 with that understanding
14
15
      of what an engagement might entail.
                Okay. Is it north of 200?
16
           Ο.
                I don't believe so, but I -- I don't --
17
      I don't have a specific recollection sitting here.
18
      If you're talking about my entire life, I don't --
19
2.0
      I don't know sitting here.
21
           Ο.
                Okay. In the entirety of your expert
      engagements, have you ever been retained by the
22
23
      plaintiff's counsel?
24
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Sitting here today, just an
25
           Ο.
```

```
63
      approximate number, what percentage of the time
 1
      have you been retained by plaintiff's counsel over
 2
      the history of your engagements?
 3
                I don't have a specific recollection. A
 4
      majority is on the defense side. I have on
      occasion been retained by plaintiffs.
 6
 7
                Okay. Prior to this case, have you been
           Ο.
      retained in a case where a governmental entity was
 8
      a party?
 9
10
           Α.
                Yes.
                How many times has that occurred?
11
           Ο.
12
           Α.
                So I want to be clear. Is the question
13
      that I'd been retained by the government or the
      government's been involved?
14
15
                My first question was, is the
      government -- let me just ask it again.
16
                Have you been retained in a case where a
17
      governmental entity was a party regardless of who
18
      retained you?
19
2.0
           Α.
                Yes.
21
                Okay. Have you ever been retained by
22
      the government in any such case?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
           Q.
                Okay. How many times have you been
      retained by the government as an expert witness?
25
```

```
So, you know, just sitting here, the one
 1
      memory that comes to mind is I was retained by the
 2
      U.S. Attorney -- U.S. Attorney in the Southern
 3
      District in a criminal securities case is -- you
 4
      know, just sitting here today, is the -- is the
      one case that comes to mind. That was a couple
 6
 7
      years ago.
 8
                Okay. And now backing up to the
      entirety of cases in which the government was a
 9
10
      party and you were an expert witness,
      approximately how many of those exist?
11
12
           Α.
                I don't know. It's -- it has happened
13
      on occasion, but it's -- it's -- it's relatively
      infrequent. Relatively infre -- it does happen,
14
15
      but relatively infrequently.
                Would you say that number is as high as
16
      ten?
17
                It's possible. I don't -- I don't have
18
           Α.
      a specific number.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Okay. Would you say it's as high as 50?
           Α.
                No.
21
                Okay. Have you ever served as an expert
22
23
      witness in a case in which the SEC was a party?
24
           Α.
                Yes.
```

25

Ο.

How many such cases?

```
65
                I don't have a specific number. It's a
 1
      small number of cases, is my best recollection,
 2
      but I don't have a specific number.
 3
           Q. Has the SEC ever retained you as an
 4
      expert witness?
           Α.
                No.
 6
 7
                So in each of the cases that you've been
           Ο.
      retained as an expert witness in which the SEC was
 8
      a party, you've been a witness for the defense?
 9
10
                Yes. The handful of times I can recall
      that happening, yes.
11
12
                Has your expert opinion ever been
13
      excluded by a court?
14
           Α.
                No.
15
               Let me reask a better question related
           Q.
      to that.
16
                Has there ever -- has your expert
17
      opinion ever been excluded in whole or in part by
18
      a court?
19
2.0
           Α.
                No.
21
                Okay. Have you ever held any
22
      professional licenses?
23
                I was a member of the bar for, like, a
24
      year in the '90s. So that's -- no other
      professional licenses.
25
```

```
66
           Ο.
                Okay. Was your bar license ever revoked
 1
      or suspended?
 2
                I -- I stopped being a member of the bar
 3
           Α.
      because I wasn't practicing law, so...
 4
                Have you ever --
           Q.
           Α.
                I let it lapse.
 6
 7
                I'm sorry. You said it lapsed?
           Q.
                I believe so.
 8
           Α.
                Okay. Have you ever been the subject of
 9
           Q.
      any disciplinary action related to your
10
      professional activities?
11
12
           Α.
                No.
13
           Q.
                Are you familiar with the term "event
      study"?
14
15
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Have you conducted event studies
16
           Ο.
      in the past as part of your expert witness work?
17
           Α.
                Yes.
18
                Approximately how many times?
19
           Q.
20
           Α.
                How many -- is the question how many
      times have I done an event study?
21
22
                As a part of your expert witness work in
23
      the past.
24
           Α.
                A large number of times.
           Ο.
                North of 50?
25
```

```
67
 1
          A.
               Possibly.
           Q.
               North of 100?
 2
               I don't have a specific recollection. I
 3
     don't know.
 4
               Have you ever submitted a rebuttal
      expert opinion critiquing an event study conducted
 6
     by another expert witness?
 7
          Α.
               Yes.
 8
               How many times have you done that?
 9
               A fair number of times. I don't have a
10
      specific number, but I -- I have definitely done
11
12
     that.
13
           Q. Okay. Would you estimate that as north
     of 50?
14
               I don't have a specific number. I'm not
15
      sure if it's north of 50. I don't know.
16
           Q. How about north of 20?
17
           A. My best -- I don't have a specific
18
     number. The answer is probably yes.
19
2.0
           Q. Okay. Have you conducted event studies
      in contexts other than engagements as an expert
21
22
     witness?
23
           A.
               Yes.
24
           Q.
               Okay. What context?
               Academic writing and I also teach event
25
           Α.
```

studies.

- Q. Are the academic publications in which you've addressed the topic of event studies listed among your publications in AF-1?
- A. All my current publications are -- are listed there. Let me just take a quick look. I am -- yes. So my most recent paper is listed in the rebuttal. So I believe this is a complete list of my academic writings.
- Q. Okay. Before performing an event study, do you always check whether the market for the considered security is efficient?
- A. My best recollection is in the context where I've done an event study, it's been assumed that the market's efficient is my best recollection.
- Q. When you say "it's been assumed," is that you doing the assuming or someone else?
- A. It's an assumption -- you know, if we're talking about my expert work, it's an assumption of the litigation under -- under Basic versus

  Levinson or under the -- let me -- let me restate.

23 That typically when I do an event study
24 in my -- is in a situation where it's been assumed
25 for purposes of litigation that the market is

efficient.

2.0

Q. Now moving to your -- strike that.

For event -- event studies that you've conducted in academic contexts, for those event studies, do you always check whether the market for the considered security is efficient?

- A. I believe the event studies that I do in my -- in my work is for large publicly traded companies. So I don't -- I didn't run the legal test for efficiency there, but we're talking about, my best recollection is, large publicly traded companies such as listed in the New York Stock Exchange where I don't think there would be a dispute as to efficiency is -- is my sense.
- Q. And there are other tests for market efficiency setting aside legal tests, correct?

  MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
- A. There's certainly academic literature on efficiency going back to Gene Fama's work in the 1960s for sure.
- Q. And focusing only on economic tests for market efficiency, before performing an event study in an academic context, do you check whether the market is considered efficient in economic terms?

A. The same answer as before. So my best recollection of kind of the event study factor type analysis I've done academic work for involves publicly traded securities; New York Stock Exchange listed securities, for example.

So, you know, I received it on that

basis.

- Q. Have you ever performed an event study where the market in your view was not efficient?
- A. I don't recall offhand doing that. I'm not saying it's impossible. I don't have a recollection sitting here today of doing that.
- Q. When you perform an event study, do you always check for statistically significant abnormal returns on days without any relevant news during the period you're examining?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. You know, when I run an event study such as, you know, as an expert, the question's always what is the point of the event study and that informs what I look for and what's relevant. So it really depends on the purpose that I'm using the event study for or the purpose that, for example, an opposing expert's using the event study and that informs the questions that I think

are relevant.

Q. If you're conducting an event study in which you're testing the impact of a news event on the price of a security, in that -- in an event study that addresses that question, do you always check for statistically significant abnormal returns on days without any relevant news?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Again, it depends on the event study that I'm assessing or conducting. I'd just want to know more context about the purposes of the event study and the facts and circumstances.
- Q. Can you recall conducting an event study in which you took steps to determine whether or not there were statistically significant abnormal returns on days other than days on which the relevant news was released?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. That's at a high level of generality.

It's certainly possible, but it would depend on what the relevant economic questions were given the facts and circumstances of the case and given, if we're talking about expert work, what the opposing expert's doing and the purpose for which they're offering the event study. So it would

really depend on the context and the facts and circumstances.

2.0

Q. That makes sense, but I'm asking about your recollection of your prior work.

Can you recall taking the steps to determine whether or not there were statistically significant abnormal returns on no news days when conducting an event study?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. It's possible. I don't have a specific recollection.
- Q. Okay. Let's assume that you conduct an event study -- now we're in hypothetical land.

Let's assume that you conduct an event study and you observe a statistically significant abnormal price return following a news event.

Let's also say that you observe a number of days without news that also have statistically significant abnormal price returns.

How would your analysis or conclusions about the price reaction to news events change, if it would?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. I don't have a view. I would have to think about it.

- Q. Did you conduct an event study as part of your work in this case?
  - A. No.

- Q. Have you ever conducted an event study that pertained to digital asset prices?
  - A. No.
- Q. Okay. Are you offering any opinion in this case regarding the suitability of event study methodology and assessing whether there exists a link between Ripple news and the price of XRP?

  MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
- A. I am certainly providing an opinion that the factor model is the appropriate statistical model given the facts and circumstances of this case. So I do have a -- a view as to whether, given the economic theory in the complaint, that -- I do have a view as to whether the event study methodology or the factor model methodology is more appropriate. So that level of generality I do have an opinion.
- Q. Are you providing the opinion that the factor model that you employed is the only appropriate statistical model to employ to determine whether there is a link between Ripple news and the price of XRP?

74 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 1 2 I'm providing the opinion that the factor model is -- is the correct statistical 3 model, correct statistical technique, to assess 4 the economic theory as articulated in the complaint. 6 7 And what I'm just focusing in on is, is Ο. it the only correct statistical technique in your 8 view? 9 10 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Well, you know, there's a panoply of 11 12 academically peer-reviewed statistical techniques. 13 The question here is, which one of those statistical techniques is appropriate given the 14 facts and circumstances of this case? And my 15 opinion is that the factor model is the correct 16 17 way, not the event study approach. Is there any part of your expert opinion 18 that states expressly that the event study 19 20 approach is the incorrect way to study whether 21 there exists a link between Ripple news and the 22 price of XRP? 23 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 24 Α. Sure. So I try to, you know, explain this in detail in my opening report. That is, the 25

```
SEC's economic theory articulated in the complaint
 1
      is -- there's a whole series of events:
 2
 3
      Statements, distributions, et cetera. There's a
      whole series of events -- activities; conduct, if
 4
      you will -- that occurred over this seven-year
      period, 2013 to 2020, that in aggregate is
 6
 7
      associated with the price of XRP going up.
 8
                So, for example, paragraph 79 to 82 of
      the complaint. Paragraph 9 of the complaint
 9
10
      refers to all the conduct referenced in the
      complaint as the basis for the legal conclusion.
11
12
                And so given the facts and circumstances
13
      of this case, I felt it was appropriate that one
14
      analyze the cumulative effect of the conduct
      identified in the complaint and whether it is, in
15
      fact, associated with excess returns. That is to
16
17
      say, returns that are specific to XRP and are not
      otherwise explainable by general movements in
18
      the -- in the cryptocurrency markets.
19
2.0
                I would also say, to give a complete
      answer, that for the event -- that another virtue
21
      of this approach -- that is, the factor model
22
23
      approach -- is one can analyze the entire time
24
      period. I also analyzed, obviously, 2015 to 2020,
      as well, separately. That has the virtue of
25
```

76 capturing the entirety of the price return series 1 versus a situation where even if you observe a 2 short-term correlation between a news event and an 3 XRP change in price, even assuming that were true, 4 there's still the further question of whether that gets reversed later. 6 7 Again, this -- this sort of speaks to market efficiency and the need to know and the 8 impact that the efficiency of the market has on 9 10 how one -- whether you can meaningfully interpret the results of an event study given that, you 11 12 know, you're not -- you may not be able to 13 identify the appropriate event window. 14 So, again, for those reasons, the 15 reasons articulated in my report, I believe the factor model is the appropriate statistical test 16 in the facts and circumstances of this case. 17 When was the last time you read the 18 SEC's complaint in this matter? 19 2.0 Α. Last night. 21 Ο. How many times have you read the complaint? 22 23 Α. Many times. 24 Q. More than ten?

25

Α.

Probably.

77 Ο. More than 20? 1 2 Α. Probably not. 3 Q. Okay. And just for the record, you read the complaint approximately more than ten times in 4 connection with preparing your expert report in this case? 6 7 Α. Yes. Okay. As part of -- part of forming 8 Q. your opinion in this case, you conducted a 9 10 principal components analysis, is that right? Α. Yes. 11 12 Ο. Okay. As I understand it, the purpose 13 of conducting the principal components analysis was to describe the main drivers of general 14 15 cryptocurrency markets, is that right? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 16 It's a way of capturing the information 17 Α. in the non-XRP cryptocurrency markets that I then 18 use in the factor model. 19 2.0 Q. When you say "capturing the information," what information do you mean? 21 22 Well, there's the 91 tokens that I use Α. 23 for the 2015 to 2020 period. There's the 9 crypto 24 assets that I use for the full period. And so there's a covariance matrix associated with that. 25

```
78
 1
      And the PCA analysis is a way to extract
      information embedded in the covariance matrix of
 2
 3
      the cryptocurrency assets that I'm using, extract
      into the principal components that I then use in
 4
      the regression analysis or in the factor model
      analysis.
 6
 7
                As part of your previous engagements as
           Ο.
      an expert witness, have you ever conducted a
 8
      principal components analysis?
 9
10
                I don't recall either way.
                Setting aside whether or not you
11
12
      conducted a PCA -- strike that.
13
                If I say "PCA" throughout the day, I
      mean principal components analysis. Okay?
14
15
                Understood.
16
                Okay. Setting aside whether or not you
      conducted a PCA in your prior engagements, have
17
      you ever been called upon to opine as to whether
18
      a specific factor or event influenced price
19
2.0
      returns?
21
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                I -- I mean, going back to our earlier
22
23
      questions, I have done event study analyses in the
24
      past.
                And so that I understand your answer,
25
           Q.
```

```
79
 1
      I -- strike that.
 2
                In your prior expert engagements when
      you have been called upon to opine as to whether a
 3
      specific factor or event influenced price returns,
 4
      the expert analysis that you conducted was an
      event study, is that right?
 6
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 7
                That's not quite right. So I have used
           Α.
 8
      event studies in -- in the context of thinking
 9
10
      about prices. I've also used factor model as well
      in other contexts. So it depends on the facts and
11
12
      circumstances of the case, including the
13
      efficiency of the market.
                Prior to this engagement, how many times
14
15
      have you used a factor model to opine on the
      question of whether a specific factor or event
16
      influenced price returns?
17
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
                I don't have a specific recollection. I
19
20
      have used it in the past, but I don't have a
21
      number.
22
           Q.
                Under ten?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
           Q.
                Under five?
25
           A.
                Probably. I'm not sure.
```

- Q. Can you recall sitting here today any of the matters in which you've used a factor model to opine as to whether a specific factor or event influenced price returns?
- A. So I do remember -- I'm not going to remember cases. I do remember there's been matters where the claim is that there's been leakage of information into the marketplace that affected the returns over a period of time. And I do remember using a factor model in that context.

So, again, a claim concerning events spanned over a period of time where the claim is that it's impacting the price return series.

- Q. Do you recall the security at issue in the case you just described?
- A. I believe it was a publicly traded security, is my memory. A publicly traded stock.
- Q. Prior to this case, have you ever offered an expert opinion that an asset was or was not a currency?
  - A. No.
- Q. Prior to this case, have you ever offered an expert opinion that an asset was or was not a virtual currency?
- A. No.

2.0

81 Prior to this case, have you ever 1 offered an expert opinion that an asset did or did 2 not function as a store of value? 3 Α. 4 No. Prior to this case, have you ever offered an expert opinion that an asset did or did 6 not function as a unit of account? 7 8 Α. No. Prior to this -- prior to this case, 9 10 have you ever offered an expert opinion that an asset did or did not function as a medium of 11 12 exchange? 13 Α. I don't believe so. 14 Ο. Okay. Have you ever --15 So these are -- just to be clear on the record, this is my best recollection sitting here today. 17 Have you authored any academic articles 18 that addressed the topic of whether an asset is or 19 20 is not a currency? 21 Α. No. Have you authored any academic articles 22 23 that address the topic of whether an asset is or 24 is not a virtual currency? 25 Α. No.

- Q. Have you authored any academic articles that address the topic of whether or not an asset functions as a medium of exchange?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Have you authored any academic articles that address the topic of whether an asset functions as a store of value?
  - A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Have you authored any academic articles that address the topic of whether an asset functions as a unit of account?
- 12 A. I don't believe so.
  - Q. Have you written any publications pertaining to digital assets?
    - A. I don't believe so.
    - Q. Have you taught any classes that cover the topic of digital assets?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 Q. What classes?
- A. I cover it in corporate finance and I
  cover it in my securities regulation class. Oh,
  and it also comes up in my law and finance class
  on start-ups.
- Q. What topics do you cover related to digital assets in your securities regulation

```
83
 1
      courses?
 2
           Α.
                So I -- so the securities regulation
 3
      class is a -- is a class at the law school
      although I incorporate economics and finance into
 4
      that. And so the securities regulation class, I
      do cover different issues relating to the
 6
 7
      application of securities laws and -- and digital
      assets have come up in that context, such as ICOs
 8
      or, you know, tokens, where, for example, there's
 9
10
      some right associated to -- with profits or
      earnings of the entity that's issuing them to --
11
12
      to raise capital.
13
                So that would be the securities
14
      regulation class. It comes up in that context.
15
      Kind of the panoply of instruments that you
16
      observe in the marketplace.
                In corporate finance, I talk about it as
17
      sort of a new -- new-ish, I should say, financial
18
      asset. So just sort of the characteristics of
19
2.0
      this -- of this space of crypto assets.
21
                And then the law of finance seminar, we
      do talk about start-ups including start-ups in
22
23
      the -- in the block up -- in the blockchain space.
24
                So it comes up in different ways in --
      in -- in these different courses.
25
```

```
84
           Ο.
                Have you ever taught -- strike that.
 1
                Have you -- strike that.
 2
 3
                When you teach your securities
      regulation class, do you teach case law?
 4
           Α.
                Yes.
                Have you, as part of your securities
 6
 7
      regulation class, ever taught any cases in which
      the SEC has brought any claims against a digital
 8
      asset issuer?
 9
10
                I don't believe so. Now, the case book
      that I use I do think mentions -- might -- I'd
11
12
      have to look back. It's possible the case book
13
      mentions those cases in passing, but I don't have
      a recollection of specifically assigning those
14
15
      cases.
                Have you ever taught a class in which
16
      you address the topic of the SEC's case against
17
      Ripple?
18
                No. No. I have mentioned in the
19
           Α.
20
      context of securities regulation crypto assets. I
21
      don't remember ever assigning a case or a
22
      complaint to the class. So I do mention the issue
      in class, but, again, I don't have a recollection
23
24
      of ever assigning an SEC complaint or -- or a
```

case. So it's really at that level of generality.

I don't think I've ever gotten into the

- Q. Setting aside the SEC's case against
  Ripple, have you ever taught any class in which
  you discussed Ripple or XRP?
- allegations in this case, no. I do mention -- I have mentioned securities regulations. It's mentioned in the case book as well, I believe.

  You know, the -- the policy issues around crypto assets and how they interact with the securities laws. So at that level of generality, yes.
- Q. Prior to this case, had you been retained as an expert in any case involving digital assets?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Prior to this case, had you ever conducted any analysis of price movement of digital assets?
  - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Are you offering any opinion in this case on the informational efficiency of the XRP market?
- A. No.

Α.

- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. I'm not providing an opinion on that

  except to say -- except referencing my earlier

```
86
 1
      comments about the event study approach versus the
      factor model.
 2
                Turning to Appendix B of your opening
 3
           Q.
      report, AF-1.
 4
                Appendix B?
           Α.
           Q.
                That's right.
 6
 7
           A.
                Yes.
                Labeled "Materials Considered."
 8
           Q.
                Do you see that?
 9
10
           Α.
                I do.
                Did you personally review each of the
11
           Q.
      materials listed in Appendix B?
12
           A.
13
                I did.
           Q. You know what a Wells submission is, is
14
15
      that right?
           A. Yes, I do.
16
                Okay. Did you review any of defendants'
17
      Wells submissions in this case?
18
                I don't believe I reviewed the Wells
19
20
      submission is my best recollection. That's my
      best recollection sitting here.
21
22
           Q. Okay. Let's turn to paragraph 90 of
23
      AF-1 on page 39.
24
           A. Page 39?
           Q.
                Yes.
25
```

```
87
           Α.
                Paragraph 90?
 1
                That's right.
 2
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Okay.
                The second sentence, you refer to "the
 4
           Q.
      economic reality that Ripple's efforts do not
 5
      impact XRP prices."
 6
 7
           Α.
                I don't -- I don't see that. Page 39?
           Q.
                39, paragraph 90.
 8
           Α.
                Yes.
 9
10
           Ο.
                Sentence 2. I'm quoting the latter
      portion of sentence 2. Your reference is to "the
11
12
      economic reality that Ripple's efforts do not
13
      impact XRP prices."
                I do. Okay. I didn't realize you were
14
15
      reading a portion of the sentence. Okay.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Sorry. Mark,
16
                where are we?
17
                         MR. SYLVESTER: The second
18
                sentence of paragraph 90, latter
19
20
                portion.
21
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                Okay. Is your view, Professor, that the
22
23
      economic reality described in this sentence --
24
      sorry. Strike that.
25
                Is your view that the economic reality
```

```
88
      that Ripple's efforts do not impact XRP prices
 1
      based on your analysis that you describe in
 2
      Section III of your report?
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 4
                Well, it does reflect the -- the
           Α.
      analysis in Section III, but I -- you know, I
 6
      would also, you know, reference all the work in
 7
 8
      the report, including my review of the contracts.
      You know, the contracts are also referenced in the
 9
10
      complaint as well.
                But I am including as a basis here the
11
12
      factor model, that's true.
13
           Q.
                Okay. And can we shorthand the -- the
14
      analysis performed in Section III.C of your report
15
      as your factor model? Is that fair?
                Well, I -- I have a factor model in
16
           Α.
      III.D as well.
17
18
           Q.
                Okay.
                So I -- I wouldn't confine the factor
19
20
      model just to III.C if what you're interested in
      is the factor model.
21
                Maybe it's easier if I just distinguish
22
23
      throughout the day your two analyses by referring
24
      to the analysis that you performed with respect to
      Sections III.D and III.C of your report. Would
25
```

that work?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

- A. If you want to refer to the analysis in III.C, I have no problem with that.
- Q. Okay. All right. And in III.C you describe the statistical analysis you performed?
  - A. One of the statistical analyses, yes.
  - Q. Right.

Did you design the analysis that's described in Section III.C to answer the question of whether Ripple's efforts impact XRP prices?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Well, a full answer to that is it's designed to assess the economic theory articulated in the SEC complaint; i.e., there's a whole series of events, including distributions, but not limited to distributions, that had the effect or

associated with XRP price increases over that time

So the factor model is the appropriate statistical test to assess that economic theory.

period, the time period being 2013 to 2020.

Q. In your last answer you referenced a whole series of events including, but not limited to, distributions.

What other events are part of that series of events?

```
I would just reference the complaint.
 1
 2
      So the complaint identifies various statements,
 3
      tweets, I believe, distributions, that in the
      SEC's view over this time period are -- is
 4
      associated with XRP prices increasing. And,
      again, I would reference the complaint for the
 6
 7
      full list of the various events, distributions,
      news -- statements that the SEC believes in
 8
 9
      aggregate is associated and helps explain XRP
10
      price increases over this time period, the 2013 to
      20 -- 2020 period.
11
12
                And, again, my view is the factor model
13
      is the appropriate way to test whether that's
14
      accurate or not.
15
                In your view the factor model is the
16
      appropriate way to test whether all of the items
      that you just listed had an impact on XRP's price,
17
      is that right?
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
19
20
           Α.
                It's the appropriate way to assess
21
      whether over this time period the events -- events
      defined as the conduct identified by the
22
23
      complaint -- had or are associated with excess
24
      returns of XRP; i.e., returns of XRP that are not
      explained by general movements in the non-XRP
25
```

```
91
 1
      cryptocurrency markets.
                Let's look back to paragraph 90. At the
 2
      very bottom of page 39 --
 3
           Α.
                Yes.
 4
                -- you reference your "empirical
      analysis of long-run XRP price return."
 6
 7
                Do you see that?
 8
           Α.
                I do see that portion of the sentence at
      the bottom of page 39.
 9
10
                Okay. And is that phrase that I just
      read a reference to the analysis that you
11
12
      performed that's described in Section III.C?
13
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                I am referencing the analysis in III.C,
14
      but I -- I would note that I also have a factor
15
      model that is relevant to my opinion here in
16
      III.D.
17
                Okay. The top bullet, still on
18
           Ο.
      paragraph 90, but on page 40 now, says "Variation
19
20
      in long-run XRP price return can be explained by
21
      exogenous cryptocurrency market factors that are
22
      outside Ripple's control."
23
                Do you see that?
24
           Α.
                I do.
                Okay. What does the term "long-run"
25
           Ο.
```

92 mean in this sentence? 1 It -- it's a reference to the estimation 2 3 periods that I -- I looked at. THE REPORTER: What period? 4 It's a reference to the estimation periods that I used and there's two: The 2013 to 6 2020 period, and then my Estimation Period 2, 7 which is 2015 to 2020. 8 Did you perform any analysis to evaluate 10 the question of whether Ripple's efforts impact XRP prices in the short run? 11 12 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 13 Α. The answer to that is yes in the sense 14 that if there were news events -- I'm going to --I'm going to use the phrase "events" to reference 15 the complaint's identification of what the SEC 16 feels or believes is impacting, is relevant to 17 the -- to the XRP pricing. 18 So, again, I just want to be clear. 19 20 When we're talking about events, I'm assuming that 21 we're talking about the events deemed relevant by 22 the complaint, but you can tell me if I'm 23 misunderstanding. 24 Q. I think it's fair to make sure we're talking about the same thing.

So the complaint makes a number of allegations about Ripple's actions. Fair to say?

A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. So when you use the word "events," are you talking about the various types of Ripple's actions that are alleged in the complaint?
  - A. Yes.

- Q. Okay.
- A. The distributions, the statements, the various events so identified by the complaint.

  And I -- and I do analyze, you know, the short term in the sense that if these events had an immediate -- for example, had an impact on XRP pricing that was permanent, didn't get reversed because the market is inefficient, then that would be picked up in the -- in the factor model.

So events that have permanent price effects that don't get reversed, for example, would -- would show up in the XRP return and it would show up in whether the excess returns, the returns specific to XRP, are statistically significant or not.

Q. Other than the one set forth in

Dr. opening report, are you aware of any

```
94
      event study that evaluates the question of whether
 1
 2
      news of Ripple's actions had any correlation with
 3
      XRP price returns?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 4
           Α.
                Not sitting here. Nothing that I -- I
      recall.
 6
 7
                Okay. Focusing just on your analysis
           Ο.
      set forth in III.C, did counsel ask you to make
 8
      any assumptions in connection with the analysis
 9
10
      you performed as described in III.C?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
11
12
                         You can answer yes or no.
13
           Α.
                No.
                Okay. Are you expressing any opinion in
14
      this case about whether or not Ripple's efforts
15
      affect daily or intraday XRP prices?
16
                I am in the sense that I described
17
           Α.
      earlier, which -- which is to say, if Ripple news,
18
      the various things that the SEC identifies in the
19
20
      complaint, if those events had an immediate --
21
      let's say a same-day price effect, just
22
      hypothetically, and that price effect was
23
      permanent, it didn't get reversed because the
24
      market's inefficient. So it doesn't get reversed
      11 days later. It's a permanent price effect,
25
```

```
1
      whether it be intraday or at the end of -- end of
      the closing day, whatever your time interval is.
 2
 3
      Those permanent pricing effects would show up in
      the returns that I analyzed and would show up if
 4
      it's -- if it's XRP specific in the excess returns
      that I'm analyzing in the factor model.
 6
 7
                So in that way I am analyzing that
      question, again in the context of the time series,
 8
      over my estimation period.
 9
10
                Setting aside that analysis that you
      just described, did you perform any other analysis
11
12
      pertaining to the question of whether or not
13
      Ripple's efforts affect daily or intraday XRP
14
      prices?
15
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
```

- A. That would be my answer to the question.
- Q. Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. Which is, the short term can matter to the long term if the short term is permanent and, therefore, would show up in the re -- the price series.

If the -- if one -- you know, just to take the opposite hypothetical, if, for example, there's a correlation between a news event and a change in XRP price, as a hypothetical, and that

gets reversed, hypothetically, nine days later, then that would not -- and -- and all that's occurring within my 28-day window, that would not show up in the return by definition. It might show up in the weekly, but not in the -- the 28-day period.

- So, again, I am -- I would be picking up in my factor analysis events that -- that affect the -- the return versus, you know, some news event that might be reversed by the market.
- Q. Sitting here today, do you know whether the hypothetical that you just described occurred? Meaning there was a news event, there was a Ripple news event, there was a change in XRP price, there was a reversion, and it wasn't picked up in your factoring analysis?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. No. So the prob -- one problem in my view and one reason why the factor model is a better approach is I have not seen any -- I'm not aware of, I should say, Dr. addressing how his event study can -- can -- can adjust for that.

So, again, it goes back to my reason for why the factor model is better. We're talking about XRP in 2013 and -- and going forward. We're

talking about the long-term price series given the allegations in the complaint. And so I just view that as this is the better way to go.

2.0

Q. Is it possible in your view that there was a Ripple news event and a resulting change in XRP price, the XRP price then reverted within your 28-day window, and that did not show up in your factor model?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. So in your hypo, if the price increased and decreased within the 28-day period, I think it follows that it would not be in the -- the 28-day period. It may -- it might be in the week period, depending on the timing of those events, but a complete price reversal within that time interval by definition would not be -- wouldn't be, A, a permanent price effect; and, B, wouldn't be in the time series; and, C, wouldn't explain why XRP price is going up over time.
- Q. Okay. You've mentioned in your testimony a few times, I think, the phrase "because the market is inefficient." And I just want to clarify for the record. Are you offering any opinion in this case about the efficiency of the XRP market?

```
98
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 1
 2
           Α.
                I am not.
 3
           Q.
                Okay.
                I -- I -- I'm saying that if the market
 4
      is in -- inefficient, the market for XRP, for
      example, in 2013 or some time period, then in that
 6
 7
      scenario the event study is going to have this
      problem of what the appropriate event window is.
 8
      That is to say, how do you control for or adjust,
 9
10
      when thinking about price impacts, for reversals
      or whatever it is that renders the market
11
      inefficient?
12
13
                So I was really talking in terms of that
14
      scenario.
                And you haven't done any analysis to
15
           Q.
      determine one way or the other whether the XRP
16
      market is or is not inefficient?
17
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
19
           Α.
                Correct.
20
                Okay. Are you expressing the opinion in
           Q.
      this case that XRP would have performed as it
21
22
      historically did irrespective of Ripple's actions?
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
           Α.
                That's not -- that's not quite the way I
      would frame it. The way I would frame it is
25
```

there's no excess returns. There's no XRP specific price returns -- there's no price returns specific to XRP that -- above and beyond the general cryptocurrency market movements.

- Q. And does that mean in your view -- in your view that XRP would have performed as it did irrespective of Ripple's actions?
- A. It -- well, the -- that test and that finding is -- does directly speak to the SEC's claim in its complaint that Ripple's efforts caused the price to increase or is associated with a price increase, you know, over this time period.
- So it -- it's really addressing the economic theory articulated in the complaint; that is to say, there's Ripple-specific efforts that help explain or are associated with an XRP price rise. And it's really that proposition that I'm testing.
- Q. Who collected the data that you used for your factor analysis?
  - A. Compass Lexecon.
- Q. Do you know who personally conducted the data pull?
- A. We're -- we're going to just go back to
  our earlier conversation. I interfaced with

Ms. van der Merwe at Compass Lexecon. I gave instructions on the type of analysis I wanted to do, including the data pull and where to pull the data. And so I would just reference our earlier discussion in that regard.

- Q. Did you personally do anything to check the quality of the data that you used in performing your factor analysis?
- A. You said "personally." Sure. I went over the data with Compass Lexecon. I talked about where I wanted the data to be pulled. So, yes, a lot of work went into that including myself personally.
- Q. What data quality checks, if any, did you perform?
- A. Well, so, I reviewed the academic literature about where the academic peer-reviewed finance literature pools return data. The academic literature is quite clear that CoinMarketCap and CryptoCompare are widely used in the peer-reviewed academic research. And so I guess I began my data collection by grounding myself in the accepted approach in the academic literature. So I guess that's the main starting point.

As I said earlier today, I also ran before the filing of the report all the time series on CoinMarketCap just as a robustness check. I think the data sources that I use in the report are the correct ones. But it was really, I guess answering your questions, following the academic literature on this.

- Q. Returning to the data sources that you used underlying your opinions in the report, in addition to referencing the academic literature, are there any other data quality checks that you performed?
- A. Well, sure. I mean, I went over the data collection process, how they're pulling the data, I looked at the data. So, yes, this was a process that unfolded over time. So it wasn't simply, you know -- you know, just reading academic finance papers but, rather, making sure that that was properly implemented in how the data here was put together.
  - Q. Why did you -- excuse me.
- Why did you opt to use price data from two sources?
- A. Well, if you look at the academic

  peer-reviewed literature, CryptoCompare is widely

102 used in the peer-reviewed academic research --1 academic peer-reviewed literature as a source 2 3 of -- of price return data. Now, you do run into the following 4 issue, which is CryptoCompare does not go back to 5 2013. So another source that is also used in the 6 academic literature is CoinMarket. So 7 CoinMarket -- CryptoCompare doesn't go back to the 8 beginning and, therefore, I relied, consistent 9 10 with the academic literature, on -- on CoinMarket for that time period. 11 12 Ο. Does CoinMarket cover the entire period 13 from 2013 to 2020? I believe so. And that's why I was able 14 to run it, as I discussed earlier, just on 15 CoinMarket. But I felt the better way to go is to 16 17 use CryptoCompare because that is used as a data source in peer-reviewed academic research. 18 For clarity, for the opinions underlying 19 20 your report, you used both data sources, is that 21 right? Α. 22 Yes. 23 Okay. Is there any academic literature 24 you can think of sitting here today that uses two sets of data sources for pricing? 25

```
I haven't looked at that specifically.
 1
      I mean, not sitting here today. I can say that
 2
 3
      the academic peer-reviewed literature uses both
      and uses CryptoCompare for later time periods.
 4
      That's simply not possible to use for the earlier
      period because it doesn't exist in CryptoCompare.
 6
 7
                So, you know, sitting here today, both
      are used in the academic research literature. As
 8
      I said earlier, even if you just run it on
 9
10
      CoinMarket, it doesn't make a difference.
                What's the advantage of using two sets
11
12
      of data versus just using CoinMarket data?
13
           Α.
                Well, at the end of the day, it doesn't
      matter. The results are the same. The excess
14
      returns are not statistically significant at the 5
15
16
      percent level.
                That being said, if you review the
17
      academic peer-reviewed literature, you do observe
18
      papers using CryptoCompare for their analysis.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Right. My question, though, goes to
21
      your -- the methodology that you selected for your
      report.
22
23
                Was there an advantage in your mind to
24
      using two data sources versus just using the
      CoinMarket data?
25
```

```
Again, it doesn't make a difference,
 1
 2
      but, yes, there is an advantage in the sense that
 3
      when you read the peer-reviewed academic research
      literature, and the literature is analyzing a
 4
      later time period, a period for which there is
      CryptoCompare data, you do observe the
 6
 7
      peer-reviewed academic literature using that data
      source. Not that it means CoinMarket is bad data,
 8
 9
      but, rather, you do observe papers using
10
      CryptoCompare.
11
                So the Liu paper in the Journal of
12
      Finance, or coming out in the Journal of Finance,
13
      uses CryptoCompare, I believe if I'm citing the
14
      paper correctly, but let -- let me restate that.
15
                There are peer-reviewed academic papers
      that are using CryptoCompare. Obviously you can't
16
17
      use that in the earlier period here because it
      doesn't exist in the data set.
18
                Did you do anything to verify
19
20
      compatibility of price data from the two data sets
      that you used?
21
                Yes. So I did CoinMarketCap and I ran
22
23
      it on that, as I said, as well as reviewing the
24
      data. And as we discussed earlier today, I know
      that Dr. has -- I'm going to put aside the
25
```

```
105
 1
      fact that he identifies three date -- three
      returns that I don't use, where he claims I didn't
 2
 3
      do due diligence on data that I didn't use. I'm
      going to put that aside.
 4
                He does identify one return out of the
      6,700 I used that looks, I think, abnormally
 6
 7
      large. And so I did rerun it dropping that and it
      doesn't -- doesn't affect anything.
 8
                Let me limit my question to any steps
 9
           Q.
10
      that you took prior to submitting your expert
      report in AF-1.
11
12
           Α.
                Okay.
13
                Prior to submitting AF-1, did you do
      anything to verify the compatibility of the price
14
15
      data in the two data sets?
                I would go back to my earlier answer.
16
      I -- I ran everything on CoinMarket; didn't make a
17
      difference. I visually inspected the data. I
18
      instructed Lexecon where to pull the data from
19
      based on the academic research. You know, went
2.0
      over the data with them -- or with her in
21
      conversation and on looking at the data.
22
23
                So, again, it was a process that I
24
      undertook in constructing the factor model and the
      data inputs to it.
25
```

```
106
               You've referenced a few times Dr.
 1
      reference to the token THC in your data set,
 2
 3
      correct?
           Α.
               Yes.
 4
               Prior to reading Dr. rebuttal
      report, were you aware of those unusual price
 6
 7
      spikes of THC within your data set?
 8
           Α.
               So you just used the word "spikes,"
     plural, in your statement. My understanding --
 9
10
     but we could look at his report -- is that he
      identified one out of the -- I'm approximating
11
12
     now -- one out of the 6,700 crypto returns that I
13
     used as, in his judgment, being too large. So it
     wasn't plural, it was one, if we're talking about
14
15
      the same thing. And, again, it's -- it affects
16
     nothing.
               Be that as it may, were you aware of the
17
     price of the one -- strike that.
18
               Let's look at Dr. rebuttal
19
20
      report. Can we look at --
21
           Α.
               I don't have his report.
               I will get it for you.
22
           Q.
23
           Α.
                I'm sorry. I didn't mean to jump ahead.
24
           Q.
               Let's look at -- at AF-6, please.
                         (Whereupon, exhibit is
25
```

```
107
 1
                received and marked SEC Ferrell
                Exhibit AF-6 for identification.)
 2
 3
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you
               very much. Okay. Here we go.
 4
                         THE WITNESS: I want to give
                one to her.
 6
7
     BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                I'm handing you what's been marked AF-6.
 8
     This is the expert rebuttal report of Dr.
 9
10
                Professor Ferrell, this is the
      rebuttal report we've been discussing?
11
12
           A.
               Yes.
13
           Q. Okay. Let's turn to page 19 of that
     report, Figure 10.
14
15
           Α.
               Figure 10?
               Yes, please.
16
           Ο.
               Okay. Oh, we were -- I'm sorry. You
17
      ask your question and I'll make -- go ahead.
18
               So my question was, were you aware of
19
20
      the prices of the THC token that Dr.
      identifies as outliers prior to submitting your
21
22
      expert report, AF-1?
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
           Α.
               Okay. So I'm going to read from
     paragraph 38 of his report where he's referencing
25
```

108 1 Figure 10. "As shown in Figure 10, most prices for 2 3 THC are fractions of a cent, but there are three dates (August 21, 22 and 23, 2017) when THC prices 4 are reported to reach values of over 10 million U.S. dollars per token in the CryptoCompare data." 6 7 Okay. And then he has Figure 10. 8 Okay. So my comment on this is this is a little silly because I don't use August 21st, 9 10 22nd or 23rd. It's not used in my factor model. I use, as I say, the 28-day period which I believe 11 12 runs from -- I'm not going to get the exact dates, 13 but it runs from early August to early September. So he's pointing to data that is not 14 15 used in my analysis. So, you know, this is -this is really completely irrelevant. 16 Commentary aside, were you aware of 17 Q. these -- what Dr. characterizes as "outlier 18 prices" on the three dates listed in Figure 10 19 20 prior to submitting your expert report in AF-1? I --21 Α. MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 22 23 I don't recall seeing that. Again, 24 completely irrelevant. It's not used in my data analysis. I do appreciate being accused of not 25

doing due diligence when the data being identified is not actually used by myself.

2.0

- Q. Were there any outlier price data with respect to the THC returns that did get incorporated into your analysis?
- A. I believe -- and we can look at the report. Let me just -- give me one second here.

Yeah. So he -- in addition to these three that we've been talking about in Figure 10, he identifies -- and this is what I was referencing earlier -- a THC return date in paragraph 41.

- Q. Okay. So in your view there was one inaccurate price data point for THC that was incorporated into your analysis. Is that fair?
- A. I agree that 8,916 percent is a very large return. So this is one return out of approximately 6,700 returns that I used that was in the original data, not the -- not in the -- you know. So this -- this wouldn't be affected by my CoinMarketCap running of the model. But there is one return out of the 6,700 that is this return. And as I said earlier, it makes no difference to the outcome, as one would expect.
  - Q. So the record is clear, THC is one of

110 the tokens that's used in your principal 1 components analysis as reflected in AF-1, correct? 2 3 Α. That's right. It's one of the 9 -well, let's -- just to be clear, it's one of the 4 91 tokens that are used in Estimation Period 2, the 2015 to 2020 period. I don't believe THC is 6 7 in the 9 tokens that's used for the full time period. 8 So his discussion here is about -- I 9 10 believe, is focused on the Estimation Period 2 where this is one -- this token or this crypto is 11 one of the 91. 12 13 Q. In your report in AF-1, you report the results of your analysis using a 28-day return 14 period, is that right? 15 Α. 16 Yes. 17 Why did you opt to use a 28-day return period in your expert report? 18 Well, I have a footnote explaining why I 19 20 think this is the best specification, and that is I'm running it essentially on a Tuesday to a 21 22 Tuesday. So you avoid national holidays on 23 Mondays. You avoid mixing different days of the 24 week if you were, for example, to run it on a calendar basis. You're running it from a Tuesday 25

to a Tuesday and you're avoiding weekend effects.

2.0

So, you know, for those reasons that I reference in the footnote, I felt a 28-day was the best specification. And, again, I explain all of this in the report.

- Q. Is there any advantage in your mind to starting your analysis and ending your analysis on a Tuesday versus a Wednesday, a Thursday or a Friday?
- A. So Tuesday is the first day -- I forget exactly when the data starts, but it's after that weekend and Monday. Obviously, you know, I tried to run the model as early as I could with these considerations in mind for that same time period.

But, you know, all the permutations that Dr. presents in his report, whether it be running it on a Wednesday or a Thursday or what have you, does not change the result. The result being there's no statistically significant alpha at the 5 percent level.

- Q. Prior to submitting your report in AF-1, had you run your factor model starting and ending on a Wednesday?

- is, as I said earlier, I did run it on weekly and on -- on 30-day and on calendar month. So I don't know whether the calendar month would -- how that would end in terms of days of the week, but I did run those alternative specifications. Didn't change the results. But, again, for the reasons I describe in my report, I felt 28 days was the best specification.
  - Q. And, again, you ran those weekly, 30-day and calendar month factor models prior to submitting your expert report in AF-1?
    - A. I did.

- Q. Okay. Are there any academic articles that explain any benefits to using CryptoCompare data versus CoinMarket data?
- A. So you have to direct me to that, you know, if you have a particular paper in mind. But it is the case that folks -- you know, peer-reviewed literature does use CryptoCompare data for later time periods, although, you know, as I said before, it doesn't actually affect -- that choice of the data set doesn't actually affect the results.
- Q. Turning back to a previous question, prior to submitting your report in AF-1, it's true

```
113
      that you did not use a -- a Wednesday start and
 1
 2
      end date to run your factor model, correct?
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                I -- as I said before, I would just
 4
           Α.
      reference my earlier answer. I forget the start
 5
      dates for the -- you know, whether -- you know,
 6
 7
      for example, I forget whether the calendar mixes a
      Wednesday with -- I just don't remember. I did
 8
      run it on weekly, 30, and calendar. Again, it
 9
10
      doesn't make a difference, as does the
      permutations, the specifications in Dr.
11
12
      None of it changes the result that the alpha is
13
      statistically insignificant at the 5 percent
      level.
14
15
                But sitting here today, you don't
      recall having run your analysis using a Wednesday
16
      or a Thursday start date prior to AF-1, is that
17
      right?
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
19
2.0
                asked and answered.
           Α.
                Same answer as before.
21
                I believe you testified earlier that THC
22
23
      was part of your principal components for your
24
      Estimation Period 2, is that right?
                I believe that's correct.
25
           Α.
```

Q. Was it part of PC 2?

1

- 2 A. Well, the principal component analysis
- 3 | is extracting information from the entire
- 4 covariance matrix. So I do believe it appears --
- 5 you know, it is being weighted or reflected in PCA
- 6 2. So I think that is -- at that level of
- 7 generality, I think that's a fair statement.
  - Q. Okay. Do you recall what were the other
- 9 tokens in Principal Component Number 2?
- 10 A. Oh, I don't. I mean, I have -- you
- 11 know, all the 91 is extracting all of the
- information from the covariance matrix. I'm happy
- 13 | to look at -- if what you're referring to is page
- 14 | 22, I'm happy to take a look at that.
- Q. Are you referring to page 22 of AF-1?
- 16 A. Oh, I thought you were -- I thought we
- were discussing Figure 13 of where he's
- 18 talking about PCA 2.
- 19 Q. We can look at Figure 13 of I was
- 20 just asking if you -- if you know one way or the
- 21 other what other tokens in Principal Component 2
- 22 there were in addition to THC.
- A. Well, the P -- just to be clear, the PCA
- 24 is extracting all the information from the
- 25 covariance matrix for all 91. Now, the -- what

```
115
 1
      that translates into in terms of how different
      coins get weighted, you know -- yeah, that's a
 2
 3
      separate question. But, you know, the PCA
      analysis is extracting, in constructing the PCA
 4
      components, all the information for the entire
      covariance matrix of the 91 tokens.
 6
                Can we --
 7
           Ο.
                Or the 91 -- I keep saying "tokens."
 8
      Ninety-one tokens. I'm using that interchangeably
 9
10
      with crypto assets.
                Can we look at Exhibit 3 of your AF-1?
11
           Q.
12
           A.
                AF-1?
13
           Q.
                Yes, please. Exhibit 3.
                Exhibit 3 is labeled "Regression of XRP
14
15
      price return on principal components of other
      cryptocurrencies."
16
17
                Do you see that?
           Α.
                I do.
18
                Okay. Can you explain to me what the
19
20
      difference is between Principal Component 1 and
21
      Principal Component 2 on your Exhibit 3?
22
                I just explained it. I would reference
23
      the PCA analysis, which is extracting -- it's a
24
      projection of the covariance matrix into a lower
25
      dimension of data. So it's extracting, in the
```

Principal Component 1, the most information from the covariance matrix to construct PCA 1, which is going to be a weighting across tokens based on where the information resides in the covariance matrix to construct PCA 1 and -- and then so forth and so on.

- Q. What was the weight of THC in Principal Component 2?
- A. I don't have that memorized. So it is extracting information from the entire covariance matrix. So the 91 by 91 covariance matrix -- you know, I have not memorized, you know, the implied weighting of the PCA 2 across the 91 tokens.
- Q. Is the use of a 28-day, Tuesday to

  Tuesday, return a generally accepted methodology

  in -- in economics literature studying price

  returns?
- A. I think it is consistent or a reflect of the academic literature for the reasons I state in my footnote.
- So, for example, it's established that there's weekend effects with crypto assets. There are -- and so given these concerns about day-of-the-week effects for crypto, in the literature I think it's consistent to do the

117 1 28-day as the best specification. Sitting here today, can you think of any 2 3 academic literature that uses a 28-day return period? 4 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. So I believe there's a paper by Chain, 6 7 C-H-A-I- -- C-H-A-I-N, that uses a 28-day window. That's one that might be most on point with your 8 question. But, again, I would reference the 9 10 academic literature on day-of-the-week effects for crypto as the reason why I thought Tuesday to 11 Tuesday was the best specification. As I said 12 13 before, the other specifications have the same result. 14 15 Did you cite that Chain paper in your Q. expert report? 16 I don't believe I did. 17 Α. Did you review the Chain paper prior to 18 preparing your expert report? 19 2.0 Α. Yes. Yes, I -- I reviewed a lot of 21 papers. I feel -- felt like it was sufficient, I 22 still feel like it's sufficient, that there are 23 day-of-the-week effects for crypto. And the best 24 specification would incorporate that fact into defining the appropriate window or the appropriate 25

```
time period; i.e., the 28 days.
```

- Q. What other papers besides the Chain paper did you review in preparation of your expert report but not cite in your expert report?
- A. Well, I've read over the years many crypto papers. I'm not going to have a -- a list off the top of my head.
- Q. And I just -- I -- I don't want to interrupt you, but I just want to limit my question to in preparation of your expert report.

  Just that time period.

## MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Again, I'm bringing to bear my knowledge as -- as -- as an academic economist, the literature. I do cite papers for specific reasons in my report, but I am invoking my experience as an academic economist in how I thought and prepared my analyses.
- Q. In your view, does your PCA identify the most important economic factors in the crypto market?

## MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. I don't think that's -- I -- I think that's too broad and too -- I guess I don't agree with that framing. Really, what information that

119 -- what information are you interested in is a 1 function of what the analysis is that you're 2 3 doing. For my analysis, the PCA is extracting 4 in an efficient way the information in the covariance matrix of the 91 or the 9 tokens given 6 what I'm interested in, which is exploring the 7 price movements of XRP in relation to the other 8 cryptocurrency markets. So, again, for my 9 10 purposes I think it does capture the right information. 11 12 I quess I'm hesitant to speak to any --13 you know, other potential research questions I would have to -- I would have to think about. 14 15 In your view, is the information that's captured from the covariance matrix of the 91 16 17 tokens econo -- information about important economic factors in the crypto market? 18 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 19 2.0 Α. It is extracting information about 21 the -- the times -- the pricing time series in the non-XRP cryptocurrency markets in a very 22 23 established way. I think the PCA analysis has 24 been around, I think, over a hundred years. So it is a well-grounded, academically peer-reviewed 25

- technique widely used to capture information that might be relevant to a research question or an analysis.
- Q. Is there other information about the cryptocurrency market other than price and time series and non-XRP cryptocurrency markets that the PCA provides?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I'm not sure what the question's asking.
- Q. I'm asking whether or not the PCA provides any other information about relevant factors in the cryptocurrency market other than just the time and price series of the data -- of the underlying data.
  - A. Well, it --

2.0

- A. I mean, I guess we have to talk a little bit about what a PCA does. It's projecting higher dimensional data using, you know, the -- the covariance matrix into a lower dimension. So it is extracting in an efficient manner information in -- in the -- in the other non-XRP crypto market return price series.
- Q. When you say "information" in that answer, what is that information that's being

121 1 extracted? 2 Α. The covariance matrix. 3 Ο. And --Α. So --4 Ο. Sorry. Go ahead. Yeah. So it's a well-established 6 technique to extract information reflected in the 7 time series of the 91 or the other 9 tokens. 8 What information does it extract? 9 Q. 10 It extracts -- we have lots of time series for 91 or 9. It's extracting the time 11 12 series along the dimensions that have the most 13 information about what the -- what the cryptocurrency markets are doing. So typically 14 15 the PCA is going to work off the eigenvalue of the matrix to project from a higher dimension to a 16 lower dimension the informational content of the 17 data. 18 So, again, completely standard. It's 19 20 used in asset pricing models. It's used for other purposes all the time. It's nothing surprising or 21 22 novel in any way about using a PCA to extract 23 information from -- from -- you know, from a data 24 set. Q. Did you use --25

```
122
                         MR. KELLOGG: Mark, is now a
 1
 2
                good time for a break?
 3
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Sure. Fine
                by me.
 4
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
                Thank you. The time is approximately
 6
 7
                11:58. We're going off the record.
                         (Whereupon, a recess is
 8
 9
                taken.)
10
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And the
                time is approximately 12:15 p.m.
11
                We're back on the record. This is the
12
13
                beginning of Media 3.
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
14
15
                Professor, did you use the Fama-French
      factors in your analysis?
           Α.
17
                No.
                It's true that at no point you conducted
18
      your factor model using daily price data, is that
19
20
      right?
21
           Α.
                Correct.
                Okay. This is a hypothetical question.
22
23
      Let's assume the only change to your analysis is
24
      that you analyzed the price return data at a daily
      interval versus your 28-day interval. Let's
25
```

```
123
      assume that other digital assets explain a very
 1
      small --
 2
 3
                         THE REPORTER: You're going
                to have to slow down, Mark.
 4
                Let's assume that other digital assets
      explain a very small portion of XRP price return
 6
 7
      variation. Say less than 50 percent.
                Would that change your opinion in this
 8
 9
      case?
10
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                If I understand the question correctly,
11
12
      the question is would I reject the model purely as
13
      a function of adjusted R-squared? Again, I guess
      I would want to see the model and what's going on
14
15
      with the model. Obviously different models can
      have different adjusted R-squares in terms of the
16
      explanatory power of the model.
17
                So I quess in your hypothetical, I would
18
      want to do the work to understand the model and --
19
2.0
      and see and make -- and make an assessment from
21
      there. Given my purposes and what I was testing,
22
      you know, I -- I had the specification, I think,
23
      that best captures it.
24
           Q.
                Is your opinion in this case based in
      part on the adjusted R-squares that your model
25
```

produced?

A. Not per se. So the adjusted R-squared for -- for Estimation Period 1, the 90 percent plus, I mean, that is a feature of the model that I use. So in that sense it is part of my analysis because it is the associated adjusted R-squared.

So, yes, going back to my earlier answer, I would say the adjusted R-squared for the model or the models that I'm running is a feature of the model. It's an output of the model. So obviously in that sense it's part of my analysis. It's a function of the model.

But I would also say that, you know, you can obviously not ascertain the statistical significance of the alpha, which is what I'm primarily focused on, by -- you know, by just referencing what the adjusted R-squared is. So I'm more interested in the model's assessment of the statistical significance of the alpha. But that being said, the adjusted R-squared is obviously part of -- it's reflecting what the model is doing in practice.

Q. When you say you're primarily focused on the statistical significance of the alpha, can you explain the relationship between that and your

```
125
 1
      expert opinion that -- I'm quoting from paragraph
      100 -- "variation in long-run price return of XRP
 2
 3
      can be explained by exogenous, non-XRP,
      cryptocurrency price returns or, put differently,
 4
      by factors outside Ripple's control"?
                Can you remind me what page that is?
 6
 7
           Ο.
                Sure, 47.
 8
                Can you remind me of what you were just
           Α.
      reading?
 9
10
           Ο.
                Sure, it's paragraph 100. It starts "In
      summary..." It's just one sentence.
11
12
           Α.
                Okay. And what was -- I see the
13
      language. Which -- now, what was the question?
14
                The question was you -- your -- a few
15
      answers back, I believe that you said that you're
      primarily focused on the statistical significance
16
      of the alpha, is that right?
17
           Α.
                Yes.
18
19
           Q.
                Okay.
20
           Α.
                In the context, to be clear, of having a
21
      model that appropriately accounts for non-XRP
      crypto price movements and so forth.
22
23
                Right. And what I'm trying to do is
24
      ask -- strike that.
25
                What's the relationship between the
```

statistical significance of the alpha and your conclusions that you reached in paragraph 100, if there is one?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Right. So obviously I do further analysis after paragraph 100, so I don't want to create the misimpression that my analysis of the price returns ends at paragraph 100. And so I would incorporate the further analysis that I do.

But I am saying that if the SEC's economic theory as articulated in the complaint is true, one would expect to observe excess returns of XRP and that's the alpha. That is to say, there's returns that are specific to XRP, specific to XRP, that are positive, because the SEC is alleging that on the whole these news and activities of Ripple were helping to drive the price up. So that theory would indicate that the XRP specific price return is positive and statistically significant; i.e., it's -- the return is above and beyond what you would just expect based on general cryptocurrency market movements.

Q. Okay. Returning to my hypothetical about running your factor model with daily

127 interval data, if your factor mod -- if you had 1 done that and under the assumption that other 2 3 digital assets, non-XRP assets, explain a very small portion of XRP price return variation, would 4 that affect your opinion at all? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 6 7 So your question is some hypothetical Α. analysis that I didn't do, would that affect my 8 opinion? I would have to see what the analysis 9 10 is. Some hypothetical model using daily prices for a asset pricing model. I mean, I -- one other 11 12 thing I would say is I do describe in my report 13 the frequency of data that is used for these factor models. 14 15 So, anyway, your hypothetical's about a hypothetical analysis. I would need to take a 16 look and think about it. 17 Would it be possible to run your factor 18 model as described in your report using daily 19 20 pricing data? 21 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Yes, you could do that, I assume. 22 Α. 23 Again, I would be worried about the consistency of 24 such approach with the academic literature that I cite about the frequency of the data used in these 25

```
factor models. The monthly or the weekly is basically what you see. So I cite to those asset pricing model papers about the frequency of the data.
```

2.0

But, again, for some hypothetical analysis that I haven't done, what do I think about it? I guess I would want to see it.

Q. Are there academic papers that you cite in your report that do -- sorry, strike that.

Are there academic papers that you cite in your report regarding cryptocurrency returns that do use daily pricing data?

A. Yes. I mean, I think, you know, I cite to one of Dr. papers where he uses higher frequency data, I think for different purposes than what I'm concerned with. You know, I'm concerned with the long-run time series for the returns. I believe in that particular paper he was more motivated by looking at trading types.

So, yes, some of the academic papers use a different frequency of data, you know, given the research question that they were interested in.

- Q. Why did you elect not to run your model on daily price data for purposes of your opinion?
  - A. Because --

129 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 1 2 -- the academic papers I cite -- and 3 this is when I've done my own academic work with factor models -- is -- is monthly return 4 data is what you see. I know that, you know, some of the papers I cite also use weekly, but I'm 6 7 looking over a seven-year period. 8 So my view is that the monthly -- I'm rounding here from the 28 days -- is consistent 9 10 with the asset pricing model literature. When you've used factor models in your 11 Ο. 12 own academic work, have you also used a 28-day 13 interval? 14 No, because I've never -- I've never run a factor model in my academic work on -- on 15 crypto, which has these unique features; you know, 16 this -- this feature in the data that you have 17 these day -- these strong day-of-the-week effects. 18 Let's turn to paragraph 20 -- sorry, 19 2.0 strike that. 21 Let's turn to paragraph 92 of your opening report, page 41. 22 23 Α. Okay. 24 Q. The first sentence of paragraph 92 is "There is no consensus in the literature on the 25

```
130
      nature or the number of factors that should be
 1
      used."
 2
 3
                Do you see that?
           Α.
                T do.
 4
                Is it fair to say, then, that it was
      your own judgment as to which factors would be
 6
 7
      appropriate to determine the factors that you used
      in your analysis?
 8
 9
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
10
                No, I don't agree with that -- that --
      that characterization. I use an absolutely
11
12
      standard technique, a technique that's been used
13
      in asset pricing model literature to construct the
14
      factors. So it wasn't a subjective decision. I'm
15
      using well-established techniques --
      well-established techniques used in the asset
16
      pricing model literature to construct the factors.
17
                What academic articles did you rely on
18
      in support of your selection of factors for your
19
2.0
      factor model?
                Well, I -- I have a number of academic
21
           Α.
      citations in my -- in my report, including
22
23
      academic citations where the PCA analysis is used.
24
                As I said before, I'm also drawing on my
      general experience as an academic economist and my
25
```

```
131
      general knowledge of the asset pricing model
 1
      literature, as well as the academic cites that I
 2
 3
      provide.
           O. How is it --
 4
                         THE WITNESS: Am I speaking
                too quickly?
 6
 7
                         THE REPORTER: Yes.
                         THE WITNESS: I'll -- I'll
 8
                slow down.
 9
10
                         THE REPORTER: Thank you.
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
11
12
           Q.
                How is it that you were able to draw
13
      from the literature for assistance with selecting
      factors given that there's no consensus as to the
14
15
      nature or number of factors in the literature?
16
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                I think you're mischaracterizing that
17
           Α.
      sentence because what -- the sentences that follow
18
      in that paragraph, paragraph 92, you know, are
19
20
      referencing sort of off-the-shelf factors. So for
21
      CAPM you have a market index. Often people use
22
      the S&P 500. For Fama-French you can go to Ken
23
      French's website, you can pull the Fama-French
24
      factors. So these are established indices in that
25
      space.
```

So what the sentence is really saying is there's -- there's no such established indices in the sense that you have it for CAPM and for Fama-French in the equity space. That's not to say that there isn't a relevant academic literature. That relevant academic literature is both the use of the PCA as a -- as a well-known statistical technique, the use of PCA in the context of asset pricing models, and the use of factor models more generally in this space.

2.0

Q. Okay. So even though, according to your report, there's no consensus in the literature on the nature and number of factors that should be used, you would say, nevertheless, the methodology that you applied here is informed by that academic literature?

- A. Don't agree with that. I -- I'm drawing from the academic literature. It's grounded in the academic literature. It reflects the academic literature: The academic literature on the PCA, the -- the academic literature where PCAs are used in asset pricing models, the academic literature on factor models.
  - Q. Why did you use a PCA for determining

factors that affect digital asset returns instead of constructing a market index?

2.0

- A. Well, again, I mean, you could -- I mean, I guess I'd just go back to my report, which is there's no established market index for crypto over this time period; that is to say, 2013 to 2020. There is a well-established technique in the academic literature for constructing factors and that is -- that is the PCA. And that's consistent and reflective and grounded in the academic literature.
- Q. If you had wanted to construct a market index for digital assets, could you have done so?

  MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
- A. So I'm not aware -- I guess I would just stick with what I say in my report, that my -- my view of the literature is there's no consensus as there is in the CAPM or Fama-French of an off-the-shelf factor to use. There is a consensus that the PCA is -- is an appropriate technique to construct factors and -- and that's what I do.
- Q. Setting aside consensus, which I understand, just from a layperson's perspective, if you had wanted to construct a market index as

an economist for these other digital assets, is that something you would have been capable of doing?

- A. I don't even know what a market index would mean in that context. So we're talking about 2013, where we have the very beginning of crypto assets. We have a lot of coins added later. Again, I don't know what the point of that exercise would be if there's -- you know, if that exercise that you're contemplating is not grounded in the academic literature.
- Q. Are you aware of any academic literature in which the authors construct a market index for cryptocurrencies?
- A. So there are papers that I talk about that do construct factors for later time periods in various ways including using PCA. I'm not aware of a consensus in the literature for a market index over this time period in the way that you do for CAPM and Fama-French.
- Q. Setting aside whether there's a consensus, are you aware of any academic papers in which the authors construct a market index for cryptocurrencies?

135 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 1 You would have to direct me to the 2 3 paper. I'm sure that folks have looked at the market generally for crypto. I'm not aware of an 4 established market index for this time period in the way that you do for CAPM and Fama-French. So 6 7 that's my view of the literature. Okay. So just for clarity of the 8 Q. record, sitting here today, you can't think of any 9 10 academic articles in which the authors construct a market index for cryptocurrencies? 11 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 12 13 Α. I'm not saying that. So I'm sure that 14 there's papers that look at market effects of crypto. You know, I have some citations here in 15 my -- I'm trying to find it now. 16 17 So, yeah, that's not a fair characterization. There's certainly papers that 18 look at the general market for crypto assets. If 19 20 you want to call that a market index or not, I 21 don't know. But, again, I would just revert to my earlier characterization of the literature. 22 23 Ο. What is the -- strike that. 24 Is the PCA a commonly accepted methodology for identifying factors for an asset

136 1 pricing model? Α. 2 Yes. 3 Ο. Did you cite any sources in your report that use a PCA to identify factors for an asset 4 pricing model? So I know this is not a memory test. I 6 7 believe I do cite such papers. I believe the Hu paper, is my best memory, does a PCA in the asset 8 pricing model. But I do believe I do cite studies 9 10 and -- let me just see here. And I will also say, drawing on my 11 12 general experience as an academic economist, that 13 PCAs are used in the asset pricing model literature. There's nothing unusual or novel or 14 15 surprising about that. Is it true that when conducting a PCA, a 16 Ο. researcher typically tries to understand what the 17 components mean? 18 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 19 2.0 Α. Well, I discuss this in my report. I 21 mean, the components -- the meaning of the 22 component is -- is informed by what the PCA 23 analysis is doing. So I guess I would reference 24 our earlier discussion about what the PCA component is reflecting. 25

```
Q. Does your report provide any economic interpretation between any particular principal component and the cryptocurrency market?
```

2.0

A. Well, the -- the PCA is extracting the information from the general non-XRP cryptocurrency markets and -- and their price movements and price properties.

Let me just pause here and see if there's anything I want to add to that.

Yeah, and I would also reference paragraph 93 where I talked about this in a little more detail.

Oh, and then paragraph 94 I mention the Hu paper that uses PCA. I mention the Liu paper.

 $\mbox{So, again, I think I would go back to my} \\ \mbox{report and answer some of these questions.} \\$ 

- Q. Is it fair to say that in your analysis you observed the relationship between Principal Component 1 and XRP?
- A. No. I -- in my analysis I believe for the -- if we can just turn to my Exhibit 3, I have four principal components using the BIC criteria for Estimation Period 1 and I have 11 for the second period.
  - Q. Okay. Can you tell me what the BIC

138 1 criteria is? Well, I have the formula in the 2 footnote. I don't have the formula memorized. 3 But it's the Bayesian information criteria. So 4 it's a well-established criteria for how many variables, independent variables, that you add to 6 7 the model. And then it tells you whether you should -- you know, in my situation, whether you 8 should have three or four or five or -- or so 9 10 forth. So it's a criteria that penalizes you 11 12 for adding a variable, but also you get the 13 benefit of more explanatory power, generally speaking. So the formula for the BIC -- and I'm 14 15 just going to take a moment to find it in my report because I do have a footnote on it. Give 16 me a second here. It's Footnote 171. 17 Ο. I see. 18 So Footnote 171 sets forth the Bayesian 19 20 information criterion equation, is that right? 21 Α. Yes. Okay. And the -- that's referred to in 22 23 Footnote 4 of your Exhibit 3 as the BIC criteria? 24 Let me just confirm that -- the footnote. Just give me one second. Yes, that is 25

correct.

- Q. Why did you use the BIC criteria in selecting your number of principal components as reflected on Exhibit 3?
- A. Well, the BIC is an objective criteria for how many components you're going to have. So do you include one? Do you include three? Do you include seven? I don't want to have a subjective judgment as to the number of BIC princ -- the number of principal components. The BIC is a well-established criteria for answering that question that's been used in the academic literature.

So, for example, at the end of paragraph -- I'm sorry, at the end of Footnote

171, you know, I cite to a Journal of Financial

Economics paper that uses BIC for their P -- PCA.

Q. Is it -- is it in your view important to use objective criteria for determining how many components you need to have?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Well, my view is -- I would -- I would have my view be more granular than that; is that the BIC is a well-established criteria for deciding the number of components to use.

140 And for your purposes in forming your 1 expert opinion, was it important to you to use a 2 methodology that employed objective criteria in 3 determining your -- the number of components to 4 use? 6 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 7 Again, the way I would frame it is this Α. is a well-established criteria in the literature 8 that I'm using. So I wanted to ground my 9 10 selection criteria in the academic literature, which is what this does. So that -- I would 11 12 describe the motivation in those terms. 13 Q. Okay. Can we turn to Exhibit 5 of your AF-1? 14 15 Α. Exhibit 5? Ο. 16 Yes. Give me one second here. 17 Α. Exhibit 5 is labeled "Regression of XRP 18 Ο. Returns on Returns of Largest Market-Cap Coins." 19 2.0 Do you see that? 21 Α. Yes. 22 I don't see in the footnotes any reference to the BIC criteria. 23 24 Did you employ the BIC criteria in making your selections for the components of 25

141 1 Exhibit 5? No, the --2 Α. 3 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. The selection criteria here is largest 4 Α. market cap coins. And, again, as I explain in my 5 report, the purpose of Exhibit 5 is just as a 6 7 robustness check on the PCA and -- and, also, 8 unlike a PCA principal components analysis, you know, which is this implied weighting across 9 10 crypto assets, you know, Exhibit 5 enables the reader to actually see individual crypto assets. 11 12 So, again, it's by way of another, you 13 know -- by way of, you know, moving from the PCA 14 just so that you have individual crypto assets on 15 the right-hand side. But the selection criteria was the largest as, again, as a robustness check 16 on my -- you know, as a -- one additional analysis 17 to my principal analysis that uses the PCA. 18 Did -- did you attempt to use the BIC 19 20 criteria to engage in your selection process with respect to Exhibit 5? 21 22 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 23 I've already answered the question. Α. 24 Q. That's a no then? I told you the selection criteria for 25 Α.

142 1 Exhibit 5. And that did not include the BIC 2 Q. 3 criteria, is that right? Α. That's correct. I chose -- the 4 selection criteria is the largest market cap coins. The coins that were the largest in this 6 7 time period. So that was the selection criteria for Exhibit 5 and -- and the results -- and the 8 results have been reported there. 9 10 Ο. Turning back to your Exhibit 3. Α. 11 Yes. 12 Q. As I understand your analysis, you did 13 observe some relationship between the principal 14 components in your model and XRP, is that right? 15 For some of the principal components. Okay. For the principal components for 16 Ο. which you observed the relationship between those 17 principal components and XRP, what's the -- what's 18 the economic intuition behind that relationship in 19 20 your view? 21 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. What I would say is there's a 22 Α. 23 statistical association between the information 24 impounded in that -- that principal component and XRP price returns. 25

143 Do you provide any economic 1 interpretation of that statistical association? 2 3 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Sure. That's what I talk about in my 4 Α. report. 5 Can you point to me in your report where 6 7 you provide an economic interpretation for the statistical association between certain of your 8 PCs and XRP price returns? 9 10 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Well, I would just refer to my 11 12 discussion in part 3 where I talk about movements 13 in the noncryptocurrency markets, so the non-XRP cryptocurrency markets, and the relationship to 14 15 XRP price return data and the meaning of the 16 excess returns. So, again, that is reflected in this 17 full discussion in Part III. 18 You say Part III. 19 Q. 2.0 Do you have a specific section that 21 you're looking at when you refer me to Part III? 22 Well, I would refer you to III.C and 23 III.D, where I talk about the factor model and 24 what it's doing and what the meaning is. So I would just reference my report for that 25

144 1 discussion. Let me try to put the question in 2 3 plainer English that I might understand better. In your report do you express any 4 opinion or explanation as to why there's a 5 statistically significant correlation between some 6 7 of your PCs and XRP price returns? 8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. So, again, the -- what I'm focused on --9 Α. 10 I mean, obviously, I'm building this model -- is, is there excess returns for XRP? Is there 11 12 positive excess returns for XRP above and beyond 13 what -- the general price movements in the non-XRP 14 cryptocurrency markets? That information in those 15 markets is embedded into the PCA -- or into the principal components that are used on the 16 17 right-hand side of the equation. If I'm understanding your answer 18 correctly, it wasn't part of your project to 19 2.0 examine the question of why there was any 21 relationship between certain of your principal 22 components and XRP price returns, is that right? 23 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 24 Α. I don't agree with that. I mean, I'm --I'm -- I'm addressing the empirical question of 25

145 1 what is the relationship between XRP and the more general cryptocurrency markets? That's the 2 3 empirical question that I'm addressing. I didn't know the answer to that before doing the 4 analysis, it's an empirical question, but that is -- that is the question that in part is being 6 7 addressed here. 8 And it's fair to say as a result of your analysis, you -- you did observe a relationship 9 10 between XRP price returns and the returns of other digital assets? 11 12 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 13 Α. Well, again, I would reference Exhibit 14 3 -- Exhibit 4 -- I'm sorry, Exhibit 3 is just the -- Exhibit 4 is just the list. But, yeah, I 15 would go back -- if we're talking about the factor 16 model, I would go back to, you know, the exhibits 17 that report the outcome of that -- of that 18 19 analysis. 2.0 Q. And did you make any attempt in your report to explain why you observed the 21 relationship that you observed between XRP price 22 returns and the returns of other digital assets? 23 24 MR. KELLOGG: Objection; asked and answered. 25

A. Yeah, I would just frame my inquiry the way I did before, which is it's an empirical question about the relationship between XRP and the broader market of crypto assets and I'm observing that empirical relationship in the way that you would do in any asset pricing model. So it's a very standard type of analysis that you would do when you're thinking about that sort of empirical question.

2.0

Q. In economics literature, if a -- if an author observes a price correlation, is it typical that the author provide some possible economic explanation for that correlation?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. You're speaking at a very high level. I would want to look at the paper, what the research question is, in order to answer that.
- Q. It is a high-level question and it's broad, but I'm asking you as someone who knows the economics literature. In the economics literature -- instead of typical, let's say common.

In the economics literature, is it common if an author observes a price correlation that the author provide some possible explanation

```
147
 1
      for that correlation?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 2
 3
           Α.
                Again, it really depends on what the
      research question is. You know, in an asset
 4
      pricing model, typically what you're interested in
      is the empirics: What are the relationships?
 6
                But, again, what questions are going to
 7
      be answered in an academic paper obviously is a
 8
      function of what is the research question at
 9
10
      issue.
                Let's look at Exhibit 7 to your report,
11
12
      AF-1. So exhibit 7 is labeled "Regression of XRP
13
      Returns on Principal Components of Other
      Cryptocurrencies and Returns of Other Assets."
14
15
                Do you see that?
                I do.
16
           Α.
                Okay. There's a column on Exhibit 7
17
      that says "Cryptocurrency and S&P 500."
18
                Do you see that?
19
2.0
           Α.
                Yes.
                The third entry down in that column is
21
           Ο.
22
      negative 0.001 asterisk.
23
                Do you see that?
24
           Α.
                I do.
                Okay. What does negative 0.001 asterisk
25
           Q.
```

```
148
 1
      tell us in this chart?
                It tells us that there's a statistically
 2
 3
      significant relationship between Principal
      Component 1 and XRP price returns.
 4
                Okay. Going down that same column,
      cryptocurrency and S&P 500, if you go down to the
 6
      row that says "S&P 500 Return," I see an entry of
 7
      0.398.
 8
 9
                Do you see that?
10
           Α.
                I do.
                Okay. What does the 0.398 tell us?
11
           Q.
12
           Α.
                Well, this -- this is telling us that
13
      there's not a statistically significant
      relationship in this specification between the S&P
14
      500 and the returns of XRP.
15
                Can you interpret Exhibit 7 to say that
16
      if the S&P 500 changes by 1 percent, then the XRP
17
      return would change by .398 percent?
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
19
2.0
           Α.
                I would not say that because that point
      estimate is not statistically significant.
21
22
                When you say "that point estimate,"
23
      which number are you referring to?
24
           Α.
                I'm referring to 0.398.
                Do you agree with the general maxim that
25
           Ο.
```

149 1 correlation does not equal causation? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 2 3 Α. Yes. Do you interpret the results of your 4 Q. analysis, as set forth in Section III.C of your report, to establish correlation between XRP price 6 7 returns and the price returns of certain other digital assets? 8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 9 10 The way I would frame it is XRP moves in relation to the general cryptocurrency market as 11 reflected in -- in my statistical analysis. 12 13 Q. Is there a distinction between the 14 answer that you just provided and correlation 15 conceptually? 16 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. You know, maybe this is a nitpick, but 17 Α. the betas typically are, you know -- correlate, 18 you know -- you know, it's a correlation divided 19 20 by some form of volatility in the market. But I 21 agree with the proposition that what I'm showing 22 consistent with the asset pricing model literature 23 is the XRP returns do move in relation to the 24 general cryptocurrency markets. There is a statistically significant association. 25

```
Q. Okay. Do you interpret the results of your analysis set forth in III.C to establish any causal relationship between XRP price returns and the price returns of certain other digital assets?
```

- A. What I would say is what I say in my report, which is the price returns -- that there's no XRP specific price returns above and beyond the statistically significant associations with generalized cryptocurrency market price movements.
- Q. Let's go back to paragraph 100 of your report, which is on page 47.
  - A. Yes.

2.0

Q. What does the word -- I'll just read it for the record so we're clear.

"In summary, my empirical analyses show that the variation in long-run price return of XRP can be explained by exogenous, non-XRP, cryptocurrency price returns or, put differently, by factors outside Ripple's control."

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. What does the word "exogenous"
  mean in that sentence?
- A. It means I'm looking at crypto assets other than XRP.

- Q. Okay. What steps did you take, if any, to verify that non-XRP cryptocurrency returns were, in fact, exogenous of XRP returns?
  - A. Well, I --

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Again, I just told you the definition that I'm using here, which is I'm looking at non-XRP price returns in the data. I'm looking at the general movements, whether it be the 9 or the 91, the general movements as captured by the principal component analysis in those markets. The markets excluding XRP.
- Q. Did you perform any analysis to check whether the non-XRP cryptocurrency returns that you examined were affected by changes in XRP price returns?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. The analysis I did is reflected in the report, which is, are there statistical associations over this time period, the seven years or the five years, between generalized non-XRP crypto market price return behavior and XRP? That's -- that's the analysis that I did.
- Q. Is it possible that there is a statistically significant association between XRP

returns and the other digital asset returns that 1 you looked at because XRP affects the prices of those other digital assets?

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- I have not seen any theory or -economic theory articulated to that effect in this litigation, so I'm not aware of such a claim. But, again, all I can do is reiterate, you know, in response to your question, what I did, which is statistical associations between generalized movements in the cryptocurrency markets, you know, across these 91 tokens or across the 9 tokens and movements in XRP.
- Did you undertake any analysis to rule out the possibility that XRP price -- price movements affect the price movements of the other digital assets that you looked at?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection; asked and answered.

Α. Yeah. So I'll just repeat what I did in my analysis, which is, what are the statistical associations between generalized non-XRP crypto markets and movements in the XRP price; and, furthermore, are there XRP price returns above and beyond what -- what the statistical associations

would indicate in terms of the movement of XRP price?

Q. So is it fair to say, sitting here today, that you don't have an opinion about whether XRP moved the prices of other digital assets or other digital assets moved the price of XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. So, again, I'll just reiterate the analysis I did do, which is the statistical associations between generalized market movements and XRP in just the same way that academics have done it in all sorts of contexts, including public equities.
- Q. Are you offering an opinion in this case that the price movements in other digital assets caused the price movements in XRP?
- A. I wouldn't frame it that way. I would say there's a statistically significant association between these generalized price movements and price movements in XRP consistent with the asset pricing model literature.
- Q. Are you offering an opinion in this case that the price movements in XRP caused the price movements in other digital assets?

A. I never say that in my report. Again, I can reiterate what I did, which is, you know, I did an asset pricing model, which is an exercise that's commonly done in the academic literature, looking at the statistical associations between this particular asset, XRP, and more generalized market movements as represented by the 91 tokens or crypto assets and the 9 -- or the 9 for the -- for the longer time period.

Q. Was it part of your assignment in this case to determine whether, or if, XRP price movements moved the prices of other digital assets?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. So, again, the work that I did and the analysis I did is contained in my reports. And, again, I'm happy to reiterate what I did in the factor model, which is to test whether there's an XRP specific price movement above and beyond what you would otherwise expect given the statistical associations in terms of generalized non-XRP crypto market price movements.
- Q. So I think that means you were not asked to determine whether or not there was a causal relationship between the movements in XRP prices

155 on the one hand and the movements in digital asset 1 2 prices on the other hand? 3 MR. KELLOGG: Objection; asked and answered. 4 So that's not -- those words are not in my assignment. My assignment and what I did is 6 what I just described. So, again, I would be 7 happy to state what I did, but, you know, just 8 sort of the way I would summarize it is I used, 9 10 consistent or reflective of the asset pricing model literature, an asset pricing model here to 11 12 explore as whether, as a statistical matter, 13 there's XRP price returns above and beyond what these statistical associations would tend to 14 15 indicate would happen or would, you know -- or the statistical associations reflecting this --16 17 reflecting the results of the factor model. Let's look at paragraph 102 of your 18 19 report, which is on page 48. 2.0 Α. Page 48? 21 Ο. Yes. The first sentence of 102 states "The 22 23 factor models and the corresponding results I 24 present in Exhibits 3 through 7 allow me to examine whether, on average, there are additional 25

```
156
 1
      XRP price returns after controlling for other
 2
      non-XRP cryptocurrency market factors."
 3
                Do you see that?
           Α.
                T do.
 4
                Okay. When you refer to "controlling
      for other non-XRP cryptocurrency market factors,"
 6
 7
      do you mean the use of your PCA?
           Α.
                Well, I believe some of those exhibits
 8
 9
      is just running it on the individual crypto assets
10
      that we discussed earlier, but I'm definitely
      referring to including here the factor models:
11
12
      That is to say, Estimation Period 1, Estimation
13
      Period 2., the factor models supplemented by these
14
      additional indices such as the S&P 500, as well
15
      as -- you know, obviously I also had the
      distributions analysis, too, although I don't --
16
      let me just -- give me one moment here. Let me
17
      just refresh my recollection.
18
                So Exhibit 3 is the PCA; exhibit 6 is
19
20
      for the full time period; and Exhibit 7 is -- is
      for the five-year period. Let me just -- give me
21
22
      a second here.
23
                Yeah. So the -- the distribution
24
      analysis is not in Exhibits 3 through 7. That
      comes later.
25
```

Q. Okay. Did you check to see if your principal components explain the price returns of other digital assets other than XRP?

- A. No. That was not -- that was not relevant to the asset pricing model inquiry. I'm constructing an asset pricing model for XRP.
- Q. Why did you not include other factors besides other digital assets in your construction of your PCA?
- A. Well, I explored that possibility, so I have these exhibits. I'll turn to Exhibit 7. I do explore other factors, sort of standard indices that we were talking about earlier: The S&P 500, the MSC -- MCSI World Index, the Emerging Market Index, the Commodity Index, the gold return, the U.S. dollar, the Japanese yen.
- So I -- I do explore some standard indices as well, and consistent with the academic literature, these are not statistically significant.
- Q. Did you include, for instance, the S&P 500 in the construction of your principal components?
- A. Well, I view Exhibit 7 as part of the work that I did here.

Q. Understood, but I'm asking a specific question about how you constructed your principal components.

Did you include the S&P 500 return within your construction of the principal components?

A. Oh, I misunderstood your question.

No, the principal components, whether it's one, two, three or four, whatever the principal component is, is -- is capturing the information from other crypto assets.

- Q. And -- and why was it that you opted not to include, for example, the S&P 500 in your principal components construction?
- A. Well, because I -- what I wanted to do -- there's a couple of different questions.

  One question in my asset pricing model is, do -- the -- the first logical question is, do other crypto assets, like bitcoin, like Ether and so forth -- whether other crypto assets have an association, a statistical association, with XRP returns. And for that, given the lack of a market -- an academic consensus on market indices over this time period, I used the PCA.

So the first logical question is to --

159 to address that relationship. For the S&P 500, 1 there's an index that we all know that's used 2 3 commonly in the literature. And I just entered that into the equation on the right-hand side once 4 I had captured the information in the non-XRP cryptocurrencies. 6 7 If in constructing your principal Ο. components you're attempting to find the best 8 factors to explain XRP price returns, why not 9 10 include the S&P 500 returns? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 11 12 Α. Okay. So that's a little bit of a 13 misstatement of my approach. My approach was, 14 obviously, in exploring XRP returns, one needs to 15 incorporate what's happening in the general cryptocurrency markets. Just as a -- that's 16 obvious. And so the PCA analysis is the way, 17 consistent with the asset pricing model 18 literature, that I do that. 19 2.0 With the S&P 500, there's an index that we -- we don't have to do a PCA. There's an index 21 that's readily available, widely used. And, so, 22 23 once I captured the non-XRP cryptocurrency 24 markets, I then entered the S&P 500. 25 So the PCA is about constructing

- components for the non-XRP cryptocurrency markets.
- 2 That's the point of the exercise.

Q. So in your view in constructing your principal components, there were not any other factors that would be of relevance other than the prices of certain other digital assets?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. So certainly it could be the case the S&P 500 matters. That's why I test it. Again, consistent with the academic literature, it's not statistically significant. But, again, I needed to be able to test the relationship between XRP and these crypto -- more general non-XRP crypto markets. And so consistent with the academic literature, I used PCA for that question.
- Q. Did you perform any sensitivity checks for your PCA results using different sets of digital assets?
- A. I used -- well, I used two different sets of digital assets: The 9 for the full period and the 91 for the second period.
- The -- so I used all the tokens that I could subject to the selection criteria that I -- I have in my report; you know, i.e., for -- or, for example, I have price return data for those

- tokens. And then I allowed the PCA to tell me what's important and what's not.
  - Q. And -- sorry.

- A. So, again, the way I view my exercise is I looked at the tokens that -- for which I had the available data for the seven-year period and then allowed the PCA to tell me what's important in that -- in that universe.
- Q. Other than what you just described, did you perform any additional sensitivity checks for your PCA results using different sets of digital assets?
- A. I used all the digital assets that I could for the first estimation period and for the second.

Now, I do want to add for the second I did have a minimum market cap criteria. I think it's reflected in the report. But I allowed the PCA to tell me what was important in the universe of tokens that I had available to me.

- Q. Let me turn your attention to Footnote 162 on page 42.
- 23 A. Give me one second. 162?
- 24 Q. Yes.
- 25 A. Yes.

```
162
 1
           Ο.
                This is the market cap criteria that you
      just referenced?
 2
 3
           Α.
                Correct.
                Okay. Is it possible that at some point
 4
           Q.
      during your period the market cap for an included
      digital asset could have dipped lower than
 6
      $100,000?
 7
 8
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                It's possible.
 9
           Α.
                Okay.
10
           Q.
                I don't know if that happened, but it's
11
12
      possible. I don't know offhand -- let me take
      another -- I don't know offhand that that
13
      happened. The criteria here, in Footnote 162, is
14
15
      at least 100,000 in either August or December.
16
                Do you know -- strike that.
           Ο.
                In Footnote 4 to your Exhibit 4 --
17
                Wait. Wait one second.
18
           Α.
           Q.
                Sure.
19
20
           Α.
                Exhibit 4?
21
           Q.
                Yes.
22
           Α.
                Exhibit 4. Okay.
23
           Q.
                You state "XRP market cap on August
24
      11th, 2015 was $274 million."
25
                Do you see that?
```

```
163
 1
           Α.
                I do.
                Okay. And do you know offhand what
 2
           Q.
      XRP's market cap was by December 2020?
 3
                I don't know offhand.
           Α.
 4
           Ο.
                I think it is in --
                I think it's in the complaint, but I
 6
      don't remember offhand.
7
                Let's go to page C-3 of your Appendix C.
 8
           Q.
                Where should I go?
 9
           Α.
10
           Ο.
                Paragraph 6.
           Α.
                Of Appendix?
11
12
           Q.
                Let me make sure I have the label right.
13
                Yeah, Appendix C, page C-3, paragraph 6
      of that appendix.
14
15
                Let me just take a look.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Mark, can you
16
                clarify where we are?
17
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Sure.
18
                Professor, do you see where we are?
19
           Q.
20
           Α.
                I'm at paragraph 6 at C-3.
                Okay. I just want to make sure the
21
           Q.
22
      copies are right.
23
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Yeah, we're
24
                at page -- page C-3 of Appendix C.
25
                         MR. KELLOGG: Okay. Got it.
```

```
164
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Okay.
 1
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
 2
 3
           Q.
                So paragraph 6, the -- the last sentence
      on page C-3 says "For comparison, on those two
 4
      days, XRP market capitalization far exceeded that
      cutoff and was $260 million and $23 billion,
 6
 7
      respectively."
 8
                Do you see that?
                I do.
 9
           Α.
10
           Ο.
                And I read that $23 billion figure to
      correspond to December 21st, 2020.
11
12
                Do you read that the same way?
13
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Was it important to your analysis
14
15
      of the relationship between XRP price returns and
      other digital asset price returns to understand
16
      the market caps of XRP and those other digital
17
      assets?
18
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
19
20
                Well, I certainly report the market cap.
           Α.
      I certainly report regressions on the highest
21
22
      market cap coins in Exhibit 5. So I certainly do
23
      work on that.
24
                For purposes of the PCA, the PCA will
      extract the information in the most efficient way
25
```

```
from the entire universe of -- of the tokens regardless of their -- you know, regardless of whether it's 100 million or 300 million or what have you.
```

2.0

Q. In your view, is it -- is it at all likely that a digital asset with a market cap of \$100,000 would have an impact on the price of XRP when it has a market cap in the hundreds of millions of dollars?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. I don't think that's a -- I don't think that -- I think you're miss -- I think your question misunderstands the point of an asset pricing model, which is we have movements, price movements, in the general market. Maybe they're small cap; maybe they're large cap. And the question is, is there a statistically significant association with those movements? And in this instance, XRP.

So I don't think there's anything in the asset pricing model methodology that would exclude the possibility that smaller cap coins have some informational content. Obviously, I employed 100,000 as sort of the minimum, but I don't -- I would not for the purposes of the PCA rank them in

```
166
      terms of whether they have 200 million or 400
 1
      million or something else.
 2
 3
                Now, obviously, in Exhibit 5, I do
      run -- I do run the regression on the largest
 4
      market cap coins, but that's -- that's not the
      factor model.
 6
 7
                Is there any academic literature that
           Ο.
      you can think of with respect to cryptocurrency
 8
      asset prices that uses a minimum $100,000 market
 9
10
      cap for inclusion in the model?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
11
12
           Α.
                So I cite in the footnote -- not
13
      100,000, it's a -- it's a different time period
      for different coins -- that a threshold is being
14
      used in their analysis.
15
                What footnote are you looking at,
16
      Professor?
17
           Α.
                So Footnote 8.
18
                On C-3?
19
           Q.
20
           Α.
                On C-3. So I say "My decision to
21
      restrict the sample based on market cap is also
      supported by the academic literature. See, for
22
23
      example, Liu, 2021, who restricts the coins in
24
      their sample to those with a market cap over a
      million." Journal of Finance.
25
```

```
Q. Why was it that you decided to use $100,000 instead of a million dollars for your model?
```

A. Right. I wanted to be -- I wanted to make sure that I allowed the PCA to -- to potentially incorporate information on a wide range of coins. It's not that -- and those coins -- you know, the crypto assets' price movements might have a statistical relationship even if they're 200,000 or 500,000 with -- with XRP price returns.

So I used the lower threshold and,
hence -- hence, used a larger universe of coins
than would otherwise be the case, particularly in
-- anyway, particularly in these earlier periods.

Q. And what was the advantage in your view of using that lower threshold?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Well, I wanted to allow the PCA to tell
me for universal coins for which I had pricing
data, you know, to extract that information
from -- from the covariance matrix. And then it's
an empirical question whether the information so
extracted has a statistically significant
association.

168 So if the coins, if the crypto assets 1 that are being analyzed by the PCA, are 2 3 uninformative about XRP price returns, they're uninformative because I used \$100,000 threshold, 4 for instance, then the statistical analysis would tell us that, you know. 6 7 So as I report from my Estimation Period 1 -- and I'll go back to Exhibit 3 -- in fact, you 8 know, I have a 91 percent -- a 92 percent adjusted 9 10 R-squared and I'm finding statistically significant association. 11 12 So the information from the token so 13 defined does have informational content in the asset pricing model about movements of XRP. 14 When you say it has -- strike that. 15 Q. You just said the information in --16 strike that. 17 I think you just said that the 18 information in the token model -- sorry. Let me 19 20 start again. Withdrawn. 21 What information about XRP price returns is provided by your model as reflected on Exhibit 22 23 3? 24 I would just go back to our conversation this morning, our conversation about what a PCA 25

```
does. So the P -- the principal component
 1
      analysis is using this universe of tokens, however
 2
      that's being defined. By "tokens" I mean crypto
 3
      assets. Extracting the information from that and
 4
      seeing -- testing the empirical question about
      what is the statistical association? And, more
 6
 7
      specifically, are there excess returns to XRP that
      are not otherwise explained or accounted for by
 8
      these statistical associations?
 9
10
                Why was it that you decided to construct
      two separate estimation periods?
11
```

A. I know that Ether is an important -- it was a judgment about Ether that started to be traded at this point. Let me just go to my report here. Give me one second.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah. So Exhibit 4 has the largest coins as of August 11th, 2015. Ether is there.

It's the third largest, you know, after bitcoin and Litecoin.

So, again, Ether is an important crypto, not -- I'm not saying for purposes of this litigation, but Ether is well known. That's when it began to trade. Also, starting in 2015, it enables one to use a lot more coins or a lot more crypto assets than 2013.

```
170
                So the virtue of -- of 2015 is I also
1
      have more tokens. And so it's just a robustness
2
      check on this 2015 to 2020 period, in particular,
3
      utilizing through the PCA more tokens than were
 4
      available as of 2013. So we move from 9 tokens, 9
      crypto assets, to 91, I believe, as of August
6
7
      2015.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Mark, is this a
8
                good time for a lunch break?
9
10
                         MR. SYLVESTER: I think it
                is, yes. Let's go off the record.
11
12
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
13
                Thank you. The time is approximately
                1:16. We're going off the record.
14
15
                         (Whereupon, a luncheon recess
                is taken.)
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
171
                 AFTERNOON SESSION
 1
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And the
 2
 3
                time is approximately 1 -- excuse me,
                2:04 p.m. We're back on the record.
 4
                        MR. KELLOGG: Mark, before
               you start, can I put one thing on the
 6
 7
               record?
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Sure.
 8
                        MR. KELLOGG: Re our
 9
10
                discussion earlier about Allen's
                compensation agreement with Compass
11
12
               Lexecon.
13
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Mm-hmm.
14
                         MR. KELLOGG: We've reached
15
               out to Compass Lexecon and they would
               not agree to allow Allen to testify on
16
                that. But we're happy to continue
17
               working with you to get whatever
18
                information about that you -- you
19
2.0
               need.
21
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Okay. Thank
22
               you.
23
     BY MR. SYLVESTER:
24
          Q.
               Professor, let's turn back to Exhibit 5
     of your opening report. This is your "Regression
25
```

```
172
 1
      of XRP Returns on Returns" --
                Yeah. I just -- I just want to find the
 2
 3
      part in my report where I discuss the exhibit. So
      just --
 4
           Ο.
                Sure. Take your time.
                -- give me a moment here.
 6
 7
                And just for the record, I'll read the
           Ο.
      title again. Exhibit 5 is the "Regression of XRP
 8
      Returns on Returns of Largest Market-Cap Coins."
 9
10
           Α.
                Just -- I need a second here.
                Okay. I'm there.
11
12
           Q.
               Okay. Great.
13
                So turning to Exhibit 5, do you have any
      explanation as to why some of the coins on Exhibit
14
15
      5 have a statistically significant positive
      coefficient and others have a statistically
16
      significant negative coefficient? I'm looking at
17
      Estimation Period 2.
18
                So you want me to look at Estimation
19
2.0
      Period 2.
           Ο.
21
                Mm-hmm.
                Well, just -- just to be clear, the
22
23
      coefficient on the bitcoin, the negative 0.661,
24
      for instance, is conditional on all the other
      returns in the right-hand side. So it's not the
25
```

```
173
 1
      coefficient on bitcoin as an independent variable
      by itself, but conditional on the other returns
 2
      being included, it's a negative 0.661. So I just
 3
      wanted to be clear about what the coefficient
 4
      represents, and it represents that coefficient in
      conjunction with the other coefficients in the
 6
 7
      specification.
                I'm sorry, could you repeat your
 8
      question?
 9
10
           Ο.
                Sure.
                Again, focusing just on Estimation
11
12
      Period 2, do you have any explanation for why
13
      there's a statistically significant positive
      coefficient for some coins and a statistically
14
15
      significant negative coefficient for other
      coins?
16
                Not beyond what I just said, which is
17
           Α.
      it's conditional or a function of the full
18
      specification. And this is just empirically
19
2.0
      what the model indicates or the regression
21
      indicates.
22
                So beyond that I don't have any really
23
      further commentary on it.
24
           Q.
                Okay. Turning to your Exhibit 3 of
      AF-1, why is it in your view that Estimation
25
```

174 1 Period 1 and Estimation Period 2 produce such 2 different results as to adjusted R-squared? 3 Α. I don't have a specific reason. I don't -- I could speculate as to why the adjusted 4 R-squares are different, the -- the .94 and the .92, but that's the output of the model. 6 model -- Estimation Period 1 in the model using 7 8 just 9 tokens, whereas Estimation Period 2 is using 91. That's the -- that's what the 9 10 statistics tell us. Q. Okay. As part of your analysis and 11 12 steps that you took to prepare your expert 13 opinion, did you take any steps to examine the question of why there was such a divergence 14 15 between the adjusted R-squared in Periods 1 and Period 2? 16 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 17 I think we discussed earlier today the 18 additional work that I did in response to the 19 rebuttal report. So all the analyses that I have 20 done is -- is in -- is covered in these reports 21 22 and in our morning conversation. 23 Q. Turn with me to paragraph 98 of your 24 report. 25 Α. Wait one second while I get there.

Q. Yeah. And it's going to be the end of paragraph 98 on page 46. I'm looking at the sentence that says "The adjusted R-squared in Estimation Period 1, which includes a period when the cryptocurrency markets were arguably less mature, exceeds 50 percent." And then "The adjusted R-squared in Estimation Period 2 exceeds 90 percent."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

Q. Is there say relationship between your adjusted R-squared finding for Period 1 and your statement that the cryptocurrency markets were arguably less mature during Period 1?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. I think I would just leave it as it is in the report, which is just the simple observation that in this additional -- you know, the -- the extended period of Estimation Period 1, which begins in 2013, that the crypto markets were arguably less mature, and then I have the citations for that in Footnote 175.

 $\mbox{So I think -- I -- I'm comfortable with} \\$  the way that that is framed in this paragraph.

Q. How would the market's lack of maturity

during Period 1 impact XRP price during that period, if it would?

- A. I don't have a view on that. My view is informed by what the statistics show, whether it be for the full period or for the Estimation Period 2.
- Q. Does the lower adjusted R-squared for Estimation Period 1 mean that pre-2015 XRP prices were driven by other factors unrelated to cryptocurrency market factors?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So I -- again, I just wouldn't compare
Estimation Period 1 to Estimation Period 2 just on
terms of adjusted R-squared, which I think is an
embedded assumption in your question. You would
want to look at what the full model is doing in
the two periods, including in the PCAs that are
being used.

So I would not just simply compare the adjusted R-squares and -- squared and make some sweeping conclusion about that earlier period.

- Q. Setting aside the comparison point, what does the adjusted R-squared figure for Period 1 mean? What does that reflect in --
  - A. Well, I have a footnote where I cite to

177 1 this, so I'm just going to take a moment and flip through my report to find -- to find that. 2 3 Ο. 174 talks about R-squared. I'm not sure if that's what you're looking for. Footnote 174 I 4 mean. Yes. So that's what I was looking for 6 7 and it's, again, Footnote 174. 8 Can you explain to me in layman's terms Q. what an adjusted R-squared figure means and what 9 10 it tells you? Well, I mean, it's one metric, not the 11 12 only metric, but one metric for the goodness of 13 fit in terms of explaining the variation of the 14 dependent variable. Here, the dependent variable 15 being the returns of XRP. 16 Again, adjusted R-squared, whether it's 17 high or low or -- or somewhere in between, is a separate question as to whether there's 18 statistically identifiable excess returns for XRP. 19 2.0 Q. Okay. So what does -- focusing just on 21 Period 1 and the actual value of adjusted R-squared, 0.541, what does that tell you about 22 23 the model's power to explain for Period 1? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 24 25 Α. The power to explain. I mean, it -- the

```
178
 1
      adjusted R-squared is the percentage of the
      variation in the dependent variable for that
 2
 3
      period. That's what's reflected in the adjusted
      R-squared. That's a separate question, as I
 4
      indicated earlier, as to whether there's a
      statistical association between excess returns for
 6
 7
      XRP and relative to the non-XRP cryptocurrency
      markets.
 8
                What's the dependent variable for Period
           Q.
10
      1?
                If we go to Exhibit 3, period -- Exhibit
11
12
      3 says in the title "Regression of XRP Price
13
      Return on Principal Components of Other
14
      Cryptocurrencies."
15
                I think your answer means the dependent
      variable is XRP price return, is that right?
16
           Α.
17
                Yes.
                Okay. So the adjusted R-squared figure
18
      for Period 1 tells us that for Period 1 only, your
19
20
      model explains approximately 54 percent of the
21
      variation in XRP price returns?
22
           Α.
                Yes.
23
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
           Α.
                Yeah. So that -- that is -- the 54
      percent is the adjusted R-squared and it is the
25
```

```
179
      percentage of the variation in the dependent
 1
      variable for that model for that period.
 2
 3
           Ο.
                Okay. Given that, as I understand it,
      the adjusted R-squared figure for Period 1 tells
 4
      us that your model explains 54 percent of the
      variation in XRP price return, did you take any
 6
 7
      steps to check to see if any other factors
      contributed to XRP price return changes during
 8
      Period 1?
 9
10
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                Well, I -- I did do the work that we
11
12
      discussed earlier. I mean, I did the regressions
13
      in Exhibit 5, which is just the crypto -- the
14
      cryptos themselves. I do the work in Exhibit 7,
      where I explore -- well, this is for the later
15
      period. I explore different returns -- actually,
16
      I wanted to reference Exhibit 6, where I do
17
      additional work for other potential explanatory
18
      factors: The S&P 500, the emerging market,
19
20
      commodities, gold, U.S. dollars, yen, euro.
21
                So I do do a -- further explore -- you
      know, further work to think about factors that
22
23
      might be affecting XRP. And, again, these
24
      results, the results reflected in Exhibit 6, are
      consistent with the econom -- academic literature.
25
```

Q. And as I'm reading the results in

Exhibit 6, the model doesn't predict any more than
the approximately 54 percent of XRP return

variations than the model reflected in Exhibit 3,
is that right?

A. Yes. The adjusted R-squares at the bottom of Exhibit 6 range from 53 to 54 percent.

I'm rounding here. But, you know, what's important really in this exhibit is whether or not the S&P 500 return, the emerging market, the commodities, and so forth, whether they enter into the equation with statistically significant or not.

So my conclusion that the S&P 500 return, for example, you know, doesn't have the statistical relationship with XRP price return is not a function of the adjusted R-squared; rather, it's a function of the fact that the point estimate, which is negative 0.629, is not statistically significant.

Q. Given that for Period 1, your model explains approximately 54 percent of the variation of XRP's price return, did you take any steps to determine whether or not Ripple's actions explained any of the remaining unexplained

```
181
 1
      variation in XRP price return?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 2
 3
           A.
                Yes, I did.
                And what were those steps?
 4
           Q.
                The alpha. The constant term.
      want to be very clear about this. The -- the
 6
 7
      constant -- I'm also referring to that as alpha.
      They're -- they're used interchangeably. So in
 8
      Exhibit 6 it says "constant." That's the same
 9
10
      thing as alpha.
                Alpha represents whether or not relative
11
12
      to -- now here I'm looking at the first column,
13
      the "cryptocurrency factors" column -- whether
14
      relative to the cryptocurrency markets there is an
15
      XRP specific price return above and beyond what
      the statistical associations would lead you to
16
      expect. So that alpha is not statistically
17
      significant in all these permutations or
18
      specifications.
19
2.0
                And, again, the theory in the complaint
21
      is that Ripple took various efforts,
22
      distributions, and statements and so forth -- I'm
      not going to reproduce the complaint here -- that
23
24
      over this time period, this seven-year period,
      resulted or was associated with XRP price
25
```

182 increasing. 1 And that's a testable proposition and 2 3 that would be reflected in the alpha. The alpha represents excess XRP returns. Excess relative to 4 the cryptocurrency market. And, again, there's no statistical association. 6 7 Are you aware that in 2017 XRP prices Ο. increased dramatically? 8 9 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 10 Α. That -- that might well be right. I don't have a specific recollection. 11 12 Q. Okay. Did you consider constructing 13 estimation periods before and after 2017 when XRP prices were very different? 14 15 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Well, you know, turning to Dr. as 16 Α. we discussed this morning, he has a great point, I 17 believe -- just give me one second here. I'm 18 going to need a second. 19 2.0 Well, my understanding of Dr. in 21 his Figure 17 is that he uses as a break point not 22 2017 as your -- as your question poses, but, 23 rather, he uses the bit -- the BitLicense, which I 24 believe, given his Footnote 47 on page 27 of his rebuttal report, occurred in 2016. 25

183 And as I mentioned earlier today, all 1 2 his alphas -- not the change in alpha, but all his 3 alphas -- using that as a break point, all the post-BitLicense alphas -- which, again, is the 4 middle of 2016 -- are statistically insignificant. So that would be the most direct 6 response to your question. 7 8 Let me ask this question: Did you Q. consider constructing any additional estimation 9 10 periods beyond the two that you constructed? No. I felt that was adequate given the 11 12 theory in the complaint which is alleging and 13 identifying events over this seven-year period. 14 As I -- as we discussed this morning, I also 15 looked at Dr. specifications which includes alphas for the -- not post-2017, but for the 16 post-2016 period. 17 Did you conduct your analysis for Period 18 1 and then conduct your analysis for Period 2 or 19 20 did you conduct them at the same time or in the reverse order? 21 I don't have a memory of that. I -- I 22

23

24

25

184 1 include Ether as one of the tokens given its 2 prominence. 3 So my memory is that was my thoughts right from the beginning. I don't remember the 4 exact order. Why was it that you wanted to include 6 7 Ether as a token given its prominence? Well, the trading only occurred in 2015, 8 Α. and so I just thought that was another natural 9 10 break point. Also, as I discuss in my opening report, this is a developing market. It's 11 12 developing over time. There's more tokens 13 available in 2015. And so I thought that time period would be a useful robustness check on the 14 15 full seven-year period. So I thought that was helpful to include for those reasons. 16 Do you know whether your model for 17 Period 2 would produce a similar adjusted 18 R-squared if you excluded or added a few more 19 20 monthly observations? 21 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I'm not -- I'm not sure what you're 22 Α. 23 referring to by -- what -- I don't -- I guess I 24 don't understand the question. What -- what observations are you talking about? 25

Q. Let me ask a broader question.

2.0

Did you check to see how sensitive the adjusted R-squared result was for Period 2 to all of the data inputs that you used?

A. How sensitive the -- I mean, the adjusted R-squared is a measure of the model and the fitness of the model for that time period.

So, you know -- and that's the standard statistic to report in tables like this. So -- so I felt that that was consistent with standard academic practice.

If you're referencing -- and I don't know if you are -- Dr. discussion in his Figure 3, I'm happy to talk about that. But in terms of my reporting adjusted R-squares, I felt this was -- I -- it is the standard academic practice to do it this way.

- Q. Let's look at paragraph 96 of your opening report.
  - A. Yes.
- Q. On page 44 there's a continuation of paragraph 96.
- A. I'm sorry, can you say that again?
- Q. Sure. Let me rephrase.
- 25 If you turn to page 44 --

```
186
 1
           Α.
                Forty-four.
                -- paragraph 96 concludes on page 44,
 2
           Q.
      but my question is about the regression equation.
 3
                Do you see that?
 4
                All right. Let me just read the full
 5
      paragraph. Okay?
 6
 7
                Okay. I've reviewed that.
 8
           Q.
                Okay. Is the re -- strike that.
                Is the regression equation in paragraph
 9
10
      96 the equation that you used to perform your
      analysis that's described in Section III.C of your
11
12
      report?
13
           Α.
                Well, it is used in Section III. I do
      other regressions in Section III as well. So it's
14
15
      in Section III, but there's other regressions in
      Section III as well.
16
           Q.
17
               Fair enough.
                Is -- does the equation in paragraph 96
18
      correspond to the regressions that -- the results
19
2.0
      of which are in Exhibit 3?
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
                Okay. Looking back at paragraph 96 and
23
      looking at the equation in particular, on the
24
      right side of the equation there's a lower case
25
      "a."
```

```
187
 1
                Do you see that?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
           Q.
                That "a" is the alpha, correct?
           Α.
                Yes.
 4
                Okay. Okay. And reading from the very
 5
           Ο.
      end of paragraph 96, the alpha is the "remaining
 6
      average return, after accounting for the exposure
 7
      to the non-XRP cryptocurrency market factors," is
 8
      that right?
 9
10
                Well, the alpha plus the error term.
      But the -- you know, so just looking at that
11
12
      equation in paragraph 96, but the error term has a
13
      mean zero. But -- but, yes. So that -- the alpha
      is on the right-hand side of that equation on page
14
15
      44.
                Okay. After the alpha term in the
16
      equation on -- in paragraph 96, there's an epsilon
17
      "t.."
18
                Do you see that?
19
20
           Α.
                Yes.
21
                What is the epsilon "t" on the right
           Q.
22
      side of the equation?
23
           Α.
                That is the error term.
24
                         THE REPORTER: I'm sorry,
                that is the?
25
```

```
188
                         THE WITNESS: The error term.
 1
                Okay. And does that epsilon "t"
 2
 3
      represent the residual return?
                No. I mean, the residual ter -- I mean,
 4
           Α.
      if the -- if you include in the full model the
      estimated coefficient with alpha, then the epsilon
 6
      would be -- well, you just -- I guess -- let me
 7
 8
      restart.
 9
                The epsilon would be defined by just
10
      moving the alpha to the left-hand side in that
      equation.
11
12
           Ο.
                Okay. Let me reask my question in part
13
      because I don't understand statistics as well as
14
      you.
15
                Is it correct to say that the epsilon
      "t" is the residual return in the equation?
16
                I don't want to use that -- I don't want
17
           Α.
      to -- in terms of the definition of residual, if
18
      you include in your calculation of the residual
19
20
      the alpha, the alpha estimate, then definitionally
      you'll be right. Sometimes people use -- I just
21
22
      want to be clear.
23
                Sometimes people use the phrase
24
      "residual return" to not include the alpha. So I
      think there's a -- semantically people use, in my
25
```

189 experience, the phrase "residual return" in 1 different ways. So I just want to be clear on 2 3 that. But the alpha is the excess return on 4 average in the return series. The error term is 5 the error term that you would have in any, you 6 7 know, kind of a regression. And when you say "error term," we're 8 Q. talking about epsilon "t," is that right? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. Do you address the error term 12 anywhere in your report? 13 Α. Well, the error term is just a standard part of any regression. So all the results that I 14 have include, you know, a regression with an error 15 term by definition. You could think about the 16 error term as this bouncing up and down, this 17 volatility of -- that the regression generates 18 when it's generating the coefficients in the 19 2.0 model. 21 Ο. What does the error term tell us about the model, if anything? 22 23 What does it tell us? I mean, it's just 24 part of the -- you know, if we're talking about OLS regression, ordinary least squares regression, 25

```
190
 1
      it's part of the model that there's going to be an
      error term in any given period just by func --
 2
 3
      just by function of the fact that you're trying to
      fit the data into a line or a slope.
 4
                Okay. I'm looking for an English
      translation, if there is one, of -- you know
 6
 7
      how -- we already discussed the adjusted
 8
      R-squared. It tells you the percentage in
      variation of XRP returns that's -- that are
 9
10
      explained by the model, right?
           Α.
                I do remember that.
11
12
           Q.
                Okay. Is there some -- is there some
13
      plain English way to explain to me what an -- what
      this error term tells us about the model?
14
15
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
                asked and answered.
16
17
                I mean, I don't know what you mean by
      "tells us about the model." It's part -- like in
18
      an ordinary least squares regression, OLS
19
20
      regression, you're going to have an error term
21
      associate -- you know, as part of the model. An
22
      error term left over, so to speak, after the
23
      coefficients. Whether you -- you know, you
24
      conclude the alpha in that or not.
                Is there any relationship between the
25
           Ο.
```

191 error term and actual XRP returns? 1 I mean, the XRP returns are being 2 3 regressed on the model that has an error term in it. So the actual XRP price returns are on the 4 left-hand side of the equation. The error term in the regression's on the right-hand side. 6 7 Is there any -- other than paragraph 96, Ο. is there any paragraph in your report that 8 addresses the error term in your equation? 9 10 I'm not sure what you mean by "addresses." Everything that I report, whether 11 12 it's Exhibit 3, any regression that I use and --13 and the regression anybody's using, as far as I know, in this case, has an error term in it. So, 14 15 yes, it is addressed in all my regressions because that's part of the model. 16 Okay. Let's go to paragraph 102, 17 Q. 18 please. I'm sorry, what was that? 19 Α. 2.0 Q. Paragraph 102 on page 48. 21 So the last sentence in paragraph 102 says "As I explain above, a zero regression 22 23 constant is consistent with the average monthly 24 Ripple price returns (less the risk-free rate) being explained by the non-XRP cryptocurrency 25

```
192
      factors and no remaining average 'excess' XRP
 1
      price returns that are unexplained by the model."
 2
 3
                Do you see that?
                T do.
 4
           Α.
                Okay. Is the model in that sentence
      the -- strike that.
 6
 7
                What are you referring to when you say
      "the model" in that sentence?
 8
                The regression that we were talking --
 9
           Α.
10
      well, I'm sorry. You know, I would -- the models
      are -- are what's being referred to in the first
11
      sentence of that paragraph. So the first sentence
12
13
      of the paragraph 102 states "The factor models and
      the corresponding results I present in Exhibits 3
14
15
      through 7" -- I won't read the full sentence. So
      that -- that is what is being referenced at the
16
17
      end of that paragraph.
               Okay. And I take it from reading
18
      paragraph 102 that your conclusion that there are
19
20
      no remaining average excess XRP price returns that
21
      are unexplained by the model is based in part on
      the zero regression constant that's referenced in
22
23
      the last sentence of paragraph 102? Is that
24
      right?
25
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
```

```
Zero -- no. That's -- the model -- the
 1
 2
      regression is what we were talking about earlier
 3
      in the factor model. The regression that we were
      talking -- let me just get the actual paragraph.
 4
                The regression that we were talking
      about -- well, one of the regressions being
 6
 7
      referenced here is the regression in paragraph 96,
 8
      which does have an error term on the right-hand
      side, which any OLS -- any regression is going to
 9
10
      have. You know, it's going to have this error
      term in it.
11
12
                You know, my reference to a zero
13
      regression constant is just simply a reference to
      the fact that the constant, or alpha, if you will,
14
15
      is statistically indistinguishable from zero.
      not saying that the point estimate in the model is
16
      zero. It's, rather, the point estimate for alpha
17
      or the constant, it's statistically
18
      indistinguishable from zero.
19
2.0
           Q.
                Okay. And the -- just to go back to
21
      your Exhibit 3, the point estimate in your models
22
      for the alpha for Estimation Period 1 is 0.058 and
23
      for Estimation Period 2, negative 0.022, is that
24
      right?
```

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. Okay. So when -- when you use the phrase "zero regression constant" in the final sentence of paragraph 102 --
  - A. Let me just get back to that spot.
  - O. Sure.

- A. Okay.
- Q. When you use the phrase "zero regression constant" in the final sentence of paragraph 102, you are referring, I believe, to your conclusion that the alpha is statistically indistinguishable from zero, is that right?
- A. Correct. And I would point you to the immediately prior sentence to that statement. "In other words, one cannot reject the null hypothesis that the constant the observed average monthly XRP price return after subtracting the risk-free rate is zero (controlling for non-XRP cryptocurrency market factors)."
- Q. Okay. And -- and you reached the conclusion, as expressed at the very end of paragraph 102, that there are "no remaining average 'excess' XRP price returns that are unexplained by the model," correct?
- A. Yes. That is to say, there's no excess returns statistically distinguishable from zero.

That is to say, we don't -- one does not observe an extra return that's XRP specific on average for this time period. That is to say, what shows up as statistically significant in the model are the principal components that reflect what's going on in the non-XRP cryptocurrency markets.

Q. Does your conclusion that no remaining average excess XRP price returns remain that are unexplained by the model depend on anything else other than your conclusion that the alpha in your model is statistically indistinguishable from zero?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I am working off of the alpha being statistically indistinguishable from zero. But, obviously, to reach that conclusion requires a model; requires, you know, all the work that I did. So I would -- would reference all the work, including Exhibits 3 through 7 that are referenced in the beginning of this paragraph, in -- in thinking about that conclusion.
- Q. Is there another result other than -- strike that.

Is there another result obtained from running your model other than the conclusion that

```
196
      the alpha is statistically indistinguishable from
 1
      zero that informs your conclusion that there's no
 2
 3
      remaining average excess XRP price returns
      unexplained by the model?
 4
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                Well, I have a number of exhibits, not
 6
      just Exhibit 3, that are -- that generate the same
 7
      conclusion. That is, there's no statistically --
 8
      there's no alpha, there's no constant, that's
 9
10
      statistically indistinguishable from zero in those
      other models. And as we discussed earlier today,
11
12
      Dr. alternative specifications also
13
      generate the same result.
                Just for the record, we've talked about
14
      the constant in Exhibit 3. I don't see a constant
15
      in Exhibit 4.
16
               Well, Exhibit -- Exhibit 4 --
17
           Α.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
                that a question?
19
                         MR. SYLVESTER: I wasn't
2.0
                done, but --
21
                         THE WITNESS: Oh, I'm sorry.
22
23
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
24
                I'll tell you what. I just -- what I'd
      like to see -- we were just discussing the concept
25
```

```
197
 1
      that you've concluded that the alpha is
      statistically insignificant -- lost it.
 2
 3
                Can you say it for me again? The alpha
      is statistically indistinguishable from zero.
 4
           Α.
                (Indicating.)
                Okay. Great. Which exhibits does that
 6
 7
      concept show up in appended to your Exhibit 1?
           Α.
                Appended to what? Exhibit?
 8
                Exhibit 1, yeah.
 9
           Q.
10
                Oh. Well, I mean, you can see that in
      Exhibit 3. Exhibit 4 is not a regression.
11
12
      just a listing of the 20 largest cryptocurrencies.
13
      So this is descriptive of that. It's not a
14
      regression.
15
                Exhibit 5, this is my regression on the
      largest MarketCap coins. You see that the alpha
16
      or the constant is statistically indistinguishable
17
      from zero.
18
                You go to my Exhibit 6 and all the
19
20
      different specifications, that's when I'm adding
21
      gold and fiat currencies and what have you, all
22
      the constant are, likewise, statistically
23
      indistinguishable from zero.
24
                We go to Exhibit 7. Now we're in that
      second estimation period. And, again, all these
25
```

```
198
      different specifications have the same result;
 1
      that is to say, the constant -- the alpha is
 2
 3
      statistically indistinguishable from zero.
                Exhibit 10.
 4
                Okay. Now we're into Exhibit 11A, which
 5
      is on page 92. Once again, in this -- I won't
 6
 7
      walk through it now, but the three specifications
      I have here, the -- the alpha is statistically
 8
      indistinguishable from zero.
 9
10
                You go to Exhibit 11B, which is on page
11
      93, that's the second estimation period. Same
12
      results: Statistically indistinguishable from
13
      zero.
14
                Exhibit 12A, same result.
15
                Exhibit 12B, this is the "Regression of
      XRP Returns on Ripple XRP distributions -
16
      Accounting for Volatility, Estimation Period 2."
17
      Statistically indistinguishable from zero.
18
                Exhibit 3 is just -- we're getting into
19
2.0
      different -- different issues here.
21
                Exhibits 17, 18, 19, 20 are -- and 21
      and 22 are the MoneyGram ODL issues.
22
23
                So I tried to be comprehensive in my
24
      answer, but, you know, those are the exhibits that
      come to mind in terms of answering your question.
25
```

Q. Okay. Let's look back at Exhibit 3.

2.0

Do you agree, looking at Exhibit 3, that for Period 1 the average monthly return of XRP was 5.8 percent higher than the average monthly return predicted by the principal components in the regression during this period?

- A. I don't agree with that in a statistical sense. It's -- there is a point estimate that's positive, but it's statistically indistinguishable from zero. So as a statistician, as an economet -- as a financial economist, and this is -- this would be reflected in standard academic practice, you would view this constant as statistically indistinguishable from zero.
- Q. You started your answer with "I don't agree with that in a statistical sense."

Is there any sense in which the answer is different?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Well, I agree that there's a point estimate here, which is positive, it's negative in the second period, but you just don't take point estimates. The point of doing statistical analysis is whether this is statistically in the data or not. And as we've been discussing, this

200 point estimate, as is true for the point estimate 1 in Estimation Period 2, the negative 0.022, is 2 3 statistically indistinguishable from zero. Given the regression for Period 1, isn't 4 Ο. 5.8 percent the most likely value of alpha? 5 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 6 I don't think that follows from the 7 Α. regression at all. So the regression -- the point 8 of doing statistical analysis is whether we can 9 10 identify on a reliable basis the -- you know, whether a point estimate is statistically 11 12 distinguishable. Here, we're testing it against 13 the null being zero. So, again, you know, using -- the point 14 of the statistical analysis is to identify whether 15 an estimate is statistically reliable or 16 statistically present in the data. 17 Do you base your conclusion that the 18 constant is not statistically distinguishable on 19 2.0 the "t" statistics? 21 Well, I ran it on the Huber-White, so Α. there is -- you know, obviously I'm working off of 22 23 the standard errors to calculate the statistical 24 significance at the 5 percent level.

[2/23/2022] Ferrell, Allen Expert Dep. Tr. 2.23.2022

Do you know how standard errors are

25

Ο.

201 1 calculated? Yes, but I'm not going to be able to 2 3 recall off the top of my head. Okay. Would you agree that, all else 4 Ο. equal, if the residuals in the regression were smaller, the estimated standard errors would also 6 be --7 8 THE REPORTER: Repeat. Would you agree that, all else equal, if 9 Q. the residuals in the regression were smaller, the 10 estimated standard errors would also be smaller? 11 12 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 13 Α. I don't know what you mean by "residuals" in your question. 14 15 If -- let me reask that question using the phrase "error term." 16 Would you agree, all else equal, if the 17 error term in the regression were smaller, the 18 estimated standard errors would also be smaller? 19 2.0 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 21 Are you -- you're talking about the Α. error term and not the constant in your question? 22 23 Q. That's right. 24 Α. Can you repeat the question? 25 MR. SYLVESTER: Bridget,

```
202
                would you mind reading that one back?
 1
                          (Whereupon, the record was
 2
 3
                read back.)
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 4
                Yeah, I -- I -- I don't want to answer
           Α.
 5
      that off the top of my head. I would want to
 6
 7
      think about that and go back to the formula.
                So what I can say is based on the
 8
      standard errors that I actually calculate, this
 9
10
      is -- this is what one finds statistically.
                Would you agree that, all else equal, if
11
12
      the error terms in the regression were all zero,
13
      the estimated standard errors would also be zero?
14
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                I want to be careful. I want to think
15
      about that. I don't want to answer the
16
      application of the standard error formula off the
17
      top of my head.
18
                What I can say is based on the standard
19
20
      errors that I actually calculate for this model,
21
      it's not statistically significant.
                What's the "it" in that sentence?
22
           Q.
23
                The constant. The alpha. So just to be
24
      clear, I calculate the standard errors, the
      statistical significance, for these various
25
```

203 1 models, these various specifications that I'm running. And based on those standard errors, the 2 3 alpha, the constant, is not statistically significant. Not statistically distinguishable 4 from the -- from zero, which is the null hypothesis. 6 7 Ο. Are you familiar with the concept economically significant? 8 Α. I've heard that phrase. 9 10 Okay. What is the difference between something that's economically significant and 11 12 statistically significant? 13 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. So the context where I've seen this is 14 15 somebody finds a statistically significant result -- so they have a point estimate, they find 16 a statistically significant result, and then the 17 question becomes, how big a deal is this? 18 So we find an effect of X on Y or a 19 2.0 statistical association of X on Y. And then 21 the -- and then -- so the person reports the results of their statistical analysis. There is a 22 23 statistical relationship. 24 And then the question that one might ask, and sometimes is asked, is, okay, there's an 25

```
204
 1
      association here, but is this economically
      meaningful? Is this something that we should care
 2
      about or how much should we care about it? So it
 3
      really goes to the question of magnitude once we
 4
      are assured that there is a statistical
      relationship in the data.
 6
 7
                Is it possible for a result to be
           Ο.
      statistically insignificant but economically
 8
      significant?
 9
10
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                That is not -- not -- I would need to
11
12
      see the context of where that claim is being made.
13
           Q.
                What context would you need to answer
14
      that question?
15
                I'm really not sure what you're talk --
      what your question is asking, I guess is what I'm
      saying.
17
                I'm just talking about the, sort of,
18
      conceptual level in economics literature. If
19
2.0
      there's a difference between economically
      significant and statistically significant, is
21
22
      there a possible situation where a result is
23
      statistically insignificant but economically
24
      significant?
25
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
```

A. I mean, that's a very broad statement about all of economics. Again, I would just repeat my earlier answer. The context that occurs to me sitting here about economic significance is really your -- you do data analysis. You find that there's a statistical association, so it's in the data in that sense. And then you can ask, is this economically meaningful or how economically meaningful?

So, again, that's the context that comes to mind, you know, in this -- with regard to this issue.

Q. Let's look back at your Exhibit 3. If you replaced the insignificant .058 constant with zero, would your model still predict XRP returns equally well?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So if I ran a different model, what would be the outcome? I would have to run the model. So if -- so as I understand, your question is you -- your question is if I put an a priori restriction on a model, that the intercept term, the constant term, has to be zero, would it do a better or worse job? I don't -- I'd have to think about it. I don't have a view.

Ο. Whether it would be better or worse, 1 2 would it be different than what we're looking at 3 in Exhibit 3? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 4 Well, if I understand your question Α. correctly, it would be different in a trivial 6 7 sense in that, again, if I understand your question correctly, your -- in your hypothetical, 8 you, as an a priori matter, are setting the 9 10 intercept term, the constant term, to zero. So that is -- that is different than 11 12 what I'm doing, which is I'm interested in whether 13 there's alpha to begin with. Okay. Let -- let's consider a 14 15 hypothetical. So during a given month, a company announces a new product and, following that 16 announcement, its share price increases by 50 17 percent that day. Later that month the same 18 company announces it will recall an existing 19 20 product and, following the announcement, its share 21 price drops by 50 percent that day. 22 In that circumstance, the average 23 monthly return and the alpha are zero, correct? 24 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. That's not actually right. So I'm going 25 Α.

207 to nitpick here. In your hypo -- let's call the 1 price 100. It goes up 50 percent. That's 150. 2 3 If it falls 50 percent, that's 75. So the price doesn't remain the same. 4 Let's use absolute price terms. Let's say -- let's adjust the hypothetical to say that 6 7 the price goes from 100 to 120 and then falls from 120 to 100. 8 In that circumstance, where it's 100 at 10 the start and 100 at the end, would the average monthly return in alpha be zero? 11 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 12 13 Α. Not necessarily. So in your hypo it's 14 100 and 100. I agree with you that in that hypo that's a zero return. I think we can all -- you 15 16 know, I think we can agree on that. Whether 17 that's a -- that's related to a negative or a 18 positive alpha or -- or -- or an alpha that's 19 statistically indistinguishable from zero is an 20 entirely separate question. 21 So what if the al -- the -- the instrument that we're talking about that goes from 22 23 100 and stays at 100 at the end of the day is 24 underperforming the market and it has a beta of 1? 25 So in that situation staying constant would

```
208
 1
      actually result in a negative alpha.
                So, again, in response to your question,
 2
      whether something has a positive or negative alpha
 3
      is a function not just of the price returns of
 4
      that instrument, but how is it doing relative to
      the factors that you have found to be
 6
 7
      statistically associated with -- with price return
      behavior?
 8
               Let's look back at your paragraph 102
 9
           Q.
10
      again.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Sorry, which
11
12
                paragraph?
13
                         MR. SYLVESTER: 102 on page
14
                48.
                Just give me a second here.
15
           Α.
16
           Ο.
                Sure.
17
           Α.
                Okay. I'm there.
                Okay. Great.
18
           Q.
                Looking again at the last sentence, that
19
20
      we've now read through a few times together, is
      the standard way to measure excess price return
21
      with a constant or -- or is it with the error term
22
23
      that's left unexplained by the model?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
24
25
           Α.
                Well, I mean, the error term is going to
```

be, you know -- it's going to be bouncing around, up and down, depending on what the returns are doing that month and what the other factors are doing.

2.0

The alpha, the constant, is going to give you that average excess return per month. So it's absolutely standard in the academic literature to look at the alpha. I think Mike Jensen at HBS, Harvard Business School, had a very famous paper in the 1960s on alpha and there's a long literature on the meaning of alpha since then. I've done some work myself on -- on alpha as a measure of excess returns.

So I would say that the academic literature very much supports my focus on alpha in these regressions as a measure of excess returns.

- Q. What are the academic papers that you cite in your report that use alpha to measure excess returns?
- A. That I would have to go through and take a look at the papers that are cited. But there's many papers that look at alpha as excess returns. I would mention Gomphers, Ishii, Metrick had a paper in the Quarterly Journal of Economics in 2003. The whole point of their paper is alpha, is

```
210
 1
      their excess returns associated with good
 2
      corporate governance?
 3
                I've done a paper in Review of Financial
      Studies where we look at alpha as well. So
 4
      there's -- that's just an example on the
      literature on alpha.
 6
 7
                Again, alpha as a measure of whether or
      not you're observing not just bouncing up and
 8
      down, but is there positive or negative excess
 9
10
      returns above and beyond what you would expect
      based on your factor model that you're deploying?
11
12
           Q.
                The two papers that you just cited, did
13
      you review them in connection with preparing your
14
      expert report in this case?
15
                I mean, not particularly. I mean,
      this -- these are papers that I've known for --
16
      well, the Gomphers, Ishii, Metrick, I knew it as a
17
      working paper back in -- these are papers -- and
18
      one of which I wrote. So these are papers that
19
20
      I've known for, you know, a decade or two decades.
21
           Ο.
                Looking back at your --
                But I do want to just --
22
           Α.
23
           Q.
                Go ahead.
24
           Α.
                -- put a little closure on this.
                It's absolutely standard in the academic
25
```

```
literature to view the alpha, to view the constant, as a measure of excess returns, returns above and beyond -- it could be negative or positive -- relative to the factor model that you happen to be deploying.
```

- Q. And other than your article and the Gomphers article, can you think of any other academic literature sitting here today that supports that view?
- A. Oh, I mean, there's many papers that do that. I mean, I just mentioned Mike Jensen's paper in the 1960s that started this whole thing off. I would have to think more to come up with citations off -- off the top of my head, but, you know, those -- those two papers would all be good starting points.

And it's inherent in the model, which is -- right? You have, in the regression model -- going back to paragraph 96, if we go look at the regression model itself, you just think about what the alpha represents. It represents, you know, this sort of constant effect, if any, above and beyond the -- you know, what's in your factor model.

Q. Let's look again to your Exhibit 3 at

212 1 the point estimate of your constant for Period 1. Α. 2 Yes. 3 Ο. Okay. Can we conclude, looking at your Exhibit 3, with 95 percent confidence that the 4 true constant is in the range of approximately two standard deviations of .058 in either direction 6 for Period 1? 7 8 I don't want to agree to a specific confidence interval. I will say the confidence 9 10 interval includes the zero. That is to say statistically indistinguishable from zero with --11 12 you know, using the 5 percent level of confidence. 13 Q. Isn't two standard deviations the generally accepted method for determining the 14 confidence interval? 15 Α. Given --16 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 17 Depending on your confidence level, yes. 18 Α. What's the confidence level expressed in 19 20 your work in this case? 21 Α. Five percent. Okay. So is it fair to say, then, that 22 23 the 95 percent confidence interval with respect to 24 the true constant range for .058 would be two

standard deviations in either direction?

25

```
213
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 1
                Sitting here today, I believe that's
 2
      accurate. I would want to double-check, but I
 3
      believe that's accurate.
 4
                What is it that you would want to
      double-check?
 6
 7
           Α.
                Well, I would want to make sure, you
      know, sitting here, that I'm not misrepresenting
 8
      the exact confidence interval. You know, based on
 9
      the standard error, you know, this is
10
      statistically indistinguishable from zero; i.e.,
11
      the confidence level includes zero.
12
13
           Q.
                Right. I guess if I look at -- I'm
      trying to figure out -- strike all that.
14
                Footnote 2 of your Exhibit 3 says
15
      "asterisk indicates statistical significance at
16
      the 5 percent level."
17
                Do you see that?
18
           Α.
                I do.
19
2.0
                Does that mean that for your results
           Q.
      displayed in Exhibit 3, you used a 95 percent
21
22
      confidence level?
23
           Α.
                Yes.
24
           Q.
                Okay. So why is it that you can't say
      with certainty that the 95 percent confidence
25
```

```
214
      interval for the true constant value for your
 1
      Estimation Period 1 is within two standard
 2
 3
      deviations on either side of .058?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 4
                So I believe that's accurate. All I'm
      saying is that I would want to double-check that
 6
      it's two standard deviations. That's consistent
 7
      with my memory sitting here, but, you know, I just
 8
      want to be, you know -- yeah. So I guess I'll
 9
10
      leave my answer at that.
                What would you check?
11
           Ο.
12
                Well, I would just want to make sure --
13
      you know, I would want to calculate the
      standard -- the confidence interval, so...
14
15
                How would you calculate the confidence
      interval?
16
                Well, the confidence interval is a
17
      function of the standard error. The standard
18
      error is going to tell you how many standard
19
20
      deviations you have for the confidence interval.
21
      If you're asking me to perform the calculation
      sitting here, I'm not prepared to do that.
22
23
                Why is it that you're not prepared to do
24
      that?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
25
```

Because my report has the standard 1 It reports the constant. It reports 2 3 whether it's statistically indistinguishable. I have all the information in this exhibit that's 4 necessary for the conclusions I draw. I agree with you that the point estimate 6 will have an associated confidence interval 7 associated with it. 8 And sitting here today, do you know how 9 10 to calculate the associated confidence interval for the constant for Period 1? 11 12 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 13 Α. So I believe it's two standard deviations. I believe that's accurate. But, 14 again, I'm not going to do new work sitting here 15 in the middle of a deposition. 16 Assuming that it is two standard 17 deviations, what's the calculation that you would 18 perform to determine what the range of values for 19 2.0 the true constant are for Period 1? 21 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. So I'm not going to do new calculations 22 23 sitting here. So I agree it's two standard 24 deviations, is my best memory. I agree that there's a confidence interval, but I'm not going 25

```
to do a calculation sitting in the middle of -- a new calculation sitting in the middle of a deposition.
```

- Q. Okay. Setting aside doing the math of a new calculation, just conceptually, can you tell me how you would go about, with words, figuring out what the confidence interval is for the range of true constant values for Estimation Period 1?

  MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
- A. I -- I -- I'm going to leave the work that I've done as reflected in the exhibit.
  - Q. Is that because you don't know how to do it?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. I don't want to, you know, off the top of my head say something that's inaccurate. So I'm not going to do new work in the middle of the deposition. I'm going to rely on the work that I carefully did over many months. And so -- and that is what forms the basis for my opinion.

Now, I agree with you that my best memory is it's two standard deviations associated with a 95 percent confidence level, or interval, but, again, I'm not going to do new math sitting here.

217 Ο. Understanding that you refuse to, could 1 2 you? 3 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I'm just going to repeat my earlier 4 Α. answer. Do you know -- assuming the standard 6 7 deviation is -- assuming that you would use two standard deviations, do you know how to perform 8 the calculation that would give you the range of 9 10 values for the true constant for Period 1? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 11 12 Α. I -- I would want to think about it. 13 Okay. You would agree with me that there -- there is a range of values for the true 14 constant for Period 1, is that right? 15 A. Of course. 16 17 Q. Okay. And that confidence interval, as we 18 discussed, includes zero. That's what it means to 19 20 say that something's statistically indistinguishable from zero. 21 22 Could the true value of the constant for 23 Period 1 be as high as 14 percent? 24 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I don't know offhand. There will be a 25 Α.

218 1 confidence interval on both sides of the point estimate. So I agree with that. So there would 2 be in the confidence interval a number above 3 0.058. I don't have the exact number. 4 Ο. Okay. But I agree with you directionally that 6 7 in the confidence interval, there would be a range around the point estimate. 8 Let's assume -- I'll ask you to assume 9 10 for the sake of my question that 14 percent is within the range of the true constant for Period 11 12 1. 13 Α. Sure. 14 Do you have any more reason to exclude 15 14 percent than you do to exclude zero as the true constant? 16 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 17 So whenever you do statistical testing, 18 you always need -- you always go into the 19 20 hypothesis. You don't come up with a hypothesis 21 after the fact. So the null hypothesis, which is 22 the necessary first step before doing any 23 statistical analysis, is, is it statistically 24 indistinguishable from zero or is the true value -- or conversely, is it statistically 25

219 1 distinguishable from zero? So that's the null hypothesis that I'm 2 3 testing for the reasons that we discussed earlier today. And it -- you know, it turns out to be 4 statistically indistinguishable from zero. Again, there's obviously always going to 6 7 be a confidence interval associated with any point estimate. 8 Q. Okay. Assuming, again, that 14 percent 9 10 is within the range of values for the true constant for Period 1, is there any statistical 11 12 reason to think that it's more likely that zero is 13 the true constant than 14 percent is the true 14 constant? 15 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. MR. SYLVESTER: Please let me 16 finish. 17 I don't -- I don't agree with that 18 approach at all. In statistical testing, you have 19 20 to go into the null hypothesis. You don't create your altern -- you know, a different hypothesis 21 22 after the fact. So the hypothesis being tested 23 here, the reason for the statistical analysis 24 going in, is whether or not the alpha is statistically distinguishable from zero. That's 25

220 the question that's being answered. You don't 1 genie up or create a new hypothesis -- i.e., it's 2 3 14 percent -- after the fact. I don't think that's a valid approach. It kind of invalidates 4 the statistical methodology which is you're testing a hypothesis. 6 7 So I -- I quess I strongly disagree with what I understand your question to be proposing. 8 I don't think I'm suggesting that you 9 Q. 10 take this approach. I'm just asking your opinion as an economist and somebody who understands 11 12 statistics, that if we assume that 14 percent is 13 within the true constant range for Estimation 14 Period 1, can you tell me if it's statistically 15 likelier that the true constant is zero than 14 16 percent? MR. KELLOGG: Objection; 17 asked and answered. 18 I just don't agree with that approach. 19 20 That is, the statistics are designed to answer a 21 hypothesis that you have going in. It does have a 22 confidence interval associated with it, but what 23 I'm testing here, the null hypothesis, sets up the 24 regression analysis that we're doing. That is to say, can we, at a certain confidence interval, 25

```
221
 1
     reject the hypothesis that the true alpha is zero?
                So, again, in statistical testing, you
 2
     have to have a hypothesis going in. You don't say
 3
      after the fact, well, now I think it's -- you
 4
     know, that's -- that's what I'm testing. I'm not
     going to change the hypothesis, you know, after
 6
      the fact.
 7
           Q. I totally understand you don't agree
 8
     with my approach.
 9
10
                Are you capable of answering my
      question?
11
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
12
13
           A.
               I've answered it the best way I can.
14
                So am I to understand that you're not
      capable of telling me whether or not it's likelier
15
      that the true constant is zero than 14 percent
16
17
     given the parameters of my hypothetical?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
18
                asked and answered.
19
20
           Α.
                I agree with you that there's a
      confidence interval, but the -- but the
21
22
      statistical model is in service of testing the
23
     hypothesis.
24
           Q. Okay. Let's look at Dr. rebuttal
      again, Exhibit 6.
25
```

```
222
                         THE WITNESS: So we've been
 1
                going about an hour. Does it make
 2
 3
                sense to take a quick break?
                         MR. SYLVESTER: That's fine
 4
                by me if it's okay --
                         THE WITNESS: Thanks.
 6
 7
                         MR. KELLOGG: Sure.
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
 8
 9
                Thank you. The time is approximately
10
                3:09 p.m. We're going off the record.
                It's the end of Media 4.
11
12
                         (Whereupon, a recess is
13
                taken.)
14
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And the
15
                time is approximately 3:26. We're
                back on the record. This is the
16
                beginning of Media 5.
17
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
18
                Professor, are you offering the opinion
19
20
      that because alpha is statistically insignificant,
21
      there is no room for any other factors to explain
22
      the price returns of XRP?
23
                Well, no, I'm not saying that. So if we
24
      go to my Exhibit 7, for example, you know, I do
      add other market factors, potential market
25
```

factors, after I had my PCA components, to explore
whether those additional market factors, such as
the S&P 500, have, you know, a statistical
association.

- So -- so, you know, I -- I do leave open the possibility, you know, as reflected in this work, that there's other factors that could have a statistical association which I then explore, you know, in the context of looking at these different factors.
- Q. Are there any additional market factors that explain XRP returns?
- A. Well, I can only report on the work that I've done. And so I would reference the factors that I do look at in my report, which obviously is the non-XRP crypto market factors, the S&P 500, the World Index Return, the Emerging Market, the Bloomberg Commodity, gold, dollar, yen, euro.
- Q. And as to any other additional market factors beyond the ones that you just mentioned, you didn't examine them, so you can't say one way or another whether they affected XRP price returns, is that fair?
  - MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
- A. The work that I did, the factors I

looked at are in my report. What I can say is conditional -- conditional in the pricing effect or association of those factors, there is no excess return so it's statistically distinguishable from zero.

2.0

Q. Okay. But it's -- it's possible -- if I understand your testimony, it's possible to have an alpha that's statistically indistinguishly -- indistinguishable from zero and then also have other market factors explain price returns of XRP, is that correct?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Well, I mean, I show that in my work, which is there's no excess returns and there's a statistical association between some of these market factors and XRP price return behavior.
- Q. Are any of the additional market factors that you identify in Exhibit 7 statistically significant?
- A. No. So once we move beyond the -- the non-XRP cryptocurrency factors, again, consistent with the academic literature, these additional factors, such as commodities, has no statistically significant association.
  - Q. I think you testified that in your work

- you show there's no excess returns. I thought
  what you demonstrated was that there was no
  average excess returns, is that right?
  - MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I think you're drawing a distinction that I wasn't making in your -- in your comment. So I would just go back to the report. The alpha is the excess returns over this time period.
- Q. Can you show me where you're reading from, please?
- A. Okay. I haven't found the spot, so give me one second.
- Okay. So I would just point to my third bullet point on page 40, as the way I characterize it in the report, where I say "On average, XRP price returns are not statistically different than zero, controlling for cryptocurrency market factors, over which Ripple has no control."
- Q. And is that conclusion the same or different from the conclusion that you articulate in paragraph 102, where you say "In each of the Exhibits, 3 to 7, in all columns, none of the constants which are estimates of the average monthly XRP price" --
- A. I'm sorry, I don't -- I don't know where

```
226
 1
      you're reading from.
                Paragraph 102.
 2
           Q.
 3
           Α.
                Okay.
                About mid paragraph it starts "In
 4
           Q.
      each..."
           Α.
                Yeah.
 6
 7
                Okay. "In each of the Exhibits, 3 to 7,
           Ο.
      in all columns, none of the constants - which are
 8
      estimates of the average monthly XRP return after
 9
10
      subtracting the risk free rate and controlling
      from non-XRP cryptocurrency factors - is
11
12
      statistically significant at the 5 percent level."
13
                So I guess my question is, is there a
      distinction between excess returns and average
14
15
      monthly excess returns?
                I'm not drawing that distinction in my
16
17
      report.
                Why not?
18
           Q.
                Because I'm referring to the same thing.
19
20
      I'm referring to the constant in my exhibit. So
21
      the discussions that we've been having is
22
      referring to exactly the same regression.
23
      obviously they're referring to the same thing,
24
      which is the alpha, the constant term in these
      exhibits, such as Exhibit 3.
25
```

- Q. Okay. So let's turn back to the bullet you pointed me to. I think that was page 40, is that right?
  - A. I have to find it again. Yes.

2.0

- Q. So when you say in the third bullet on page 40 that you read to me that begins with "On average," that's a different way of saying what you're saying in paragraph 102, is that right?
- A. I don't know what -- what you mean by "different way." I -- I am using different words. It's not exactly the exact phrase that I use on page 40. It's explain -- it's referring to the same alpha estimates in my exhibits. It's referring to the same thing, which is it's monthly returns -- ergo, the excess return, if any, is going to be a monthly return -- that's going to be reflected in that alpha term in the exhibits.
- Q. And would an excess return include the error term in addition to alpha?
- A. The excess return that I'm referring to here is the alpha -- is the -- is the alpha in the equation that we looked at earlier. So let me just go back.
- So the alpha that's being estimated is the alpha in the regression term on page 43,

```
228
 1
      paragraph 96.
               Okay. Okay. Let's look at Dr.
 2
           Q.
 3
      rebuttal report again.
           Α.
                Okay.
 4
               AF-6. Let's turn to page 14, please.
      Page 14 has a Figure 6 labeled "XRP 28-Day Net
 6
 7
      Return Unexplained by Dr. Ferrell's Model
      (Estimation Period 1)."
 8
 9
                Do you see that?
10
           Α.
                Yeah, I see the Figure 6 heading.
                Okay. And then on the next page,
11
           Q.
12
      there's a Figure 7 and that heading is "XRP 28-Day
13
      Net Return Unexplained by Dr. Ferrell's Model
      (Estimation Period 2)."
14
15
                Do you see that?
                I do.
16
           Α.
                Okay. Do Dr. Figures 6 and 7
17
      show the error term unexplained by your model?
18
                So the way I'm reading this and the way
19
20
      I understand it is that he's using the predicted
21
      XRP return. So I'm interpreting him as using the
      point estimate for the alpha, is my interpretation
22
23
      of that second sentence in paragraph 32. And that
24
      he's subtracting the predicted XRP return, which I
      understand him to be using -- I believe he's using
25
```

the point estimate and subtracting the actual return. And then he's plotting the delta over time.

Q. Okay. So in your view, do Dr. figures have any relationship to the error term in your equation expressed in paragraph 96 of your report?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So -- so if he's using, in his predicted XRP return that's referenced in paragraph 32, the point estimate on the alpha, then, yes. Under that equation in -- on page -- you know, on page 44, if I understand what he's do -- what I understand him saying is he's subtracting -- he's moving that alpha over to the left-hand side and -- and, therefore, what remains is the error term.

If he's not using the point estimate for the alpha, then it would obviously be the conjunction of the two or the combination of the two.

Q. Did you take any steps to determine
whether or not it was possible that unexplained
XRP returns -- actual unexplained XRP returns
reflected on Figures 6 and 7 were the result of

230 1 Ripple's actions? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 2 3 Α. Well, I did, in the sense that the model and the various specifications of the model are 4 asking a question: Is there a monthly excess return over this time period? Not just bouncing 6 7 up and down, but is there an average excess return associated with XRP over the -- over the 8 9 seven-year period or five-year period? 10 So I do think the work that I did in the opening report does address that question. 11 12 Whether or not the error term is bouncing up and 13 down, you know, that's part of -- any regression 14 model is going to have a error term. The 15 regression model is identifying this excess return if it exists. 16 Q. Is the -- strike that. 17 Is the phenomenon of the error term 18 "bouncing up and down," to use your words, 19 20 reflected in Figures 6 and 7 of Dr. 21 rebuttal report? 22 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 23 Well, again, I would go back to my 24 earlier answer and I just don't remember offhand. But if in his predicted XRP return in paragraph 32 25

```
incorporates the point estimate of the alpha, then under equation -- the equation on page 44 of my report, that would be the error term under that assumption.
```

- Q. Okay. The last sentence of paragraph 33 of Dr. rebuttal report on page 15 --
  - A. Thirty-three?

2.0

Q. Thirty-three.

-- says "He" -- that's a reference to you, Professor -- "did not perform any testing to see whether Ripple news or actions coincided with any of these unexplained returns," referring to the returns reflected in Figures 6 and 7.

So my question with you is, do you agree or disagree with that statement?

A. I disagree with that statement because the hypothesis that I was testing is the SEC's theory, economic theory, that Ripple took various efforts over the seven-year period -- and also the five-year period -- over the seven-year period that is associated with XRP price going up. So that is the economic theory that I'm testing, that's the hypothesis that I'm testing, and so I did test for that.

The "Ripple news or actions" that he's

referring to in paragraph 33, you know, I assume that's a reference to the SEC's theory in this case that there's actions and news that Ripple undertook that's affecting the XRP price. So I most definitely did test that in my factor model.

Q. What in your view explains the phenomenon of "bouncing up and down" -- again, to use your words -- that we see in Figures 6 and 7 of Dr. rebuttal?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So in any model, there's going to be a error term. There's going to -- you're never going to get a perfect fit in a model. That's not the nature of statistical testing. But the model can test whether, on average, there's this excess return, whether it be negative or positive, associated with XRP.

So that's what the model is identifying, whether there's excess returns associated with XRP over time. The model does have explanatory power, the adjusted R-squared, if you want to use that metric, but it's never going to be 100 percent.

Q. Professor, a few answers ago you said "I was testing" -- "what I was testing was the SEC's theory, economic theory, that Ripple took various

```
233
 1
      efforts over the seven-year period -- and also the
      five-year period -- that is associated with XRP
 2
 3
      price going up."
                Can you point me to the place in your
 4
      report where you identify the SEC expressing that
 5
      economic theory?
 6
 7
           Α.
                Well, it's the whole basis for why I'm
      analyzing excess returns, which is the SEC's
 8
      theory. So I'm happy to flip through my report,
 9
10
      but I talk about the complaint in a number of
11
      points.
12
                Okay. So I'm just going to walk through
13
      my initial report to give a full responsive answer
14
      to this.
15
                So in paragraph 7, which is under the
      title back -- "Brief Background on Litigation," I
16
      state in the second sentence "The alleged spec" --
17
      well, I should read the full paragraph. "The
18
      SEC's affirmative theory in its complaint for why
19
      XRP should be deemed" --
2.0
21
                         THE REPORTER: One second.
                Go ahead.
22
23
                -- "should be deemed an investment
24
      contract extensively relies upon its
      characterization of XRP as a 'speculative
25
```

```
234
 1
      investment.'"
 2
                This is -- the next part is the one I
 3
      wanted to focus on. "The alleged speculation is
      supposedly driven by the hope that Ripple's
 4
      efforts would somehow find a 'use' for XRP at some
      point in the future, and that XRP's price would
 6
      rise as a result of those efforts."
 7
 8
                I have various citations and quotes in
      the complaint here. Let me just keep on going
 9
10
      through my report.
                Paragraph 13 in my report, I state "In
11
12
      Section III, I will address the SEC's assertion
13
      that 'profit' from 'speculating' on XRP's price
14
      increasing would primarily follow as a matter of
15
      'economic reality' from Ripple's efforts to manage
      and develop its business and promoting XRP."
16
                So, again, referencing this theory of
17
      the SEC that there's actions, events, that is
18
      resulting in -- that's associated with Ripple and
19
20
      its -- and its price.
21
                Let me just keep going forward. I'm
      going to skip over the contract section.
22
23
                Paragraph 83 on page 36: "The SEC's
24
      claim that the economic reality establishes that
      XRP is an investment contract because market
25
```

```
actors speculated on XRP's price and, moreover,
 1
 2
      that Ripple's efforts impacted XRP's price is
 3
      equally mistaken." Skipping a sentence. "Rather,
      as I will show in Sections III.C and D" -- that's
 4
      the sections that include the factor model -- "the
      economic reality is that" -- "is that XRP's
 6
 7
      long-run price returns are, in fact, associated
      with factors outside Ripple's control, namely,
 8
      price returns of non-XRP cryptocurrencies, and
 9
10
      that the XRP returns are unrelated to factors
      under Ripple's control, including the various
11
12
      distributions of XRP mentioned in the SEC's
13
      complaint."
14
                Paragraph 84. I'm not going to read the
15
      whole thing, but it talks about speculative demand
      according to the SEC causing XRP prices to rise.
16
      I quote from the complaint extensively in Footnote
17
      141.
18
                Paragraph 90. I think this -- this is
19
20
      the beginning of my factor analysis, the very
21
      first sentence. "The SEC alleges that Ripple
      distributed XRP to create profits for themselves
22
23
      and the purchasers in the form of increased
24
      prices" -- I italicize that -- "for XRP." I'm
      citing to the complaint here.
25
```

236 Paragraph 107 on page 50: "The SEC also 1 points to various efforts by Ripple that 2 3 purchasers of XRP allegedly relied upon for an expectation of profit (in the form of increasing 4 XRP's price)." Second sentence of paragraph 108: "The 6 7 SEC then points to the increase in the market price of XRP as evidence that Ripple's planned 8 distributions of XRP succeeded." I quote -- I 9 10 cite to the complaint. I think at this point in -- in Section 11 12 E, I'm talking about the -- some -- a different 13 set of issues, aren't related issues, but let me 14 just take a quick look. 15 Paragraph 140, page 67: "The SEC argues that the fortunes of XRP purchasers depend on 16 Ripple successfully executing their XRP strategy." 17 Citation to the complaint. "According to the SEC, 18 the success or failure of Ripple's XRP strategy 19 20 was contingent on Ripple propelling trading of XRP 21 that drives demand for XRP, which will dictate investors' profits (recognized in increased prices 22 23 at which they could sell XRP) or losses." 24 So just flipping through my report, those are the sections I was able to readily 25

237 1 identify. Okay. Let's turn back to Dr. 2 Q. 3 rebuttal report, Figures 6 and 7. Can you exclude the possibility that the 4 bouncing up and down in XRP returns as observed in 5 Figures 6 and 7 occurred because of Ripple's 6 7 actions? 8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I can exclude or I can -- I -- I test 9 Α. 10 whether Ripple's actions as identified by the SEC over this time period generated excess returns for 11 12 XRP. So that's what I tested. So I can --13 that -- that's a question I addressed. 14 As to any actual XRP price returns 15 unexplained by your model, can you exclude the possibility that those actual returns were because 16 of Ripple's actions? 17 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 18 19 Again, if the claim is that Ripple's 20 actions caused Ripple's -- caused XRP price to 21 increase over this time period by virtue of the 22 actions and the expectations that those actions 23 caused in the marketplace, I do -- I do address 24 that issue. Let's assume that all of the unexplained 25 0.

238 1 XRP returns reflected in Figures 6 and 7 of rebuttal report were, in fact, the 2 3 result of Ripple's actions. Would that affect your expert opinion at 4 all? 5 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 6 That's such a -- a fanciful hypothetical 7 Α. I'm having trouble with it. You know, if that was 8 somehow shown, I -- I would need to think about 9 10 it, but it strikes me as a -- I'm having a hard time answering the question given the 11 12 hypothetical. 13 I mean, I would go back to my earlier observation that any regression model is going to 14 have an error term in it; that it's never the case 15 that data fits perfectly along a -- a slope if 16 we're talking about an OLS regression, for 17 example. 18 If Ripple had affected the long-term 19 20 price of XRP, is it your view that you would have expected to find a statistically significant 21 22 alpha? 23 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 24 Α. I think that that view would lead to the hypothesis that there would be a statist -- that 25

```
239
      there would be a alpha. And the test for that is
 1
      the statistical test that I undertook. That is
 2
 3
      the reliable methodology in the academic
      peer-reviewed literature for testing a hypothesis
 4
      that there is alpha.
                Okay. Professor, I want to show you
 6
      what's been marked AF-21.
 7
 8
                (Whereupon, exhibit is received and
      marked SEC Ferrell Exhibit AF-21 for
 9
10
      identification.)
           Α.
                Should I put this aside?
11
12
                For now, yeah. I'll just pass them all
13
      to you so you can distribute.
                So, Professor, I'm going to represent to
14
15
      you that AF-21 are two tables of data obtained
      from running the Stata programs that create the
16
      results of your Exhibit 3 and that you provided as
17
      part of your work papers for your ex -- for your
18
      expert report.
19
2.0
           Α.
                Okay.
21
                         MR. KELLOGG: I object to the
                use of this exhibit.
22
23
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Why?
24
                         MR. KELLOGG: Because it's
                something that you've created separate
25
```

```
240
                and apart from any of the expert
 1
 2
                reports.
 3
                         MR. SYLVESTER: That's not
                true. I just represented to Professor
 4
                Ferrell that this exhibit was created
                by running the programs that he
 6
 7
                produced as part of -- or that counsel
 8
                produced as part of his backup to his
                expert report. This is part of --
 9
10
                this is the output of the analysis he
                produced to us.
11
                         MR. KELLOGG: But there's no
12
13
                way for him to check that in looking
14
                at this sheet of paper.
15
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Well, there
                is one way for him to check it. Let's
16
                see if he's seen the data before.
17
                         May I ask him a question?
18
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
19
2.0
                Professor, I understand you haven't
21
      physically seen AF-21 before today. Be that as it
      may, have you seen the data that's displayed in
22
23
      AF-21 before today?
24
                Well, I'm looking at the first linear
      regression and it is the -- you know, I'm just
25
```

```
241
 1
      lining it up with the Estimation Period 1. And,
      you know, it is the results in that Estimation
 2
 3
      Period 1, the coefficients and the constant return
      in that linear regression.
 4
                I can -- and let me just check the
      second linear regression here.
 6
 7
                Same answer.
 8
           Q.
                Okay. So it's fair to say that you
      recognize the data displayed in AF-21, the top
 9
10
      panel, as the regression output for Period 1 and
      the bottom panel as the regression output for
11
12
      Period 2?
13
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
14
           Α.
                That -- that appears to be correct.
15
                Okay.
           Q.
                Without reproducing it on the spot for
16
      myself.
17
                Understood. We don't have the computer
18
           Ο.
      program here with us today.
19
2.0
                Do you understand -- okay.
21
                So turning to Panel 1 first, the top
      panel, do you see on the right-hand side where it
22
23
      says "Root MSE"?
24
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Do you understand that to be Root
25
           Ο.
```

```
242
 1
      Mean Square Error?
 2
           Α.
                Yes.
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                Okay. Are you familiar with the concept
 4
           Q.
      of Root Mean Square Error?
 6
           Α.
                Yes.
 7
                Okay. You can see for Period 1 that the
           Ο.
 8
      Root Mean Square Error --
                So are we -- are we still looking at the
 9
           Α.
10
      first linear regression?
           Ο.
                Yes.
11
12
           Α.
                Okay.
                And I understand the first linear
13
           Q.
      regression to correspond with your Period 1
14
      regression, is that right?
15
           Α.
16
                Yes.
                Okay. So looking at the first linear
17
      regression that corresponds with Period 1, the
18
19
      Root Mean Square Error is .53246.
2.0
                Do you see that?
21
           Α.
                I do.
22
                Okay. That Root Mean Square Error
23
      figure means that the typical monthly unexplained
      XRP price return in the regression for Period 1 is
24
25
      approximately 53.2 percent, is that right?
```

243 1 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I think that's correct. 2 Α. 3 Q. Okay. And that -- this is going to, you know, 4 Α. be a function of running the regression and fitting these values on the -- the PCAs and the 6 7 constant term. 8 Okay. Turning now to the bottom panel, Q. which I understand to be the linear regression 9 10 employed for Period 2, do you see that the Root Mean Square Error value is .34178? 11 12 Α. I do. 13 Okay. And that means -- that Root Mean 14 Square Error figure means that the typical monthly 15 unexplained XRP price return in the regression for Period 2 is approximately 34.2 percent, is that 16 right? 17 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 18 I believe that's correct. 19 Α. 20 Q. Okay. 21 Or that would be one -- yes, I believe Α. 22 that's correct. 23 Okay. Let's turn -- actually, before we 24 turn to anything else, let me just ask you a question. 25

244 Do you agree that Ripple's distributions 1 could have an impact on XRP price only if those 2 3 distributions actually entered the market through trading? 4 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Not necessarily. So it depends on, you 6 know, the market views about when distributions 7 are -- I mean, it depends -- I would want to know 8 more about market expectations. 9 10 So certainly one mechanism for distribution to have an effect is -- is the sale 11 12 of those distributions into the marketplace, but 13 also the effect -- the fact that there is 14 distributions occurring, even if they end up, say, for instance, in a custody account, could 15 potentially affect market pricing as well. As I 16 explain in my report, you know, increasing supply, 17 all else being equal, could lead to an 18 equilibrium, a lower price. 19 2.0 Q. So if I understand your answer, another 21 mechanism for a distribution to have an impact on prices in addition to trading is if there is 22 23 market awareness of that distribution, is that 24 right? 25 MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Right. So the market views supplies increasing over time, that could affect market pricing, too. So, you know, you would want to think about expectations. You would want to think about supply in the marketplace. So all these things obviously are going to be part of the overall picture in thinking about distributions and the increase of supply that the sales or the distributions can represent.

Q. In your analysis of Ripple's distributions that's set forth in -- in Section III.D of your report, did you check to see if the distributions that you considered were actually used for trades?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I'd have to see what you're referring to in my report. So should I go to III.D?
- Q. Sure. I don't -- I don't have a specific paragraph citation for you. I'm just asking a general methodological question.
- A. Let me just make sure I have the right -- let me just make sure I have the right section that you're referring to.
- Q. Absolutely. Yeah. I'm talking about the analysis that you performed that you summarize

246 in III.D. 1 Yeah. So in III.D, as I explain -- let 2 Α. 3 me just get the exact place where I talk about this. Well, let me go to the exhibits. 4 So in Exhibit -- Exhibit 11A, there's the distributions and lag distributions. So for 6 the specification where there's distribution or 7 lag distribution, I believe that would encompass 8 56 days. 9 10 Ο. Okay. And how does your answer that you just provided relate to my question of whether or 11 12 not you checked to see whether or not the 13 distributions you analyzed were actually traded in the market? 14 15 Well, that's not how I'm defining distribution here. So I define distribution, as I 16 17 say in my report -- let me just go to the -- is -let me -- I think it's in the appendix actually. 18 So on page C-7 of Appendix C, I define 19 2.0 the data distribution and the data. So I'm now 21 reading the third sentence: "For transfers 22 involving a reserved or custody account, the date 23 on which the transfer first occurred is used. I 24 understand this is also consistent with how Ripple reports its data." 25

So, anyway, there's a lot more discussion about how the distribution data was put together, but that is one of the definitions of a distribution that I use. That is to say, moving from Ripple, if Ripple's what we're talking about, to a non-Ripple account, an account under the control of a party other than Ripple.

Q. Mm-hmm.

And after that XRP moved from Ripple to a non-Ripple party, did you do anything to -- to determine whether at that point the XRP entered the market?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. No, I did not. In terms of the definition of distribution, whether it's lagged or not, in my analysis, that's how I'm defining distribution.

Now, as I said this morning, given

Dr. criticism, which I don't agree with,

but I also ran the distribution analysis, as we

discussed this morning, using just programmatic

and market maker and exchange sales and it doesn't

affect the results of my analysis. So, anyway,

that's something that we discussed this morning

and I, you know, would raise it again here.

```
248
           Ο.
                Turning to the next sentence after the
 1
 2
      one you just read --
 3
           A.
                Oh, I just closed it. Okay.
                It's C-7, paragraph 13.
 4
           Q.
           Α.
                Okay.
                You wrote "For example, Ripple may set
 6
 7
      up and transfer to a custody account one million
      XRP on January 1st, 2015. The funds may stay in
 8
      that account until the relevant non-Ripple entity
 9
10
      directs Ripple to withdraw the XRP funds from the
      custody account on May 1st, 2015."
11
12
                Do you see that?
13
           Α.
                I do.
14
                As far as you know, are there any market
15
      participants except for Ripple and the relevant
      non-Ripple party that would be aware of the funds
16
      being transferred to the custody account on
17
      January 21st --
18
19
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
2.0
                         THE REPORTER: You're going
                to have to slow down.
21
22
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Okay.
23
                         THE REPORTER: Repeat.
24
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
                As far as you know, are there any market
25
           Ο.
```

249 1 participants besides Ripple and the non-Ripple party aware of the funds being transferred to a 2 3 custody account on January 1st, 2015? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 4 Well, this is a -- this is a hypothetical. Are you assuming -- so if this 6 hypothetical held true, is that -- I'm not quite 7 understanding the question. 8 Let me reask a different question but 9 10 same topic. Did you take any steps to determine, in 11 12 the circumstances where Ripple transferred XRP to 13 a non-Ripple party, to determine whether or not market participants were aware of the transfer on 14 15 the date of the transfer? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 16 I don't have a view on that. I --17 Α. No. I do have a view that it's a distribution in the 18 sense that it's going from Ripple to a non-Ripple 19 20 party, albeit in a custody account, but it's under the control of the non-Ripple party. And that --21 that's how I'm defining a distribution; that is to 22 23 say, the movement of XRP from Ripple to a 24 non-Ripple party. In paragraph 14 on the next page, C-8, 25 Ο.

250 you write "Last, in calculating net distributions 1 for use in the analyses, the record level data 2 3 were adjusted by: One, converting values in fiat currencies to XRP (for a small set of the data)." 4 I just want to pause there. What was the small set of the data 6 7 you're referencing? Let me just read this full paragraph. 8 Sure. 9 Q. 10 I would have to go back to the data to review it. I don't recall offhand. 11 12 Q. Okay. Can your model distinguish 13 between the following two possible scenarios of 14 zero monthly net distributions: Scenario 1, no 15 Ripple distributions of XRP at all during the month; Scenario 2, Ripple bought a lot of XRP at 16 the beginning of the month and then sold back the 17 same number of XRP at the end of the month? 18 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 19 2.0 Α. So -- let me just go back to my report. 21 I think this goes back to the question of net distributions or net outflows, but I want to get 22 23 the relevant part of the report. 24 Q. And if you wouldn't mind when you get there directing me to where you're looking. 25

251 1 Α. Sure. All right. So I'm looking at paragraph 2 3 113, page 53. And I state, in -- in terms of my analysis, my factor analysis, "First" -- so I'm 4 looking at the second sentence. "First, I include Ripple's monthly distribution of XRP, which is the 6 7 net outflows of XRP from Ripple over the last 28 8 days, and, second, I include the one-month lagged XRP distributions to account for timing 9 10 differences in XRP distributions." So the answer to your question is I'm 11 12 looking at net outflows --13 Q. Okay. -- for the 28-day period. 14 15 Let me reask my question more precisely Q. in reference to paragraph 113. 16 When looking at net outflows of XRP from 17 Ripple over the last 28 days, does your model 18 distinguish from a 28-day period where Ripple made 19 20 no distributions of XRP from a period where Ripple bought and sold back the same amount of XRP during 21 22 the 28-day period? 23 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 24 Α. In your hypothetical, the net outflow, if I understand your hypothetical, would be zero. 25

Q. Okay. And could your model pick up the difference between those two factual scenarios or would it just be zero in those two cases?

- A. So in your hypothetical, the net outflow from Ripple would be zero. So on net, the supply of XRP in both of your hypos, hypotheticals, didn't increase at the end of the day.
- Q. Okay. So applying your model to both those scenarios, the net monthly outflow would be zero for Scenario 1 and zero for --

THE REPORTER: You're going to have to slow down.

Q. Applying your model to that hypothetical, the net monthly outflows would be zero for my first scenario and zero for my second scenario?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Correct, because -- well, because the net is zero. So the net supply of XRP in your two hypotheticals, you know, results in a net increase in supply at the end of the 28-day period of zero.
- Q. Okay. I have another two possible scenarios for you. Again, hypothetically. The first scenario is XRP's price does not change at all during the 28-day period, and the second

```
253
      scenario is that XRP's price declined at the
 1
      beginning of the 28-day period and rebounded to
 2
 3
      the same price toward the ends of the 28-day
      period.
 4
                Can your model distinguish between those
      two factual scenarios?
 6
 7
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                So -- okay. I just want to make sure.
           Α.
 8
      We're not talking about distributions now, we're
 9
10
      talking about prices?
           Ο.
                Yes.
11
12
           Α.
                Okay. So in the 28-day window, it's
13
      looking at price returns over the 28 days. So in
14
      your situation, if it's 100 in the beginning --
15
      just to normalize the price to 100 -- and it's 100
      at the end, that would be a return of zero for
16
      that 28-day increment.
17
                So it is correct -- and I'll point to
18
      the footnote on this just to be crystal clear. It
19
2.0
      will take a second.
21
                (Pause)
                Footnote 163 on page 43. So that's the
22
23
      specifics about how the 28-day price return's
24
      being calculated. And, again, to answer your
      question, if the price in the beginning of that
25
```

period, the 28-day period, is the same as the end,

- period, the 28-day period, is the same as the end, that would be a price return of zero.
- Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure I understand. So there's -- let me pose two different factual scenarios. One 28-day period using XRP is \$100. It's \$100 the entirety of the 28-day period. It's a flat line.
  - A. Okay.

Q. Second factual scenario, XRP starts at 100, it goes up to 120 sometime during the month, it goes back to 100 sometime later in the month, end of the month it's 100.

Does your model distinguish at all between the changes -- between those two factual scenarios?

A. The return is the same. So it is 28-day returns. So, yes, I am -- you know, as you can see in the formula in Footnote 163, I'm using price Day T plus 28 and I'm using price in the denominator of Day T -- of Day T. So it is working off of those two prices. To reiterate, price of Day T plus 28 and price on Day T. And that's the return that's being used in that 20-day period.

So in your hypothetical, as I understand

```
255
      it, in both of those hypotheticals, you're setting
 1
      price Day T plus 28 equal the price Day T in both
 2
      scenarios and, ergo, it would have the same
 3
      return.
 4
                The -- the return would be zero for both
           Ο.
      factual scenarios, correct?
 6
 7
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. Did you have access --
 8
           Q.
                Just -- just to comment.
 9
           Α.
10
           Ο.
                Go ahead.
11
                You know, we're talking about returns
12
      and then we're -- I just -- the formula in
13
      Footnote 163 is in prices that converts it into
14
      returns. So I just want to make sure we're clear
      that in the hypo we're using prices in our
15
      hypothetical and then converting them into
16
17
      returns.
                Okay. Did you have access to daily
18
      disaggregated XRP distributions data, meaning
19
2.0
      inflows and outflows?
                So the data that we had was
21
           Α.
      multifaceted. Some of it was on a monthly basis.
22
23
      I would just refer back to the data appendix in
24
      all of this and the different data sources because
      there's a number of different data sources with
25
```

```
256
      different levels of frequency. So give me a
 1
      second here.
 2
 3
                Yeah. So, I mean, this gets pretty
      complicated in terms of the different data sources
 4
      that were used to construct the -- the -- the
      flows, the distribution flows, whether they -- you
 6
 7
      know, at different points in time.
 8
                And so paragraph -- Section F of my
      Appendix C, starting on page C-6, walks through
 9
10
      some of the -- some of the data sources. So there
      is record -- record level data for some of the --
11
12
      the distributions or some of the flows. There is
13
      monthly account balances.
14
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel,
15
                I'm sorry, I'm getting some
                interference with your microphone
16
                rubbing against your jacket. Sorry
17
                for the interruption. Thank you.
18
                And there's monthly changes in balances
19
20
      that supplement the record level data.
                So some of the distribution data is
21
      monthly and all those data sources were -- were
22
23
      utilized, not just the record level data.
24
           Q.
                Why was it that you chose to perform
      your distributions analysis using monthly net
25
```

257 data? 1 Well, I mean, there's -- there's two 2 3 answers to that question. One is my specification, as we've been discussing, is using 4 a 28-day period. So the factor model that we've been talking about is using these 28-day periods. 6 7 So if I'm to incorporate the distributions into the factor model, it's natural to also have it be 8 the same increment of time. 9 10 The second answer is, and I won't read the de -- the details, but constructing the 11 12 distribution data was a nontrivial exercise. And 13 using, in addition to the record level data, the 14 monthly account balances, monthly changes in the 15 balances, was part of the process as well as the record level data. 16 So the data sources have different 17 levels of frequency associated with it. And --18 and so that -- that's -- that's, you know, how the 19 data was put together. 20 Okay. Are you aware of any academic 21 Ο. literature that uses dollar value of net 22 23 distributions to measure the impact of 24 distributions on a stock price? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 25

```
I don't recall either way. I would have
 1
      to take a look. I -- yeah. I just don't have a
 2
 3
      specific recollection sitting here.
               Okay. Was your original distributions
 4
           Q.
      data in number of XRP or in dollars?
                So I believe a lot of the data was in
 6
     XRP. So we have reserved accounts that are set in
 7
     XRP funds. There is another data source that we
 8
     used, you know, in constructing the data.
 9
10
                I just want to get the exact language
     here. I know it's here somewhere. I just can't
11
12
     find it right away. Give me a second.
13
                So maybe I'll just point you to the
      exhibit where the data's reflected.
14
15
           Q.
               Perhaps Exhibit 8?
```

A. What's that?

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Perhaps Exhibit 8?
- A. Not quite. Yeah, so that's not exactly
  what I was thinking about.

Exhibit 10. So CoinMarketCap has data on -- on -- on what they label a circulating supply. And so another -- another data source, going to your question for this, which is denominated or in -- in XRP, you can see the Y axis here, is CoinMarketCap circulating supply and

```
259
      then we obviously have the XRP distributions in
 1
      XRP here.
 2
 3
                So I guess two points here. One is,
      it's -- it is in XRP units and it's yet another
 4
      data source in addition to what I was describing
      later that was utilized to make sure that what we
 6
 7
      were seeing in the XRP distributions was
      consistent with this other source of data; that is
 8
      to say, the CoinMarketCap circulating supply.
 9
10
      Again, going to your question, that is in XRP.
           Q. Okay. And to convert the number of XRP
11
12
      to dollars for purposes of -- of your analysis, I
13
      believe, according to Exhibit 9, Footnote 2 --
14
           Α.
                Just give me one second.
           Q.
                Sure.
15
16
                Let me just familiar -- we're skipping
      around a lot so let me just take a moment to look
17
      at what you're looking at.
18
                Sure. And just to orient us, I'm at
19
20
      Exhibit 9, Footnote 2.
21
           Α.
                Okay.
                So I read Footnote 2 to mean that for
22
23
      your distributions analysis to convert the number
24
      of XRP to dollar values, you used, depending on
      the period of time, CryptoCompare and
25
```

260 CoinMarketCap prices, is that right? 1 Α. 2 Yes. 3 Ο. Okay. In your view, could this conversion from number of XRP distributed into 4 dollar values have introduced inaccuracies in the data since you didn't use actual prices at which 6 the XRP were distributed? 7 8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. I view this as the market price of 9 Α. the XRP in question. So I don't view it as 10 inaccurate. 11 12 Ο. And the -- the price of XRP varied 13 substantially in the period from 2013 to 2020, 14 correct? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 15 Well, it depends on -- you know, are you 16 Α. talking about over a long period of time or are 17 you talking about on a daily basis? 18 I meant over the -- the entire period, 19 20 it sort of increased substantially, right? 21 Α. It -- it definitely changes over time. And -- and fair to say that change in 22 23 the 2013 to 2020 period is an increase in price, 24 right? 25 Α. Yes.

261 1 Ο. Okay. And I think we saw earlier the market 2 3 cap of -- of XRP, which is not only about price, but about, you know, the -- the XRP units out 4 there. But, yes, it did increase in price. Q. Okay. 6 7 Α. Oh. Q. Go ahead. 8 9 Α. Let me just -- let me take another crack 10 at my earlier answer, which is the price is increasing and the supply in the marketplace of 11 12 XRP is increasing, too, as we can see in Exhibit 13 That's -- that's what I was trying to say 14 with my earlier answer. 15 Okay. So -- so that I understand your distributions analysis, let me introduce another 16 hypothetical. And let's use completely 17 hypothetical price numbers to make the math easier 18 19 on me. 2.0 So let's say in 2015, XRP was trading at 21 a dollar and Ripple distributed one million XRP. 22 Using your distributions calculations, you would 23 convert that to \$1 million, correct? 24 Α. Yes, if that's the price in CryptoCompare or CoinMarketCap, depending on 25

262 1 exactly when in 2015 you're talking about. Okay. So let's say again, totally 2 hypothetically, in 2018, XRP's price --3 Α. 2018 now? 4 Ο. Yes. Α. Okay. 6 7 Totally hypothetically. XRP's price is Ο. \$5. Ripple distributes again one million XRP. In 8 that case, your distributions figure would be \$5 9 million, correct? 10 Yes. So the -- just to be clear, it's 11 Α. 12 converting to dollars on the date of distribution 13 and it's using the average of the opening and closing price as reported in CryptoCompare. 14 15 Q. Okay. And for both of those circumstances in my hypothetical, Ripple was 16 distributing the same amount of XRP, one million 17 XRP, right? 18 Yes. I think that's right. 19 Α. 2.0 Q. Okay. Would you agree that the conversion of distributions into dollar values 21 22 introduces additional variation into the net 23 distributions data that makes it more difficult to 24 detect the relationship between XRP returns and Ripple's actions? 25

263 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 1 2 No, I don't agree with that. I'm --3 I'm -- I'm using the market value of the XRP distribution based on the pricing. And so I view 4 that as an accurate way to track the -- the outflows or the net outflows. 6 7 But as we discussed earlier today in response to Dr. criticism on this score, 8 not that I agree with it, is I reran the 9 10 distribution analysis and the -- and the -- and the -- and how it's measured using XRP units 11 rather than converting it into the -- into dollars 12 13 using market values and it doesn't change the 14 results. 15 Q. Is it fair to say that in no place in your report are you providing an expert opinion as 16 to Ripple's motivations with respect to any of its 17 actions? 18 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 19 2.0 A. Agreed. 21 Q. Okay. I am not, as an economist, opining on 22 23 what somebody was thinking, what somebody was 24 feeling, what somebody thought. I'm not a fact 25 witness.

264 Ο. Okay. Let's turn to paragraph 119, 1 2 please. Let me just familiar my -- familiarize 3 Α. myself with the paragraph --4 Ο. Sure. Α. -- for a moment. 6 7 Okay. 8 The last sentence of 119 says "The cap Q. on XRP distributions introduced by the escrow is 9 10 therefore not a binding constraint on the amount that Ripple can distribute per month." 11 12 Do you see that? 13 Α. I do. Can you explain in what way the escrow 14 15 is not a binding constraint on the amount of XRP that Ripple can distribute per month? 16 Well, I'll just refer to the discussion 17 Α. earlier in that paragraph. So my understanding of 18 the escrow is that they can distribute up to one 19 2.0 billion per month in XRP. So that is -- that is the -- the limit, as I understand it, on the 21 22 escrow. So they can do up to a billion. They 23 don't have to do a billion, but they can do up to a billion as I understand it. 24 25 And so, you know, in the sentence that

265 1 begins "I analyzed" on page 55, I'm just simply observing that the monthly ratio, you know, 2 3 ranged, you know, up to 55.8 percent. And then for the next time period, it ranged up to 55.9 4 percent. So I'm just making the observation that 6 7 in the distribution data that monthly limit of one 8 billion wasn't binding because they're doing less than -- less than 100 percent. 9 10 Did you calculate the monthly ratio for the years ending 2019 and 2020? 11 12 Α. I don't recall that offhand. I do have 13 the distribution net outflows in dollar numbers of Exhibit 9. I have the monthly net outflows in XRP 14 15 on Exhibit 8. So I would reference that for the 2019/2020 period. But, again, this is in XRP 16 units. 17 You see here in that Y axis of Exhibit 18 8? 19 20 Q. Mm-hmm. 21 There is a one billion XRP. And you can Α. 22 just take a look at the net outflows in XRP in 23 Exhibit 8 for 2019 and 2020. I believe that it's 24 all below that monthly cap of a billion. 25 Okay. Let's go back to paragraph 1 --0.

```
266
                         THE WITNESS: How long have
 1
                we been going? I don't want to take a
 2
 3
                break too often.
                         MR. SYLVESTER: It's been
 4
                about an hour.
                         MR. KELLOGG: A little over
 6
 7
                an hour. Do you want to take a break?
                         THE WITNESS: Yeah. Maybe --
 8
 9
                I hate keeping people here in the
10
                evening, but maybe a break.
                         MR. SYLVESTER: It's fine by
11
12
                {\it me.}
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
13
14
                Thank you. The time is approximately
15
                4:20 -- make that 4:30. We're going
                off the record.
16
                          (Whereupon, a recess is taken)
17
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And the
18
                time is 4:50 p.m. We're back on the
19
20
                record.
21
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
22
                Professor, are you familiar with the
23
      term "liquidity premium"?
24
           Α.
                I believe so in the sense of a
      premium -- a potential premium for liquidity. So
25
```

in that general sense, yes.

- Q. Okay. What is your understanding of the term "liquidity premium"?
  - A. So the way I just defined it is the idea that, all else being equal, a more liquid asset can have more value than an illiquid asset, all else being equal.
  - Q. Okay. Are you aware of any economics literature that suggests that digital assets are not subject to a liquidity premium?
  - A. No.
  - Q. Okay. Did you do any testing in this case to determine whether XRP's listing on any new digital asset platform had any impact on XRP's price?
  - A. Yes, in -- in -- in the sense that I -- I framed the hypothesis that I tested earlier, which is the net effect of the actions that the SEC identifies, which includes listing on exchanges, did not have a statistically significant excess return.
  - Q. Other than the testing that you just mentioned, did you do any additional testing to determine whether XRP's listing on any particular digital asset platform had any impact on XRP's

268 1 price? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 2 3 Α. So the work I did is reflected in -- in those regressions. 4 Okay. Over the period of 2013 to 2020, has Ripple sold XRP every year? 6 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 7 Α. So in your question you used the word 8 "sold." What I can talk about is distributions in 9 10 the way that I defined it, and we were discussing earlier. So I think the most responsive answer to 11 12 your question would be Exhibit 8, which is the net 13 outflows from Ripple. And this is denominated in XRP and -- anyway, you can see the -- the net 14 15 outflows over time. 16 Ο. You also reviewed Ripple's financial statements from 2014 to 2020, is that correct? 17 Α. 18 Yes. Okay. Do you recall from that review 19 20 whether Ripple sold XRP each of those years? 21 Α. I don't have a specific recollection. 22 You know, I do track -- I do track outflows from 23 Ripple and that's reflected in Exhibit 8 and 24 Exhibit 9, as well as Exhibit 10. So that's -that's the work I did, again, in the context of 25

269 distributions based on the data sources that we 1 discussed earlier. 2 3 Q. Okay. Let's -- so -- strike that. Do you have any sense, let's say just 4 for 2020, how frequently Ripple sold XRP? Daily? 5 Weekly? Monthly? 6 7 Α. You keep -- you keep using the word "sold." So I'm -- I'm looking at distributions, 8 which obviously include sales, and Exhibit 8 does 9 10 have data for 2020. So, again, in terms of net outflows, you 11 12 know, I would again go back to my Exhibits 8 and 13 9. Okay. And remind me, Professor, how you 14 Ο. define outflows for purposes of Exhibit 8. 15 It's exactly the net outflow -- net 16 Α. distribution outflows that we were talking about 17 earlier. 18 19 Q. Okay. 2.0 Α. So there's various data sources that went into that, including monthly account data, 21 22 record level -- record level data, checked against 23 CoinMarket circulating supply. So rather involved 24 construction. "Involved" in the sense of multiple data sources to construct what's reflected in 25

```
270
 1
      Exhibit 8 and Exhibit 9.
                Okay. Let -- let's go to paragraph 141
 2
 3
      of your report, please.
                Let me just -- give me a minute to get
 4
      the context of the paragraph.
           Q.
                Sure.
 6
 7
                (Pause)
           Α.
                Okay. I've -- I've reviewed that
 8
      section.
 9
10
           Ο.
                Okay. The first sentence, you'll see it
      says "There was no pooling of the funds."
11
12
                Do you see that?
13
           Α.
                I do.
                By "funds," do you mean XRP or dollars
14
15
      or something else?
                I'm referring to pooling of funds by
16
           Α.
      investors that are then going to be used for the
17
      purposes of increasing profits or earnings that
18
      then are -- the benefits of which are then going
19
2.0
      to be shared for the contributors to the pool.
21
           Ο.
                Okay. And the -- the sentence "There
      was no pooling of the funds" is in passive voice.
22
23
                Who was it that was not doing the
24
      pooling in your view?
25
                Well, again, in part, this is a
           Α.
```

reference to the earlier discussion of the contracts and was there pooling of funds in an enterprise with then some kind of claim on those funds and some kind of promise, increased profits or earnings.

2.0

Q. Okay. And I want to go back to your previous answer where you said you were referring to pooling of funds by investors.

Are you talking about sales of XRP?

Like, I want to locate this in the facts of this case. When you say there was no pooling of the funds, do you mean funds that XRP purchasers paid to receive the XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. No. I mean -- again, you know, maybe it's helpful to go to the -- the next paragraph.

142 I think helps elucidate what I'm -- I'm talking about here, which is that there's not a pooling of funds by investors who receive the XRP where those investors now have some sort of right to enjoy the benefits of that pooling as a result of -- of that relationship.

So, again, I think what I'm talking about 141 is elucidated by -- you know, is clar -- you know, elaborated upon in paragraph 142.

272 Are you expressing an opinion in this 1 case that Ripple did not pool funds it received 2 from its sale of XRP? 3 I'm not providing that opinion. 4 Okay. Turning back to paragraph 141, Ο. you'll note, Professor, there's not a citation. 6 7 Can you tell me where you --I'm sorry, I -- what paragraph are we Α. 8 on? 9 10 Q. 141. 11 Α. Okay. 12 Q. There's not a citation in that 13 paragraph. 14 Can you tell me how -- how or where you 15 obtained the information for -- in paragraph 141? Well, 141, as I explained, is elaborated 16 Α. upon in 142. So it referenced back -- it 17 references back to my economic analysis of the 18 substance of the contracts we viewed in Section II 19 2.0 and then it also references the factor model in Section III. So, again, it's referencing that 21 22 earlier work that I did in separate sections of 23 the report. 24 Q. Okay. So let me just ask specific to the sentences. You write "Specifically, Chris 25

273 Larsen, Jed McCaleb and Arthur Britto collectively 1 held the remaining 20 billion XRP units and gave 2 3 80 billion XRP units to Ripple." Where did you get that information? The 20 billion and 80 billion? Α. The contents of that sentence. 6 Q. So that's my understanding. I believe 7 Α. it's in the distribution data, this 20 billion/80 8 billion. That's -- that sentence, that second 9 10 sentence, is my understanding of the -- of the basic facts of this case; that there's a total of 11 12 100 billion XRP units, 80 billion with Ripple and 13 then later obviously there's an escrow account 14 for -- involving XRP. 15 So that second sentence is -- you know, is my understanding of sort of the basic 16 background facts of the case, but the analysis 17 is -- you know, I would point to paragraph 142, 18 which invokes work that I did in earlier sections 19 20 of the report. 21 Turning to the last sentence of 141, you Ο. write "Furthermore, Chris Larsen, Jed McCaleb, and 22 23 Arthur Britto did not pool their XRP holdings and 24 were free to behave independently from each other and independently from Ripple." 25

```
274
                Do you see that?
 1
                I do.
 2
           Α.
 3
           Ο.
                What is your basis for that sentence
      that I just read?
 4
                So when you look at the distribution
      data and the exhibit, Appendix C, you know, the --
 6
 7
      the distribution data from Ripple, the outflows
      from Ripple, it is not incorporating these
 8
      individuals and what they decide to do with
 9
10
      their -- their XRP.
                And there might be something -- just
11
12
      give me one second here.
13
                (Pause)
                And I would also reference in the
14
15
      context of this discussion Footnote 54 on page 15
16
      in my report, where I say -- and now I'm just
      reading from the report -- "I was informed by
17
      counsel that distributions by Founders and the
18
      bounty program identified in the complaint are
19
20
      outside the scope of my assignment."
                So I would -- and then there's a
21
      citation to the complaint referencing the -- you
22
23
      know, the -- with a reference. So, anyway, you
24
      know, I would also point to that -- that
      definition of my assignment.
25
```

Q. Did you have distributions data for Mr. McCaleb or Mr. Britto?

me one moment.

A. It was just something I wasn't focused on. I -- I don't recall offhand if that's in the distribution data or not. I mean, the distribution data that I was using is reflected in the appendix. So I'll just go back to that. Give

So, you know, for the distribution

data -- and now I'm on page C-6 of my appendix.

And, you know, I'm talking about the monthly

account balances for Ripple's accounts and sort of

how -- and, also, I'm looking at the financial

statements of Ripple.

So those data sources are about Ripple's distributions. My understanding of that is that doesn't include what these individuals independently might be doing.

- Q. So how is it that you formed the conclusion that they did not pool their XRP holdings and were free to behave independently from each other and independently from Ripple?
- A. So that's my understanding of the background, which is the individuals are separate entities, so to speak, from Ripple. They're in --

I mean, I don't -- that Ripple's distribution data
and how it dealt with its XRP, how it dealt with
the escrow account, were issues for Ripple.

There's nothing in the Ripple distribution data
that has anything, in my memory, about, well,
we're going to tell this or that individual what
to do with their own separately owned XRP.

2.0

So the distribution data that I had about Ripple was about their XRP. I don't recall offhand that Ripple was treating their holdings as part of their holdings for purposes of these different data sources such as Ripple's monthly account balances.

- Q. Other than whatever access to Ripple's distribution data that you had, do you have any other basis for the statements you make in the third sentence of paragraph 141?
- A. Well, as I said, the -- the -- that first sentence in paragraph 141 -- you know, 141 more generally, is elaborated upon in 142, which is invoking earlier work with the academic and data sources that I use there.

The fact that XRP had 80 billion and these individuals had 20 billion is just a basic understanding of the facts of the case. I didn't

understand that to be at issue. It is my basic understanding. And of course the 80 billion is reflected in that Ripple data that I did use for the construction of -- of the distribution data.

2.0

- Q. And my question is, how did you obtain the understanding that Mr. Larsen, Mr. McCaleb and Mr. Britto did not pool their XRP holdings and were free to behave independently from each other and independently from Ripple?
- A. I gave you the -- the answer, the basis for that, which is the Ripple -- how Ripple treated its XRP. My recollection is that the private holdings of these individuals was not treated as Ripple XRP for those purposes, for the distribution purposes.

My understanding is that they had 20 and Ripple had 80. That's a basic background understanding of the facts of the case. And that -- I guess the last thing I would add is that they're individuals who own the XRP and, you know, didn't have -- my understanding, and I haven't seen anything to the contrary, that Ripple somehow owned or controlled the 20 billion that they had.

So, again, part of this is just sort of basic background to the case; that is, the 80 and

20 billion division. I would also reference the Ripple treatment of XRP and its internal data and the data sources that are used. And then, obviously, the work that is referenced in paragraph 142.

2.0

Q. I'm struggling to see how any of the data sources you just named would inform you as to what Mr. Larsen, Mr. McCaleb and Mr. Britto actually did with their XRP holdings or were free to do with their XRP holdings.

Can you identify any data sources that you have with respect to Mr. Larsen, Mr. McCaleb or Mr. Britto's combined actions with respect to their XRP holdings?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So as I mentioned before, I explicitly state in Footnote 54 that the distribution by the founders identified in the complaint are outside the scope of my assignment. So it is true that I did not analyze the distributions of these individuals; rather, I was focused on the distributions of Ripple.

So part of this has to do with the scope of my assignment.

Now, I -- I do want to add -- obviously

- I do, in my rebuttal to Mr. I do talk about some hop analysis and that does get into a set of issues there. But here, in this initial report, I don't claim to be doing a distribution analysis by -- let me just go back to the relevant paragraph -- by Mr. Larsen, McCaleb or Britto.
- Q. Okay. Let's move to paragraph 143, further down on the page. The second-to-last sentence is "In fact, a majority of XRP are not purchased directly from Ripple but are traded anonymously at the cryptocurrency exchanges."

Do you see that?

A. I do.

2.0

- Q. Is that statement true for the entire period of 2013 to 2020?
- A. Give me one moment. I just want to check one more thing and I'll get back to you to get to the relevant -- we're skipping around in the report a little bit.

So, yeah, as I discuss in my report, I do agree that the trading volume was definitely lower earlier in the time period, you know. And I would reference here Exhibit 14 on page 97 where I talk about the number of exchanges. You know, there's a little bit of a bump in 2015 and then it

280 starts, you know, to visually -- 2017 it starts to 1 go up at a -- at a -- at a decent pace. 2 3 You know, if you look at, you know, trading volume, which is reflected in velocity on 4 Exhibit 15 -- so, again, velocity is being defined as trading volume divided by the circulating 6 7 supply. 8 It is true in the early period it is low in that Exhibit 15 and picks up around, you know, 9 10 starting at 2016 or so. Yeah, so I definitely agree earlier in the period the trading volume is 11 12 lower, significantly lower. The -- listing on 13 exchanges or exchange trading is -- is definitely lower. 14 15 And was it true earlier in the period that a majority of XRP were not purchased directly 16 from Ripple? 17 What paragraph are we on again? 18 Α. 143, the second-to-last sentence. 19 Q. 20 Α. Yes. So this is -- this is a statement about looking at it over the entire 21 period. And, obviously, in the last sentence of 22 23 143, in particular the later period. So I'm not 24 making that representation about trading anonymously for cryptocurrency exchanges confined 25

281 to 2013 or 2014. 1 2 Okay. Let's go back to the pooling of 3 funds in 141. Α. Yes. 4 Ο. I want to make sure I understand your testimony. 6 7 When you say there was no pooling of the funds, are you referring to people's holdings of 8 XRP? 9 10 Well, again, 141 is talking about the pooling of funds. And then the next, you know, 11 12 two sentences in that paragraph are talking about 13 these three individuals that own 20 billion XRP, 20 percent of the total supply, and that their --14 15 their holdings of XRP are separate from that of Ripple. So that's point one. 16 And then point two would be the other 17 issues that I reference in paragraph 142 that is, 18 again, referencing work that I had done earlier. 19 2.0 Q. Okay. So with respect to the reference to pooling of funds in 141, you're referring to 21 22 Mr. Larsen, Mr. McCaleb and Mr. Britto's funds, is 23 that right? 24 Well, as -- as the sentence says, they have 20 billion of XRP. My understanding is that 25

282 was not treated as part of Ripple's XRP. That is, 1 it was treated, at least in the data that I saw in 2 3 the distribution sources, that these are treated as private holdings by these individuals. 4 So in that sense, there's no pooling in the sense that they have XRP and are -- are 6 independent of -- of XR -- are independent of --7 forgive me, what was the question again? I got a 8 little distracted. 9 It's okay. 10 Ο. Let me reask it because -- strike that. 11 12 When you write "There was no pooling of 13 the funds" in your first sentence of 141, are you 14 referring to Mr. Larsen's, Mr. McCaleb's and 15 Mr. Britto's XRP? 16 Yes, in part, but I also then talk about the issues in one -- in paragraph 142. 17 And -- and will you explain to me, if 18 there is another meaning of "there was no pooling 19 2.0 of the funds," other than reference to 21 Mr. Larsen's, Mr. McCaleb's and Mr. Britto's XRP, what is that other meaning? 22 23 Well, there's a broader discussion now 24 in paragraph 142 about, you know, the operation of Ripple and whether any XRP, any XRP purchases, 25

283 1 create a pooling of funds where there's been a contractual obligation on the part of Ripple to 2 expend efforts, a contractual obligation on the 3 part of Ripple to increase XRP's price, a 4 contractual obligation to share in any profits from the management of the enterprise. 6 7 Okay. Let's move to paragraph 144 on Ο. the next page. 8 9 Α. So just give me a moment to situate 10 myself. Ο. 11 Sure. 12 (Pause) 13 Α. Okay. 14 Okay. The first sentence of paragraph 15 144 says "Some parties that received XRP directly from Ripple sell rather than hold XRP." And the 16 second sentence, "For example, market makers use 17 their XRP to quote bids and offers, and improve 18 market liquidity," and it goes on to talk about 19 2.0 ODL customers. 21 My question is, isn't it true that Ripple employs market makers to sell XRP 22 23 programmatically on its behalf? 24 Α. There -- there is programmatic sales on behalf of Ripple, is my understanding. And I 25

```
284
 1
      would reference back to my discussion of various
      contracts, including the section on programmatic
 2
      sales. Let me just get the exact section here.
 3
                So I would reference you back to --
 4
      well --
 5
           Q.
                One place --
 6
 7
                Well, paragraph 42, page 18, I state
           Α.
      "Ripple also entered into contracts with
 8
      programmatic sellers," and then I -- I have some
 9
10
      discussion of that, including GSR. I also, in
      paragraph 46, on page 20, talk about market
11
12
      makers.
13
                So, yes, there is programmatic --
      contracts governing programmatic sales.
14
15
                Okay. And in those contracts governing
      programmatic sales, Ripple is employing, for
16
      instance, GSR to sell XRP on its behalf, correct?
17
                That's my understanding. And, you know,
18
      I would reference paragraphs 43 through 45 where I
19
20
      believe I talk about that particular issue.
21
           Ο.
                And do you have a sense over what period
      of time Ripple employed GSR to sell XRP
22
23
      programmatically on its behalf?
                I do -- I do -- we -- I did -- I'm
24
           Α.
      trying to remember the dates. You know, I know
25
```

```
285
      that in 2017 -- and, obviously, Dr.
 1
      report is focused on programmatic sales by GSR.
 2
 3
                Give me one second here.
                So I'm returning to my rebuttal report
 4
      where I discuss -- I think I have that data there.
 5
      It's in the latter period, I think, including
 6
 7
      2017, but let me give you a specific answer. This
      may take a moment.
 8
                Yeah. So back -- yeah, in 2016 and 2017
 9
10
      there's GSR activity. Let me just make sure
      there's nothing else I want to say on this.
11
12
                (Pause)
13
                Yeah. So just to elaborate on my
14
      earlier answer. So that -- was the question is
      Ripple using GSR?
15
16
                Do you have a sense over what period of
      time Ripple employed GSR to sell XRP
17
      programmatically on its behalf?
18
                Okay. So in terms of GSR when it was
19
20
      active, you know, I would reference Exhibit 6B in
21
      my rebuttal. Now, this includes acting for
22
      non-Ripple entities, including Mr. Larsen. So I
23
      believe it's 2017 is my best recollection.
24
      contract that I reference between Ripple and GSR
      in my report is dated June 2nd, 2017.
25
```

Q. Do you know if Ripple ever stopped employing GSR to sell XRP on its behalf?

- A. Stopped employing GSR. I know there's a termination provision with the GSR programmatic contract that I talk about in the report, paragraph 44, but I don't know if that was, in fact, terminated or not.
- Q. Okay. Let's move to the first sentence of paragraph 145 in the same section.
- A. Oh, I'm sorry. Just to give a complete answer, there's also a contract in 2019 with GSR and Ripple that I reference in paragraph 49 actually dated July 1st, 2019. And this is using GSR as a -- as a market maker.
- Q. Okay. Let's turn to paragraph 145. The first sentence says "In contrast, Ripple holds XRP over a long-term horizon."

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
  - Q. What do you mean by "long-time horizon"?
- A. I would reference here the net outflows from Ripple reflected in Exhibit 8. And we look at this later time period, you know, we see distributions far less than a billion in a month.

25 We looked at the net outflows in dollar amounts

```
287
 1
      reflected in Exhibit 9.
                So I would reference that data for the
 2
 3
      proposition that -- that Ripple is holding XRP for
      long periods of time, multiple years. It is,
 4
      obviously, engaged in net outflows, but it's
      far -- it's just a fraction in any given month of
 6
 7
      the total holdings.
 8
                Okay. But at least according to your
      Exhibit 9, it is engaged in net outflows at least
 9
10
      from late 2013 to late 2020, is that fair?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
11
12
           Α.
                That is fair. So the monthly net
13
      outflows from Ripple, there is a little bit of
      blue early on denominated in U.S. dollars. And
14
15
      the net outflows in Exhibit 9 are -- at least when
      denominated in dollars, is larger in the later
16
17
      period.
                Now, when we look at the XRP units, you
18
      don't see that spike in the later period looking
19
2.0
      at Exhibit 8.
21
                And turning back to paragraph 145, the
           Ο.
      same sentence, "In contrast, Ripple" --
22
23
           Α.
                One second. Let me just get there.
24
           Q.
                Sure.
25
           Α.
                Okay.
```

Q. Same sentence. "In contrast, Ripple holds XRP over a long-term horizon."

When you write "In contrast," are you contrasting Ripple with other market participants who hold XRP?

- A. The contrast is with the velocity calculation that I discuss in the prior paragraph, paragraph 144, and is reflected in Exhibit 15.
- Q. Are Ripple's programmatic sales included within your velocity calculation in paragraph 144?
- A. So the Exhibit 15 -- so this would be all trading volume. So as reported by -- with the top tier or with the CryptoCompare volume data.

  The actual distributions by Ripple we know from those earlier exhibits.
- Q. What steps, if any, did you take to disaggregate Ripple's trading from the rest of the market's trading to compare velocity?
- A. Well, I did disaggregate it in the sense that I identified, both in dollars and XRP, exactly the quantum of distributions by Ripple whether it's via GSR or some other entity. So I do have that disaggregation.

The trading volume here is going to be all the -- the entire trading volume for the

```
market as reported by CryptoCompare or as reported by these top-tier exchanges.
```

- Q. So your trading volume figure described in 144 would include any Ripple trading that took place on digital asset platforms, is that fair?
- A. If -- if there were sales by -- by
  Ripple that enter the trading volumes, it would be
  reflected in the calculation of the velocity, you
  know, just by -- you know, in terms of the trading
  volume if it's being reported into the -- into
  the -- into these figures.

Now, again, the point of comparison is we know exactly how much distributions are actually happening in total and that's reflected in Exhibits 8 and 9.

- Q. Does your velocity figure tell us anything about how many non-Ripple XRP holders are holding their XRP over a long term?
- A. Exhibit 15 is not about on a per-person basis. It's on a per-XRP basis. So that is consistent with how velocity is normally defined.
- Q. Okay. If I understand your answer, I think that means that your velocity analysis does not exclude the possibility that some XRP purchasers hold their XRP over a long-time

```
290
 1
      horizon?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 2
 3
           Α.
                That's correct. So paragraph 144 says
      "a higher velocity means that the asset is traded,
 4
      (turned over) or used more often."
                So it's not at the individual trader
 6
 7
      level, I don't -- I'm not aware of data that would
      enable one to do that. It's, rather, how often
 8
      does the asset -- here XRP -- get turned over? So
 9
10
      very standard traditional method of looking at,
      you know, turnover in the market.
11
12
           Q.
                Can we flip back to the heading on page
          This is Section F. We talked about this
13
      briefly earlier in the day.
14
15
           Α.
                Page 67?
                Yes. Section F, the heading is
16
      "Economic Assertions for Commonality are
17
      Fundamentally Flawed."
18
           Α.
19
                Yes.
2.0
           Q.
                Do you see that?
21
                And "commonality" as used in the heading
      for Subsection F is referring to the commonality
22
23
      element of the Howey test, is that right?
24
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection;
                calls for a legal conclusion.
25
```

291 MR. SYLVESTER: Let me finish 1 2 my question, but okay. 3 Q. Go ahead. Calls for -- I'm not providing a legal 4 Α. opinion. So the answer to your question is, no, I'm not opining on "commonality" as that phrase is 6 used in the Howey decision, but rather the SEC's 7 economic assertions in this portion of their 8 complaint. 9 10 Okay. Are you opining on the SEC's economic assertions in the commonality portion of 11 12 the SEC's complaint? 13 Well, we should turn to the complaint. 14 I do have citations here to paragraph 291 of the 15 complaint, paragraph 317 of the complaint, 291, 293 of the complaint. Yeah. So I would just at 16 least point to the portions of the complaint that 17 I actually cite here in this particular section. 18 Okay. And at least in your quoted 19 20 citations, I don't see the word "commonality." 21 So am I to assume that the word "commonality" is drawn from the SEC's complaint? 22 23 We would have to -- I mean, I would like 24 to see the complaint to refresh my recollection on that. But it is these particular paragraphs that 25

292 I'm referencing here in terms of the subject 1 matter of this section. 2 3 Ο. Particular paragraphs of the complaint you mean? 4 Yes. So I identify different portions of the complaint in the context of the first 6 7 paragraph in this section and what I'm going to discuss. 8 In other words, the economic assertions 9 10 by the SEC is reflected not just in the citations to the complaint, but in that first paragraph 11 12 where I lay it out, lay out in quotations what the 13 S -- SEC is saying here. Let's turn back to paragraph 145. The 14 second sentence of paragraph 145 says "Because of 15 the differences in both the timing and the 16 duration of holding periods between Ripple and 17 direct and indirect purchasers of XRP, their 18 exposure to XRP price volatility and therefore to 19 2.0 risk is different." 21 Do you see that? Α. I do. 22 23 Okay. Does that sentence that I just 24 read bear on the question of whether the SEC's economic assertions for commonality are 25

fundamentally flawed?

2.0

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. I think it is part of my analysis of the economic assertions by the SEC.
- Q. Can you explain how in your view, if I understand you correctly, the statements in that sentence undercut the SEC's economic assertions?
- A. Well, I would go to the third sentence in paragraph 140 on page 67. And now I'm just reading from my report. "The SEC also argues that the 'fortunes' of XRP purchasers were aligned with each other and with Ripple because Ripple 'pooled the funds it raised in the offering.'"

So there's this assertion, economic assertion, that XRP purchasers at large are aligned with Ripple. And the point here is that, you know, if your holding periods for a volatile asset are different, you're differently situated, you know, in that respect.

Q. In your view, are Microsoft shareholders in a common enterprise with Microsoft?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Calls for a legal conclusion if what you mean by "common enterprise" is you're invoking the Howey test.

Q. Okay. I mean, I didn't hear an instruction not to answer. So in your view, are Microsoft shareholders in a common enterprise with Microsoft?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection; calls for a legal conclusion.

- A. If you're asking me for my legal conclusion as to whether Microsoft stock is stock, I believe the answer is yes. I'm not here to opine on that. The word "stock" appears in the definition of security in the '33 Act, so I'm not here to provide that legal opinion, but I do agree that it's stock if you're asking me the question and want -- want my view on it.
- Q. From an economic perspective, are
  Microsoft shareholders in a common enterprise with
  Microsoft?
- A. Well, I would -- common -- well, I would -- I guess I would want to explore exactly what you mean in your question about common enterprise. My earlier response was simply agreeing that it is stock, for what it's worth. But in terms of the economic substance of that relationship, I guess I would want to know more about how you're defining common enterprise in

your question.

2.0

Q. Is there a generally accepted definition in the economics literature of the term "common enterprise"?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. Not as such, no. I mean, there are academic economic literature on velocity, on different time horizons, but I would not say commonality or common enterprise is a -- you know, is a -- is a phrase that's used in a way like asset pricing models are. It does have a strong legal connotation.

That's not to say you can't talk about economic assertions that underpin the claim of commonality.

Q. Okay. And, again from an economic perspective, are Microsoft shareholders in a common enterprise with Microsoft regardless of whether they're day trading or long-term holders of the stock?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So, again, what I'm doing in this section is the economic assertions by the SEC. If you're asking me -- I guess in your question, I would want to know how you're defining "common

```
296
 1
      enterprise" for -- you know, in your question.
                I don't need to -- you know, the
 2
 3
      commonality that I'm dealing with in my report is
      really working off of the economic assertions that
 4
      the SEC makes in the context of commonality. I'm
     not directly opining on commonality as such.
 6
 7
           Q. Okay. Let's move to --
                         THE WITNESS: May I ask how
 8
                much time we have on the record? Just
 9
10
               kind of pace -- pace myself. I'm
                sorry to interrupt.
11
12
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We have
13
                about 57 minutes left.
     BY MR. SYLVESTER:
14
15
           Q. Okay. Let's move to your rebuttal
     report, AF-2.
16
               Rebuttal? Oh, okay. AF-2?
17
           Α.
           Q.
               Yes.
18
           A. So I might take one last break just so I
19
     don't fade.
20
21
           Q. Do you want to take it now? That's
22
     fine.
23
           A.
               It sounds like we're at a natural break
24
     point.
25
           Q. Fine by me.
```

297 Does that make sense? So, yeah, maybe 1 2 take a break just to stretch my legs. 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. Thank you. The time is 5:36. We're 4 going off the record. (Whereupon, a recess is taken.) 6 7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And the time is approximately 5:55 p.m. We're 8 back on the record. 9 10 BY MR. SYLVESTER: Professor, when you address the pooling 11 12 of funds in Subsection F of your opening report, 13 is there any portion of that section that addresses whether Ripple pooled funds it received 14 15 in its sales of XRP? 16 So paragraph 141, that first statement, is referring to, A, the fact that there's 17 individuals that own XRP that are not Ripple, that 18 owned the 20 billion XRP units. So there's these 19 20 separate holdings of XRP. Again, this is a basic 21 background assumption of the case as I understand That is to say, these individuals held 20 22 23 billion, whereas Ripple had 80 billion. 24 And the second point on the pooling is really what I referred to in 142. There's not 25

```
pooling and sharing of the benefits of that pooling with the XRP purchasers in the sense of a contractual relationship or obligation or distribution of the profits thereby generated.
```

- Q. Okay. Focusing just on dollars Ripple received from its sales of XRP, does any part of Subsection F discuss whether or not Ripple pooled the dollars it received from sales of XRP?
  - A. No.

- Q. Okay.
- A. Again, with the caveat that -- I mean, there's a couple -- I want to be clear on the record about this. I think there's a couple different senses of pooling floating around here that might create a lack of clarity in the record.

I am addressing whether this pooling by Ripple of the funds that it receives in the sense of it's pooling these funds received and the XRP purchasers then get the benefit in the form of a distribution, the benefit in the form of a claim, on whatever profits, if any, are generated by that pooling.

So if we're defining pooling in that way, I most certainly do talk about it, as paragraph 142 indicates. So I just want to be

299 clear on the record about -- you know, to be clear 1 2 on what is meant and what is not meant by 3 "pooling." I don't quite understand your answer. 4 Ο. You're opining, I think, if I understand it correctly, on whether or not Ripple pools funds 6 and that pooling results in certain rights in XRP 7 purchasers that you identify in paragraph 142, is 8 that correct? 9 10 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. Well, to be clear, I'll just read the 11 12 relevant portion of paragraph 142. "That these 13 contracts" -- such as the programmatic sales 14 contract. "That these contracts do not have any contractual rights entitling these counterparties 15 to a share of Ripple's profits if Ripple is 16 successful in its ongoing efforts to manage and 17 develop its business operations. There are no 18 such contractual rights and no ongoing obligations 19 20 for Ripple to expend efforts to increase XRP's price." The first part of that sentence is I -- I 21 reference the work that I did in Section II. 22 23 So, again, if that's what is meant by 24 "pooling" -- that is, funds are put together and then there's these rights in the pooled -- in the 25

300 1 profits, if any, that are generated by the pool -then that is addressed and I do have an opinion on 2 3 that and that's reflected in paragraph 142. Okay. Let's use a hypothetical. 4 Ο. Same -- same pooling concept. Let's say Ripple 5 sells \$10 worth of XRP on Tuesday and then sells 6 7 \$10 worth of XRP on Wednesday. 8 Is your opinion expressing any view as to whether or not Ripple pools that \$20 of XRP --9 10 \$20 of proceeds from its XRP sales? If what you mean by "pooling" is 11 12 ignore -- you know, is just putting the money 13 together or not putting the money together in an account or accounts, I'm not providing an opinion 14 15 on that. If what is meant by "pooling" is that those relationships, those contractual 16 17 relationships, pursuant to which Ripple gets those funds, the \$20 in your example, whether those 18 contractual relationships create a right to a 19 20 portion of the profits if Ripple's successful in its ongoing efforts, then I do have an opinion on 21 22 it. 23 Does the company have a fiduciary 24 obligation to its shareholders to maximize the value of its assets? 25

301 MR. KELLOGG: Objection; 1 2 calls for a legal conclusion. 3 Α. Yeah, that's a legal opinion. Be that as it may, do you know? 4 Ο. So under Delaware corporate law, there are fiduciary obligations of the directors that 6 7 run to the corporation, as I understand it, which would, you know, involve acting in the best 8 interests of the corporation. 9 10 And in your view as an economist, would acting in the best interests of a corporation 11 12 include maximizing the value of its assets? 13 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 14 So as a policy matter, if you're asking 15 my personal view on this as an economist, that is a -- assuming that we're talking about a 16 for-profit organization, sure, maximizing assets 17 would be certainly something that you would want 18 to think about in terms of a fiduciary obligation 19 20 by a corporation to its shareholders. So I'm -or to its claims on the firm's assets. 21 22 So, you know, again, obviously what 23 fiduciary obligations a corporate board has is 24 ultimately a question of Delaware corporate law assuming it's incorporated in Delaware. 25

```
302
           Q. Okay. Let's turn now to your -- AF-2,
 1
      your rebuttal report. I want to start with
 2
 3
      paragraph 7, please.
                        MR. KELLOGG: I'm sorry,
 4
                where are we?
 6
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Paragraph 7.
 7
                        MR. KELLOGG: Of the main
 8
                report?
                        MR. SYLVESTER: Of the
 9
10
                rebuttal report.
                         THE WITNESS: Do you have a
11
12
                copy?
                        MR. KELLOGG: Of the
13
14
                rebuttal?
15
                        MR. SYLVESTER: You should
               have it.
16
                        MR. KELLOGG: Yeah.
17
                         THE WITNESS: I'm at
18
               paragraph 7.
19
20
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
           Q. Okay. Great. The first sentence of
21
22
      paragraph 7 is "I have been asked by counsel for
23
      Ripple to assess the claims, summarized above,
     made in the report."
24
25
               Do you see that?
```

303 1 Α. Yes. Okay. So my first question is, was your 2 Q. assignment with respect to your rebuttal opinion 3 limited to assessing the claims made in the 4 5 report? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 6 7 So this rebuttal report is a Α. Yes. rebuttal to his -- his report, so it is assessing 8 the opinions reflected in paragraph 6. 9 10 Ο. Okay. Can --I would also note that, you know, 11 Α. 12 obviously I read the entire report and, as we 13 discussed this morning, I did consider his -- this 14 isn't -- I'm sorry. 15 I was just going to note that has a rebuttal report, you know, a 16 report where he criticizes me. Obviously I have 17 views on that. But here, in this -- so -- but in 18 this rebuttal report, I was asked to assess these 19 20 opinions in his initial report. Okay. Turning to paragraph 8 on the 21 Ο. 22 next page. 23 Α. Just give me a second to read it. 24 Q. Sure. It's probably worth reading the

entire thing because most of it is just one

25

```
304
 1
      sentence.
 2
                (Pause)
 3
           Α.
                Okay.
                So my question is, did Dr. opine
 4
           Q.
      that any of the XRP price movements he observed
 5
      resulted in any sustained impact on the market
 6
      price of XRP?
 7
 8
                So it was -- reading his report, it was
      unclear about whether he thought it was -- his --
 9
10
      it is fair to say that his report -- and I think I
      mention this later -- just give me one second
11
12
      here. He does reference short term -- let me just
13
      get the language here. Just give me one more
      second.
14
15
                (Pause)
                So the way he characterizes his
16
      findings I think I, in part, summarize in
17
      paragraph 10, where he uses language like it's
18
      "consistent" with Ripple attempting to influence
19
20
      prices or the actions "coincided" with price
21
      changes.
22
                As I understand from his deposition,
23
      he -- he -- and it's consistent with this summary
24
      or this -- these statements that I make in
      paragraph 10, quoting him, is that he's making no
25
```

```
305
      claim of causation. That is to say, as I
 1
     understand his position -- and, again, it's
 2
      consistent with this language here -- he's not
 3
     making any claim that the actions that he
 4
      analyzes, in fact, caused an XRP price change, let
      alone an XRP price change that's permanent.
 6
                So that's my best understanding of -- of
 7
     what his position is.
 8
               Did -- did you read Dr.
 9
10
      deposition transcript?
           Α.
               I did not.
11
12
               Let's go to paragraph 9 of your report.
13
      I'm looking at the second sentence. That says "As
      an initial matter, Dr. does not (and
14
      cannot) explain why a handful of trades on just a
15
      few cherry-picked dates would have resulted in any
16
      long-term impact on the market price of XRP, much
17
     less caused purchasers of XRP to have any
18
      reasonable expectation of profits from Ripple's
19
2.0
      conduct."
21
               Do you see that?
           Α.
               I do.
22
23
               Did Dr. opine on the reasonable
24
      expectations of XRP purchasers?
               He opined -- I don't think he uses that
25
           Α.
```

phrase. He opined -- you know, he's discussing XRP price changes, which obviously XRP price changes do reflect the expectations of the marketplace. But I don't believe he used that particular phrase.

- Q. Can you explain what you mean by "XRP price changes do reflect the expectations of the marketplace"?
- A. Well, XRP has a market price, right? It trades in the markets and has a market price that changes over time. And the market is going to have a -- a view, a consensus view, as to the market value of that asset, just like it does for any asset.

So the market value is going to reflect the market consensus at that point.

- Q. As part of your assignment for your rebuttal report, did counsel ask you to examine the relationship, if any, between Dr. opinions and the reasonable expectations of XRP purchasers?
- A. What counsel asked me to do was -- is reflected in paragraph 7.
- Q. Okay. I don't see any reference to the reasonable expectations of XRP purchasers in

307 1 paragraph 7., is that right? That's correct. So, again, the 2 3 expectations of the market, you know, is going to be reflected in the price of XRP; that it's going 4 to reflect the consensus view as to the market value of this particular asset, XRP, and he does 6 7 analyze or discuss XRP prices. 8 So it's only in that sense that, you know, this -- that it intersects with 9 10 analysis. Okay. So when you refer in your report 11 12 to "reasonable expectations of profits from 13 Ripple's conduct," are you talking about -- strike 14 that. 15 When you refer to "reasonable expectations of profits from Ripple's conduct," is 16 that limited to any such expectations that might 17 show up in XRP's market price? 18 Α. 19 Yes. 2.0 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 21 Α. So any reference to "reasonable expectations of profit" -- and we can certainly 22 23 look at other parts of my rebuttal where that 24 phrase might be used -- is solely focused on conducting the assignment in paragraph 7. That 25

```
308
 1
      is, his actual analysis.
               Okay. Let's turn to paragraph 11 on
 2
      page 6. And there are some dark bullets and some
 3
      clear bullets. I want to look at the first clear
 4
      bullet. The last sentence of the first clear
      bullet is "The fact that market actors attempt to
 6
      minimize the price impact associated" --
 7
 8
           Α.
                Oh, I'm sorry. I'm in the wrong place.
      Where -- where should I be?
 9
10
                Paragraph 11, page 6. Do you see how
      the first two bullets are filled in and the
11
12
      remaining three are clear?
13
           Α.
                Yes.
                So the first clear bullet.
14
           Ο.
15
           A.
                Oh, I see. Okay.
                And the last sentence of that first
16
           Ο.
      clear bullet is "The fact that market actors
17
      attempt to minimize the price impact associated
18
      with their sales is hardly surprising or novel,
19
20
      and does not support an opinion that XRP is a
21
      security."
22
                Do you see that?
23
           Α.
                I do.
                Did Dr. offer any opinion as to
24
      whether or not XRP is a security?
25
```

```
A. I think he avoided opining directly on that, as -- as I am -- as I am. I'm only -- the reference in this bullet is just to the -- the idea that best ex, best execution, is something that occurs in many different markets, many different asset classes.
```

I am not opining anywhere, whether it be in the rebuttal or my initial report, on whether or not XRP is a security. It's a legal question. Nothing that I've written should be construed as providing an opinion on that ultimate legal question.

Q. Let's look at the next page. We're still on paragraph 11. There's the first full bullet on paragraph -- sorry, on page 7, that says "As the factor analysis presented in my opening report shows, the long-run prices of XRP were influenced not by the efforts of Ripple, but by the changes in the value of cryptocurrencies generally; focusing, instead, on a handful of select days does not constitute a reliable scientific methodology."

Do you see that?

- A. I do.
- Q. Okay. So when you say "not by the

```
310
      efforts of Ripple," are you expressing an opinion
 1
      here that Ripple's efforts in no way influence the
 2
      long-run prices of XRP?
 3
                         THE REPORTER: You're going
 4
                to have to slow down.
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 6
 7
                So I need to hear the question again
           Α.
      now.
 8
 9
           Q.
                Sure.
10
                When you say "not by the efforts of
      Ripple" in the sentence I just read, are you
11
12
      expressing the opinion here that Ripple's
13
      efforts in no way influence the long-run prices of
      XRP?
14
15
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
                What I'm referencing here, in this
16
           Α.
      particular sentence, is the various -- the factor
17
      model and its various specifications that we
18
      discussed that are contained in my initial report.
19
20
      That is to say, there's no statistically
      significant excess return associated --
21
22
      associated -- associated with XRP above and beyond
23
      or separate and apart from general movements in
24
      cryptocurrency.
25
                So I want to be very clear on the
```

```
record. The hypothesis that I was testing is the
null hypothesis: Is the alpha statistically
distinguishable from zero or is it -- or is it, in
fact, the same as zero, you know, in a statistical
sense?
```

So that -- that's the analysis that I was referencing in this particular bullet.

Q. Okay. Is it possible in your view for someone to take steps consistent with an attempt to influence the price of an asset and yet be unsuccessful in influencing the price?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Stated at that level of generality, yes.
- Q. Okay. Separate and apart from whether or not it may have been successful, are you offering any opinion that Ripple did not take steps to influence the price of XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. As I understand your question, you're asking me -- or the question is asking me the motivations of Ripple, the motivations of Ripple with respect to the trading activity that

Dr. focuses on. And the answer to the question is no. I'm not going to opine on the motivations, feelings, personal thoughts of

individuals.

Q. Okay. Slightly different question.

Again, separate and apart from whether or not Ripple may have been successful in any efforts, are you offering any opinion here that Ripple did not take steps consistent with influencing the price of XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. Same answer. So as I understand the question, the question is ask -- is saying -- is asking, am I going to opine on the motivations of individuals, such as individuals at Ripple, and what their thought processes were? And the answer to the question is no.
- Q. Do you disagree with any of the Dr. conclusions -- strike that.

Separate and apart from Dr.

analysis of any impact on XRP's price, do you

disagree with Ripple -- with Dr.

conclusions that on certain occasions Ripple

employees took steps consistent with influencing

the price of XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So I want to be careful here. So insofar as you're asking me about these emails and

```
Dr. citing emails to -- and any use of emails to opine on motivations or purposes, I'm not providing an opinion on that. That -- that's not my role as an economist.
```

I obviously do analyze the particular days that he chooses, but that's using market data, not opining on personal motivations of individuals, whether it be at Ripple or elsewhere.

Q. Okay. You may have anticipated my next question.

Separate and apart from whether or not they may have been successful, are you offering any opinion that Mr. Larsen or Mr. Garlinghouse did or did not take steps to influence the price of XRP?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. So, again, my understanding of your question is, am I going to opine on the motivations, beliefs, feelings, opinions of those individuals? And the answer to -- answer to that question is no.
- Q. Okay. Let's look at -- let's look at Exhibit 1 to your AF-2.
  - A. Exhibit 1?
- 25 O. Yes.

314 Α. Just give me a moment to situate myself. 1 2 Q. Sure. 3 Α. Okay. The right-hand panel of Exhibit 1 to 4 Q. AF-2 displays data for cryptocurrency exchanges. 5 Do you see that? 6 7 Α. I do. Do you know whether or not any GSR 8 Q. trading is within the volume reflected in the 9 10 right-hand panel for cryptocurrency exchanges? So this is the crypto -- cryptocurrency 11 12 exchanges being reported by CryptoCompare. Beyond 13 the -- the net outflows that we were talking about earlier that's reflected in my exhibits and my 14 15 initial report, I have the same answer that -that -- from previously when you asked me about 16 trading volume. So I -- I don't disaggregate 17 here, you know, GSR trading -- well, I'm using the 18 full trading volume on the cryptocurrency 19 20 exchanges. Obviously, I have the GSR XRP Ledger 21 trading on the left-hand side. 22 Mm-hmm. But to the extent that GSR 23 trading is included -- strike that. 24 To the extent GSR was trading on cryptocurrency exchanges during this time period, 25

```
315
 1
      that trading would be included in the volume on
      the right-hand side?
 2
 3
           Α.
                Yes, I would assume so. Whether, you
      know, in that -- you know, that would include GSR
 4
      trading for -- hypothetically would include GSR
 6
      trading for clients other than -- than Ripple.
      I'm not saying that happened. I'm just saying it
 7
      would -- this trading, as I understand the
 8
      CryptoCompare data, would be reflected in the
 9
10
      cryptocurrency exchange volume.
                Okay. Let's turn back to paragraph 18
11
           Ο.
12
      of your rebuttal report.
13
           Α.
                Paragraph 018?
14
           Q.
                Eighteen.
15
           Α.
                Just give me a moment.
           Ο.
16
                Sure.
17
           Α.
                Yes.
                Okay. In paragraph 18 you describe
18
           Q.
      using a square root model.
19
20
                Do you see that?
21
           Α.
                Yes.
22
                Okay. My question is, did you use the
      square root impact model that -- you'll have to
23
24
      excuse my pronunciation -- Donier and Bonart used
25
      in 2015 referenced in Footnote 33?
```

Α. There's a formula that I used. It, you 1 2 know, involves the square root of the volume of the trade or the order -- I think it's called the 3 meta order -- over the volume, the trading volume. 4 And there's a parameter that's estimated at 0.9. Q. And did you --6 7 And there's a volatility -- there's a Α. price volatility term there, too. 8 Did you obtain that 0.9 figure that you 9 10 just testified about from the Donier and Bonart paper referenced in Footnote 33? 11 12 Α. Yes. I believe that's from the Bitcoin 13 paper, if that's what you're referring to. Did you perform any calculations using 14 15 XRP prices to determine any components of the square root model that you used as described in 16 paragraph 18? 17 I don't understand the question. 18 question is am I using -- am I calculating inputs 19 2.0 into the -- into the formula? Ο. 21 Yes. Did you perform any calculations using 22

Did you perform any calculations using XRP prices to determine any inputs that you then used to put into the formula that you found from the paper we've been discussing?

23

24

25

317 MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 1 2 Α. Yes. 3 Ο. What -- what calculations do you recall? Well, there's -- in the formula there's 4 Α. the order size. There's the volat -- the price volatility. There's the trading volume. So those 6 7 are all inputs into the square root calculation. 8 And you reference the 0.9 figure. What Q. does that refer to? 9 10 It's a parameterization in the formula. I think it's the Y term in the formula. So that's 11 12 another component of the formula, which I did get 13 from the Bitcoin paper. And I want to be very clear on the 14 15 record. The point of this square root calculation is simply illustrative of the point that I make in 16 the second sentence of Footnote 33, that "total 17 trading volume and price volatility are important 18 when assessing the price impact of a trade." That 19 2.0 is to say, these are important factors. I'm using this as an illustrative example of how it could be 21 important. 22 23 I'm not saying -- I'm not saying that 24 the price impact is 1.6 percent or 2 percent. It's illustrative of this point that these factors 25

```
can be important if one is interested in assessing price impact of a trade. It's illustrative of that general point. It's not a calculation of the actual price impact of any particular trade on any particular -- you know, on this day. And the same comment would hold for the square root estimates that I utilize in the exhibit at a later point.
```

Q. Okay. I want to make sure I understand your testimony.

You're saying with respect to the concluding sentence of paragraph 18 that you're not opining that the price impact in this particular case is 1.6 percent, is that right?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. So what I say in paragraph 18 is the potential XRP price impact using the square root model is approximately 1.6 percent. So it's -- and this point, the 1.6 percent, is in service of the general point that total trading volume and price volatility can be important in assessing the price impact. So it's just illustrative of that general point.

I'm not saying for this trade or these trades it is, in fact, 1.6 percent. It's a potential price impact. It's illustrative of the

```
319
 1
      general point that you would want to think about
      these factors in assessing price impact.
 2
                And the same comment would hold for the
 3
      illustrative calculations using the square root
 4
      model that are provided later in the report as
      well.
 6
                Looking at paragraph 20 -- I'll give you
 7
      a second to read that and then I'll ask my
 8
      question.
 9
10
           Α.
                Okay. Thank you.
                (Pause)
11
12
                Yes.
13
           Q.
                Are you offering the opinion that GSR's
      purchases during the one-hour period described in
14
15
      paragraph 20 were inconsistent with implementation
      of a price floor as directed by Ripple?
16
           Α.
                Can you repeat the question?
17
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Bridget,
18
                would you mind reading that one back.
19
2.0
                Thanks.
                          (Whereupon, the record was
21
22
                read back.)
23
                I guess what I would say is I would
24
      reference my paragraph 21. So this notion of a
      price floor doesn't seem to hold up in the data if
25
```

```
320
     you look at pre-November 1st and post-November
 1
 2
      1st.
 3
                So, you know, in your question, you were
      referencing the price floor and that seems
 4
      inconsistent with the fact that prices were more
      often below that price floor -- now I'm reading
 6
 7
      from my report -- in November and December than
      they were before. So I guess I do view the data
 8
      as inconsistent or Dr. ignores this when
 9
10
     he talks about a price floor.
               Okay. Again, sort of reverting to our
11
12
     principles. Setting aside whether or not GSR was
13
     or was not effective in implementing a price
14
      floor, are you opining as to whether GSR's
15
     purchases during that one-hour period referenced
      in paragraph 20 are or are not consistent with the
16
      implementation of a price floor?
17
                        MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
18
               Well, again, I -- just to go to what
19
20
          says, and I quote it in paragraph 21,
     he says "GSR seems to have succeeded" in this
21
     price floor. So, again, I would reference that
22
23
     data.
24
                I'm sorry, what -- what's the question?
               I think you answered it. Let -- let's
25
           Q.
```

of 376 

go to the data. Let's go to Exhibit 2, please.

A. Okay.

- Q. My question on Exhibit 2 is, did you examine intraday price data for XRP to prepare this exhibit?
  - A. These are the daily low price.
- Q. Okay. So I think that means, yes, you did examine intraday data, is that right?
- A. Yes. My understanding of daily low prices is it would include intraday in that -- in that way, in identifying the low price.
- Q. Okay. For each of the day that's included within the blue bars shown in your Exhibit 2, did you conduct any analysis of what percentage of the day XRP's price was below .008 dollars?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

- A. No. So I believe the -- the data has the close -- the -- the open, the close, and the daily low. Maybe the daily high. I can't remember. So the answer to your question is, no, this is just reporting the number of days where this -- where the low price breached the so-called price maintenance floor.
  - Q. Okay. Let's go back to paragraph 45 of

```
322
 1
      AF-2.
                Forty-five?
 2
           Α.
 3
           Ο.
                Yes.
                Paragraph 45?
 4
           Α.
                Paragraph 45, page 23. And my question
           Q.
      is about the concluding sentence, so it's probably
 6
      worth you reading the whole paragraph.
 7
           Α.
 8
                Yeah.
                (Pause)
 9
10
           Α.
                Okay. I've read the paragraph.
                Okay. The last sentence says "In order
11
           Q.
12
      for this claim to be true, the regression
13
      coefficient on the prior returns must be
      statistically significant, and my return
14
15
      regression specification demonstrates that this is
      not the case."
16
                My question is, why is it that the
17
      regression coefficient on the prior returns needs
18
      to be statistically significant in order for
19
20
      Dr.
          claim that these sellers on behalf
      of Ripple sold more XRP when the price of XRP was
21
22
      increasing and relatively less when the price was
23
      decreasing on the previous day? Why -- why does
24
      your regression coefficient need to be
      statistically significant in order for that to be
25
```

323 1 true? MR. KELLOGG: Objection. 2 3 Α. Yeah, that's -- that's -- that's a long question. Let me make sure I -- I want to make 4 sure I'm answering it. So Dr. in his report says 6 7 that -- well, I have the quote here. That "These sellers, on behalf of Ripple, sold more XRP when 8 the price was increasing and relatively less when 9 10 the price was decreasing the previous day." No, that's not the quote I wanted. 11 12 So in his report -- Dr. 13 report, that is -- my understanding of what he was saying is that this selling activity, the selling 14 15 of more XRP, is associated with increasing returns 16 on -- contemporaneously increasing returns. That 17 is, his claim is not just confined to the previous day's returns, but I read him in his report to be 18 making a claim about selling more XRP when the 19 20 price is contemporaneously rising. 21 What his regression is about -- and we can talk about his regression -- is he's using lag 22 23 returns. So I'm making the observation that a lag 24 return coefficient is not going to address the question of whether there was contemporaneous 25

increases in the prices during the sale activity.

Now, this leads directly into the last sentence of that paragraph, which is "If there was a statistical association in return..." So if returns are falling today, returns are falling on average statistically, then there would be a relationship between that previous return that Dr. is using and contemporaneous return. So there might be a -- a basis for an inference there, but there is no statistical association between contemporaneous returns and prior returns.

And, therefore, a lag regression, a regression that's using lag returns, is not going to be able to ascertain whether, in fact, Ripple was selling more when prices contemporaneously were increasing.

- Q. Okay. Let's move to Exhibit 8 of AF-2.
- A. Exhibit 8?
- Q. Yes. This is labeled, for the record,
  "Examples of Alleged Indirect Transfers of XRP
  from Mr. Larsen and Mr. Garlinghouse to GSR Traced
  by Dr.

You'll see, Professor, that a number of addresses are noted as belonging to "another party."

```
325
                Do you see that?
 1
                Are you looking -- I'm sorry. Oh, okay.
 2
 3
      So you're looking at the second column. Yes, I
      do.
 4
                Okay. And you say in your note, the
           Ο.
      last sentence, "When the address I.D. has not been
 6
      provided, another party is indicated above."
 7
                Did you see that?
 8
                Yes.
 9
           Α.
10
                Did you ask counsel to supply you with
      any documents that might answer the question of
11
      who controlled these destination addresses?
12
13
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
14
                         THE REPORTER: I'm sorry.
15
                Repeat.
16
                         MR. SYLVESTER:
                                         Sure.
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
17
                Did you ask counsel to supply you with
18
      any documents that might answer the question of
19
2.0
      who controlled these destination addresses?
                No. I want to be clear here. In this
21
           Α.
22
      exhibit, Exhibit 8, I'm using -- I'm assessing
23
                  work. And the address I.D., that
24
      second column that we were discussing, is drawn
      from the identification of the wallets, or the
25
```

326 1 addresses, from Dr. work. So this is a product of his backup 2 3 materials that he produced in terms of his identification of the address I.D. And so I'm 4 assessing the work that he did including what's reflected in this table. 6 7 So this table is really drawn very directly from his backup. Obviously, I calculated 8 the cumulative number of days from Larsen or 9 10 Garlinghouse wallet transfer, which is a trivial calculation, but this is a reflection of his --11 12 his work, his backup, and his identification. 13 Q. Okay. Looking just at the first example regarding Mr. Larsen, the way I read the first row 14 is that Mr. Larsen transferred 20 million units of 15 XRP to the destination address in the first row 16 17 starting with " Do you agree? 18 Α. 19 Yes. 20 Q. Okay. And then the next transfer is 21 of 2,083,313 XRP to the following destination address of R -- well, no. Strike 22 23 that. 24 This is what I'm having trouble understanding. It looks like, if I'm reading your 25

```
327
 1
      chart correctly, the units of XRP traced by
      Dr. goes from 20 million to 2,083,313 and
 2
 3
      then back up, in the next row, to 2,083,333.
                Do you see that?
 4
                I -- I'm not following you.
 5
                Okay. Do you see "Units of XRP
 6
      transferred, 20 million"?
7
          Α.
 8
                Yes.
               Okay. If you go all the way to the
 9
10
      right on that same row, do you see "Units of XRP
      traced by Dr.
11
12
           A.
                Yes.
13
           Q.
               Okay. And that value is 2,083,313?
14
           Α.
               Yes.
15
                Okay. So the next entry under "Units of
           Q.
      XRP transferred" is 2,083,333.
16
                Do you see that?
17
                So I'm supposed to be looking at the
18
      second row now?
19
20
           Q.
               Yes.
21
           Α.
               Okay.
                So my question is, it appears that this
22
23
      chart is suggesting that 20 million XRP were
24
      transferred, 2,083,313 were traced, but then the
      number of XRP units transferred goes back up to
25
```

```
328
      2,083,333.
 1
 2
                Can you explain how -- how that works?
 3
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
           Α.
                I think you're make --
 4
                         MS. PROSTKO: Objection.
                I think you're mis -- I think you're
 6
     misreading the chart a little bit, or the -- or
 7
 8
      the figure or the exhibit, I should say.
 9
           Q.
                Yeah. Please explain.
10
                So Dr. is tracing for --
      first, let me note that I was not provided with
11
12
     his hop program pursuant to which he's doing this
13
     hop analysis. So that was a black box that I was
     not able to access.
14
15
                According to his tracing algorithm,
     which I don't have access to, he ultimately traces
16
      for this first hop -- the hop -- the first hop
17
      consisting of three hops, ultimately traces the
18
      2,083,313. So that's why that number in the
19
2.0
      second-to-last column is the same because that's
21
     what he ultimately ascribes in terms of the hop
22
      analysis from Larsen to the final destination,
23
     which is GSR.
24
                But as you're pointing out, the units of
     XRP transferred per hop or per -- or per
25
```

```
329
     transaction does vary. But, really, the question
 1
      is -- well, what's reflected in that
 2
 3
     second-to-last column is what Dr. ascribes
      to Dr. -- or Mr. Larsen in terms of the ultimate
 4
      destination of GSR.
 6
               But you are right that the individual
7
     hops -- one, two and three -- would -- you know,
      they're not all the same.
8
 9
          Q. Okay.
10
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Can we take
                five minutes off the record before we
11
                end for the day? Is that all right
12
13
               with you?
14
                         THE WITNESS: Sure.
15
                         MR. KELLOGG: Sure.
16
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Great. Thank
17
               you very much.
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
18
                Thank you. The time is approximately
19
2.0
                6:39. We're going off the record.
21
                         (Whereupon, a recess is
22
                taken.)
23
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time
24
                is approximately 6:47 p.m. We're back
                on the record.
25
```

```
330
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
 1
           Q. Okay. Professor, are you expressing the
 2
 3
      opinion in this case that defined that an
      instrument was offered and sold as an investment
 4
      contract, that instrument must entitle its holder
      to a share of the profits of the company that sold
 6
 7
      the instrument?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
 8
                So as I understood, if I heard your
 9
           Α.
10
      question that -- the first part was it -- was in
      order to be defined as a security, am I correct?
11
12
                         MR. SYLVESTER: Do you want
13
                to read it back, Bridget? No, you
14
                don't want to. You want me to say it.
15
                Okay. I'll say it again.
      BY MR. SYLVESTER:
16
                I said investment contract, but let me
17
      just restate the question.
18
                Are you expressing the opinion in this
19
2.0
      case that defined that an instrument was offered
21
      and sold as an investment contract, that
22
      instrument must entitle its holder to a share of
23
      the profits of the company that sold the
24
      instrument?
                         MR. KELLOGG: Objection.
25
```

A. That calls for a legal opinion. The answer to that question is no.

Q. Okay. Are you expressing the opinion in this case that defined that an instrument was offered and sold as an investment contract, that instrument must require the company that sold the instrument to expend efforts in some way?

8 MR. KELLOGG: Objection; 9 calls for a legal conclusion.

- A. As I understand the question, it calls for a legal conclusion. I'm not providing that opinion.
- Q. Okay. Are you providing the opinion in this case that it is not possible for a virtual currency to be offered and sold as an investment contract?

MR. KELLOGG: Objection.

A. As I understand the question, it calls for a legal opinion, so I'm not providing that opinion.

MR. SYLVESTER: Okay. That is all the questions I have for you, Professor Ferrell.

I just want to again -- you know, we've -- this is to Ripple's

```
332
                counsel.
 1
 2
                         We've heard today about
 3
                analysis that Professor Ferrell
                conducted that was not disclosed in
 4
                his opening expert report. We've also
                heard about analysis that he conducted
 6
 7
                subsequent to reviewing Dr.
                work. I just want to put on the
 8
                record that we reserve all rights
 9
10
                depending on what defendants do or
                don't do with that information. That
11
12
                could include calling Dr. -- Professor
                Ferrell back. That's our reservation
13
14
                of rights.
15
                         I have nothing further for
                you. Thank you very much. I
16
                appreciate your time, Professor.
17
                         THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
19
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: May I
                close out the deposition for today?
2.0
21
                         MR. KELLOGG: You may.
22
                         THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
23
                We are off the record at 6:49 p.m. and
24
                this concludes today's testimony by
                Dr. Allen Ferrell. The total number
25
```

```
333
                of media units used was seven and will
 1
                be retained by the court reporting
 2
 3
                agency. Thank you.
                          THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 4
                          (Whereupon, the deposition
 5
 6
                concluded at 6:49 p.m.)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
334
 1
       STATE OF NEW YORK
 2
                              ) ss:
 3
       COUNTY OF NEW YORK
                              )
               I hereby certify that the witness in the
 4
      foregoing deposition, FRANK ALLEN FERRELL, III, Ph.D.,
 5
      was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth, the whole
 6
 7
      truth and nothing but the truth, in the within-entitled
 8
      cause; that said deposition was taken at the time and
      place herein named; and that the deposition is a true
 9
10
      record of the witness's testimony as reported by me, a
      duly certified shorthand reporter and a disinterested
11
12
      person, and was thereafter transcribed into typewriting
13
      by computer.
                I further certify that I am not interested in
14
15
      the outcome of the said action, nor connected with nor
      related to any of the parties in said action, nor to
16
17
      their respective counsel.
                IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
18
      this 25th day of February 2022.
19
20
                 Reading and Signing was:
       requested waived X not requested.
21
22
23
24
25
                      BRIDGET LOMBARDOZZI, CSR, RMR, CRR
```

# [& - 20]

# **Transcript Word Index**

&	1 (cont.)	113	1615
&	219:11 220:14 228:8 241:1	251:3,16	4:17
2:17 4:3,10 5:5,15 10:16	241:3,10,21 242:7,14,18,24		162
39:18	250:14 252:10 261:23	264:1,8	161:22,23 162:14
0	265:25 313:23,24 314:4	11a	163
	1,250	198:5 246:5	253:22 254:18 255:13
0.001	46:21	11b	17
147:22,25	1.6	198:10	27:7 182:21 198:21
0.022	33:15,17,21 317:24 318:13		171
193:23 200:2	318:17,18,24	162:24 169:17	138:17,19 139:16
0.058	1:16	12	174
193:22	170:14	7:19,24	177:3,4,7
0.058.	10	12:15	175
218:4 <b>0.398</b>	107:14,15 108:1,2,5,7,19	122:11	175:22
148:11	109:9 198:4 258:20 261:13	120	18
0.398.	268:24 300:6,7 304:18,25	207:7,8 254:10	7:12 198:21 284:7 315:11
148:8,24	10:24	1285	315:18 316:17 318:11,15
0.541	59:20	5:18	19
177:22	<b>10:44</b> 59:25	12a	107:13 198:21 <b>1960s</b>
0.629		198:14	
180:19	100	<b>12b</b> 198:15	69:20 209:10 211:12
0.661	62:7,10,14 67:2 125:2,10 126:2,6,8 150:10 165:3	13	1st
172:23			248:8,11 249:3 286:13 320:1,2
0.661.	207:2,7,8,9,10,14,14,23,23	114:17,19 234:11 248:4 <b>133</b>	·
173:3	232:22 253:14,15,15 254:6	7.15	2
0.9	254:6,10,11,12 265:9 273:12	14	2
316:9 317:8	100,000	217:23 218:10,15 219:9,13	7:17 23:24 24:2,5,10 28:5
0.9.	162:7,15 165:7,24 166:9,13	1	33:21 54:4 60:2 61:14
316:5	167:2 168:4	249:25 279:23	87:10,11 92:7 110:5,10
008	10019-6064	140	113:24 114:1,6,9,18,21
321:15	5:19	21:5,10,24 22:3,18 236:15	115:21 116:8,13 156:13
058	10022	293:9	172:18,20 173:12 174:1,8
205:14 212:6,24 214:3	4:6	141	174:16 175:7 176:6,13
1	102	20:18 21:15 235:18 270:2	183:19 184:18 185:3
	155:18,22 191:17,20,21	271:24 272:5,10,15,16	193:23 198:17 200:2
7.42 0.6 40.2 5 24 40.2	192:13,19,23 194:3,8,21	273:21 276:17,19,19 281:3	213:15 228:14 241:12
7:12 8:6 18:3,5,21 19:2	200.0 42 205.04 200.0	281:10,21 282:13 297:16	243:10,16 250:16 259:13
21:18 24:10 27:24 28:20,24	007.0	142	259:20,22 296:16,17 302:1
29:6,17 31:3,7,12 32:9 34:2	10281-1022	20:20 271:17,25 272:17	313:23 314:5 317:24 321:1
34:5,25 35:6,14 36:1,6,10 36:12,13 54:3 55:8,19 57:7	3:14	273:18 276:20 278:5	321:3,14 322:1 324:17
57:14 61:13 68:4 86:4,23	106	281:18 282:17,24 297:25	2,083,313
105:11,13 107:22 108:20	7:22	298:25 299:8,12 300:3	326:21 327:2,13,24 328:19
110:2,13 111:21 112:11,25	107	143	2,083,333
113:17 114:15 115:11,12	236:1	279:7 280:19,23	327:3,16 328:1
115:20 116:1,2,5 124:3	108	144	2:04
137:19,23 140:14 147:12	236:6	283:7,15 288:8,10 289:4	171:4
148:4,17 156:12 168:8	10832	290:3	1.6 2.6 10.14 67.17 77.1
171:3 173:25 174:1,7,15	1:6 2:6 10:14	145	1:6 2:6 10:14 67:17 77:1 90:11 129:19 197:12
175:4,12,14,19 176:1,8,13	10b	21:15 286:9,15 287:21	198:21 254:23 273:2,5,8
176:23 177:21,23 178:10	40:14	292:14,15	276:24 277:16,23 278:1
178:19,19 179:4,9 180:21	11	15	281:13,14,25 284:11
183:19 193:22 197:7,9	7:5 9:12 94:25 137:23	9:12 231:6 274:15 280:5,9	297:19,22 300:9,10,18
199:3 200:4 207:24 212:1,7	308:2,10 309:14	288:8,11 289:19	319:7,15 320:16 326:15
214:2 215:11,20 216:8	11:58	150	319.7,13 320.10 320.13
217:10,15,23 218:12	122:7	207:2	JZ1.Z,1,ZJ
	I	I	l l

## [200 - 52]

200	2112	3	4:50
3:12 62:16 166:1	5:7	3	266:19
200,000	212.336.1060	8:5 115:11,13,14,21 122:13	40
167:10	3:15	137:21 138:23 139:4	91:19 225:14 227:2,6,12
2003	212.373.2491	142:10 143:12 145:14,14	400
209:25	5:20	155:24 156:19,24 163:8,13	3:13 4:18 10:22 166:1
20036	212.909.6000	163:20,24 164:4 166:19,20	41
4:19	4:7	168:8,23 173:24 178:11,12	109:12 129:22
20037	21st	180:4 185:14 186:20	42
5:8	108:9 164:11 248:18	191:12 192:14 193:21	161:22 284:7
2013	22	195:19 196:7,15 197:11	43
75:6 89:18 90:10 92:6	108:4 114:14,15 198:22	198:19 199:1,2 205:13	227:25 253:22 284:19
96:25 98:6 102:6,13 133:7	2202232blo	206:3 211:25 212:4 213:15	44
134:7 169:25 170:5 175:20	1:25	213:21 225:22 226:7,25	185:21,25 186:2 187:15
260:13,23 268:5 279:15	<b>22nd</b>	239:17	229:13 231:2 286:6
281:1 287:10	108:10	3:09	45
2014	23	222:10	284:19 321:25 322:4,5
268:17 281:1	1:16 2:19 7:17 10:3 108:4	3:26	46
2015	164:6,10 322:5	222:15	175:2 284:11
75:24 77:23 92:8 110:6	239	30	47
162:24 169:17,23 170:1,3,7	8:4	31:13,14,22 34:1,20 112:2	125:7 150:11 182:24
176:8 184:8,13 248:8,11	23rd	112:9 113:9	48
249:3 261:20 262:1 279:25	10:7 108:10	300	155:19,20 191:20 208:14
315:25	243	165:3	49
2016	23:9	31	286:12
182:25 183:5,17 280:10	244	7:25	4th
285:9	23:10	317	28:20
2017	245	291:15	5
108:4 182:7,13,22 183:16	23:10	32	5
280:1 285:1,7,9,23,25	25th	228:23 229:10 230:25	27:9 40:14 103:15 111:20
2018	004.40		27.9 40.14 103.13 111.20
2010	334:19	22	113:13 140:13 15 19 141:1
262:3,4	<b>260</b>	33 231.5 232.1 204.11 315.25	113:13 140:13,15,18 141:1
		231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22
262:3,4	260	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15
262:3,4 <b>2019</b>	<b>260</b> 164:6	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b>	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24
262:3,4 <b>2019</b> 265:11,23 286:11,13 <b>2019/2020</b> 265:16	260 164:6 27 182:24 274	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17
262:3,4 <b>2019</b> 265:11,23 286:11,13 <b>2019/2020</b>	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b>	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9
262:3,4 <b>2019</b> 265:11,23 286:11,13 <b>2019/2020</b> 265:16 <b>202.326.7999</b> 4:20	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11 <b>36</b> 234:23	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11 <b>36</b> 234:23 <b>38</b>	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11 <b>36</b> 234:23 <b>38</b> 107:25	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11 <b>36</b> 234:23 <b>38</b> 107:25 <b>39</b>	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 <b>34.2</b> 243:16 <b>34178</b> 243:11 <b>36</b> 234:23 <b>38</b> 107:25 <b>39</b> 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 4	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23	260 164:6 27 182:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022 1:16 10:3,7 334:19	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16 2nd	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11 4:20	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022 1:16 10:3,7 334:19 21	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11 4:20 266:15	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022 1:16 10:3,7 334:19 21 8:4 108:4 198:21 239:7,9	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16 2nd	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11 4:20 266:15 4:30	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022 1:16 10:3,7 334:19 21 8:4 108:4 198:21 239:7,9 239:15 240:21,23 241:9	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16 2nd	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11 4:20 266:15	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16 500,000
262:3,4 2019 265:11,23 286:11,13 2019/2020 265:16 202.326.7999 4:20 202.974.1500 5:9 2020 30:7 41:17,19 42:21 75:6 75:24 77:23 89:18 90:11 92:7,8 102:13 110:6 133:8 163:3 164:11 170:3 260:13 260:23 265:11,23 268:5,17 269:5,10 279:15 287:10 2021 2:19 7:14,19,24 28:20 166:23 2022 1:16 10:3,7 334:19 21 8:4 108:4 198:21 239:7,9	260 164:6 27 182:24 274 162:24 28 31:17 34:8,11 35:1 96:3,6 97:7,11,12 108:11 110:14 110:17 111:3 112:7 116:14 117:1,3,7 118:1 122:25 129:9,12 228:6,12 251:7,14 251:18,19,22 252:21,25 253:2,3,12,13,17,23 254:1 254:5,7,16,19,22 255:2 257:5,6 291 291:14,15 293 291:16 2nd	231:5 232:1 294:11 315:25 316:11 317:17 34.2 243:16 34178 243:11 36 234:23 38 107:25 39 86:23,24 87:7,8 91:3,9 398 148:18 4 7:14 138:23 145:14,15 162:17,17,20,22 169:16 196:16,17 197:11 222:11 4:20 266:15 4:30	141:6,10,21 142:1,8 164:22 166:3 171:24 172:8,13,15 179:13 197:15 200:24 212:12 213:17 222:17 226:12 262:8,9 5.8 199:4 200:5 5:36 297:4 5:55 297:8 50 62:4,5 64:20 66:25 67:14 67:16 123:7 175:6 206:17 206:21 207:2,3 236:1 500 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,15 148:17 156:14 157:13,22 158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16 500,000 167:10

## [53 - actual]

1	I	1	1
53	7 (cont.)	919	academic (cont.)
180:7 251:3	157:24 179:14 192:15	2:17 4:5 10:17	276:21 295:7
53.2	195:19 197:24 222:24	92	academically
242:25	224:18 225:22 226:7	129:21,24 131:19 168:9	74:12 119:25
53246	228:12,17 229:25 230:20	174:6 198:6	academics
242:19	231:13 232:8 233:15 237:3		153:12
54	237:6 238:1 246:19 248:4	137:11 198:11	accepted
178:20,24 179:5 180:3,7,22	302:3,6,19,22 306:23 307:1		13:21 14:5 17:11 21:1
274:15 278:17	307:25 309:15	137:13 174:5	100:23 116:15 135:24
55	70	95	212:14 295:2
265:1	20:2,11	212:4,23 213:21,25 216:23	access
55.8	75	950	255:8,18 276:14 328:14,16
265:3	207:3	10:22	account
55.9	79	96	81:7 82:11 244:15 246:22
265:4	75:8	185:18,22 186:2,10,18,22	247:6,6 248:7,9,11,17
56	8	187:6,12,17 191:7 193:7	249:3,20 251:9 256:13
246:9	8	211:19 228:1 229:6	257:14 269:21 273:13
57		97	275:12 276:3,13 300:14
296:13	166:18 249:25 258:15,17	279:23	accounted
58	265:15,19,23 268:12,23	98	169:8
9:5	269:9,12,15 270:1 286:22	174:23 175:2	accounting
6	287:20 289:15 303:21	а	187:7 198:17
	324:17,18 325:22		accounts
6	8,916	a.m.	30:15 125:21 258:7 275:12
7:22 106:24 107:2,8 156:19		2:18 10:2,7	300:14
163:10,13,20 164:3 179:17		able	accurate
179:24 180:2,7 181:9	273:3,5,8,12 276:23 277:2	46:9 76:12 102:14 131:12	90:14 213:3,4 214:5 215:14
197:19 221:25 228:5,6,10	277:17,25 297:23	160:12 201:2 236:25	263:5
228:17 229:25 230:20	82	324:14 328:14	
			accused
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1	75:8	abnormal	accused 108:25
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3	75:8 <b>83</b>	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19	108:25
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1	75:8	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 <b>abnormally</b>	108:25 acquire
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b>	75:8 <b>83</b> 234:23 <b>84</b>	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19	108:25 acquire 46:15
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10	75:8 <b>83</b> 234:23	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 <b>abnormally</b>	108:25 acquire 46:15 act
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b>	75:8 <b>83</b> 234:23 <b>84</b> 235:14	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 <b>abnormally</b> 105:6	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14 9	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14 9	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 <b>abnormally</b> 105:6 <b>absolute</b> 207:5	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b>	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14 9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b>	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7     119:6 121:8,11 151:9     152:12 154:8,8 160:20	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24 <b>6:49</b>	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions 93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7 180:24 230:1 231:11,25
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24 <b>6:49</b> 2:18 332:23 333:6	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions 93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7 180:24 230:1 231:11,25 232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24 <b>6:49</b> 2:18 332:23 333:6 <b>60</b>	75:8  83 234:23  84 235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24 <b>6:49</b> 2:18 332:23 333:6 <b>60</b> 9:6	75:8  83 234:23  84 235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions 93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7 180:24 230:1 231:11,25 232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17 237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25 263:18 267:18 278:13
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 <b>6,700</b> 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 <b>6:39</b> 329:20 <b>6:47</b> 329:24 <b>6:49</b> 2:18 332:23 333:6 <b>60</b> 9:6 <b>67</b>	75:8  83 234:23  84 235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27 2:18 10:2,7	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions 93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7 180:24 230:1 231:11,25 232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17 237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25 263:18 267:18 278:13 304:20 305:4
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12 9:27 2:18 10:2,7	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19 abnormally 105:6 absolute 207:5 absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24 academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27 2:18 10:2,7 90 86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10	108:25 acquire 46:15 act 294:11 acting 285:21 301:8,11 action 66:10 334:15,16 actions 93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7 180:24 230:1 231:11,25 232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17 237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25 263:18 267:18 278:13 304:20 305:4 active 285:20
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27 2:18 10:2,7  90 86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19 124:3 175:8 235:19	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14      9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7     119:6 121:8,11 151:9     152:12 154:8,8 160:20     170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20     265:14 268:24 269:13     270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15     305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19     124:3 175:8 235:19  90s	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2	108:25 acquire
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14      9  9     9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7     119:6 121:8,11 151:9     152:12 154:8,8 160:20     170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20     265:14 268:24 269:13     270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15     305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19     124:3 175:8 235:19  90s     65:24	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b	75:8 83 234:23 84 235:14  9 9 9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12 9:27 2:18 10:2,7 90 86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19 124:3 175:8 235:19 90s 65:24 91	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b 285:20	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14      9  9     9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7     119:6 121:8,11 151:9     152:12 154:8,8 160:20     170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20     265:14 268:24 269:13     270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15     305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19     124:3 175:8 235:19  90s     65:24  91     77:22 110:5,12 114:11,25	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13 134:23 135:10 136:12	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14     324:1
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b 285:20	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19 124:3 175:8 235:19  90s 65:24  91  77:22 110:5,12 114:11,25 115:6,8 116:11,11,13 119:6	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13 134:23 135:10 136:12 139:12 140:10 147:8 154:4	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14     324:1 actors
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b 285:20 7	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19 124:3 175:8 235:19  90s 65:24  91  77:22 110:5,12 114:11,25 115:6,8 116:11,11,13 119:6 119:16 121:8,11 151:10	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13 134:23 135:10 136:12 139:12 140:10 147:8 154:4 157:18 158:23 160:10,14	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14     324:1
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b 285:20 7 7 147:11,12,17 148:16	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14      9  9     9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7     119:6 121:8,11 151:9     152:12 154:8,8 160:20     170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20     265:14 268:24 269:13     270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15     305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19     124:3 175:8 235:19  90s     65:24  91     77:22 110:5,12 114:11,25     115:6,8 116:11,11,13 119:6     119:16 121:8,11 151:10     152:12 154:7 160:21 168:9	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13 134:23 135:10 136:12 139:12 140:10 147:8 154:4 157:18 158:23 160:10,14 166:7,22 179:25 185:10,16	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14     324:1 actors
231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1 256:9 275:10 303:9 308:3 308:10 6,700 26:21 105:6 106:12 109:18 109:22 6:39 329:20 6:47 329:24 6:49 2:18 332:23 333:6 60 9:6 67 20:2,10 236:15 290:13,15 293:9 68 20:2 69 20:2 6b 285:20 7	75:8  83     234:23  84     235:14  9  9  9:5,6 75:9 77:23 110:3,7 119:6 121:8,11 151:9 152:12 154:8,8 160:20 170:5,5 174:8 259:13,20 265:14 268:24 269:13 270:1 287:1,9,15 289:15 305:12  9:27     2:18 10:2,7  90     86:22 87:1,8,19 91:2,19 124:3 175:8 235:19  90s 65:24  91  77:22 110:5,12 114:11,25 115:6,8 116:11,11,13 119:6 119:16 121:8,11 151:10	70:15 71:6,15 72:7,16,19  abnormally 105:6  absolute 207:5  absolutely 130:11 209:7 210:25 245:24  academic 32:22 35:22 67:25 68:2,9 69:4,18,23 70:3 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 100:16,17,19,21 100:23 101:7,10,18,24 102:1,2,7,10,18,23 103:3,8 103:18 104:3,7,15 105:20 112:13 116:19 117:3,10 118:14,17 127:24 128:8,10 128:20 129:2,3,12,15 130:18,21,23,25 131:2 132:5,6,15,19,20,20,21,22 132:23 133:9,12 134:12,13 134:23 135:10 136:12 139:12 140:10 147:8 154:4 157:18 158:23 160:10,14	108:25 acquire     46:15 act     294:11 acting     285:21 301:8,11 action     66:10 334:15,16 actions     93:2,6 94:2 98:22 99:7     180:24 230:1 231:11,25     232:3 234:18 237:7,10,17     237:20,22,22 238:3 262:25     263:18 267:18 278:13     304:20 305:4 active     285:20 activities     66:11 75:4 126:17 activity     285:10 311:22 323:14     324:1 actors     235:1 308:6,17

## [actual - anonymously]

actual (cont.)	af (cont.)	aligned	analyses
193:4 229:1,24 237:14,16	29:6,17 31:3,7,12 32:9 34:2		29:9 30:10 54:2 78:23
260:6 288:14 308:1 318:4	34:5,25 35:6,14 36:1,6,10	allegations	88:23 89:6 118:18 150:15
add	36:12,13 54:3,4 55:8,19	43:5 44:3 85:5 93:2 97:2	174:20 250:2
137:9 138:6 161:16 222:25	61:13,14 68:4 86:4,23	alleged	analysis
277:19 278:25	105:11,13 106:24 107:2,8	45:8 93:6 233:17 234:3	20:7 21:11,14 25:15 26:1,2
added	107:22 108:20 110:2,13	324:20	26:11,12,15,22 27:16,17
49:6,9 134:8 184:19	111:21 112:11,25 113:17	allegedly	28:19 29:3,5,18 30:22 32:2
adding	114:15 115:11,12 140:14	236:3	34:2,4,19 36:2,10 45:19
138:12 197:20	147:12 173:25 228:5 239:7	alleges	51:21 55:16 61:10,15 70:3
addition	239:9,15 240:21,23 241:9	235:21	72:20 77:10,13 78:1,5,6,9
24:12 27:4,11 30:2 52:16	296:16,17 302:1 313:23	alleging	78:14 79:5 85:16 88:2,6,14
101:10 109:8 114:22	314:5 322:1 324:17	126:16 183:12	88:24 89:2,5,8 91:6,11,14
227:19 244:22 257:13	affect	allen	92:9 94:7,9 95:10,11 96:8
259:5	27:2 94:16 95:13 96:8	1:15 2:15 7:4,13,18 10:9	96:16 98:15 99:20 100:2,8
additional	105:8 112:21,23 127:5,8	12:2 171:16 332:25 334:5	103:19 108:15,25 109:5,15
27:11 28:18 29:4,15,18	133:1 152:16 238:4 244:16	allen's	110:2,14 111:7,7 113:16
30:4,10 141:17 155:25	245:2 247:23	171:10	114:2 115:4,23 119:2,4,23
156:14 161:10 174:19	affirmative	allow	120:3 122:16,23 124:5,11
175:18 179:18 183:9 223:2	233:19	155:24 167:19 171:16	126:6,7,9 127:8,9,16 128:6
223:11,19 224:17,22	agency	allowed	130:8,23 136:23 137:17,20
262:22 267:23	333:3	161:1,7,18 167:5	141:8,17,18 142:12 145:5,9
address	aggregate	alpha	145:19 146:7 149:5,12
16:25 81:23 82:2,6,10	75:6 90:9	27:6 31:21 111:19 113:12	150:2 151:11,13,18,23
84:17 159:1 189:11 230:11	ago	124:15,19,24 125:17 126:1	152:14,21 153:10 154:16
234:12 237:23 297:11	40:15 64:7 232:23	126:13 181:5,7,10,11,17	156:16,24 159:17 164:14
323:24 325:6,23 326:4,16	agree	182:3,3 183:2 187:3,6,10	166:15 168:5 169:2 174:11
326:22	17:4 30:16 34:15 109:16	187:13,16 188:6,10,20,20	183:18,19 186:11 199:24
addressed	118:24 130:10 132:18	188:24 189:4 190:24	200:9,15 203:22 205:5
68:3 81:19 145:7 191:15	144:24 148:25 149:21	193:14,17,22 194:10	218:23 219:23 220:24
237:13 300:2	171:16 199:2,7,16,20 201:4	195:10,14 196:1,9 197:1,3	235:20 240:10 245:10,25
addresses	201:9,17 202:11 207:14,16	197:16 198:2,8 200:5	247:16,20,23 251:4,4
71:5 191:9,11 297:14	212:8 215:6,23,24 216:21	202:23 203:3 206:13,23	256:25 259:12,23 261:16
324:24 325:12,20 326:1	217:13 218:2,6 219:18	207:11,18,18 208:1,3 209:5	263:10 272:18 273:17
addressing	220:19 221:8,20 231:14	209:8,10,11,12,15,18,22,25	279:2,5 289:23 293:3
96:21 99:13 144:25 145:3	244:1 247:19 262:20 263:2	210:4,6,7 211:1,21 219:24	307:10 308:1 309:16 311:6
298:16	263:9 279:21 280:11	221:1 222:20 224:8 225:7	312:18 321:14 328:13,22
adequate	294:12 326:18	226:24 227:13,17,19,21,21	332:3,6
183:11	agreed	227:24,25 228:22 229:11	analyze
adjust	263:20	229:15,19 231:1 238:22	75:14,23 93:12 278:20
96:22 98:9 207:6	agreeing	239:1,5 311:2	307:7 313:5
adjusted	294:22	alphas	analyzed
123:13,16,25 124:2,6,8,17	agreement	27:6,7 183:2,3,4,16	30:17 75:24 95:4 122:24
124:20 168:9 174:2,4,15	47:23 48:5,8,23 171:11	altern	168:2 246:13 265:1
175:3,7,12 176:7,14,20,23	ahead	219:21	analyzes
177:9,16,21 178:1,3,18,25	38:25 41:11 106:23 107:18		305:5
179:4 180:6,17 184:18	121:5 210:23 233:22	112:5 196:12	analyzing
185:3,6,15 190:7 232:21	255:10 261:8 291:3	amazon	95:6,7 104:4 233:8
250:3	al	52:25	andria
advantage	207:21	americas	50:21
103:11,23 104:2 111:6	albeit	5:18	announcement
167:16	25:1,2 249:20	amount	206:17,20
af	albert	49:19 251:21 262:17	announces
7:10,12,17,22 8:2,4 18:3,5	7:23	264:10,15	206:16,19
18:21 19:2 21:18 23:24	algorithm	amounts	anonymously
24:2,5,10,10 27:24 28:5	328:15	286:25	279:11 280:25

## [answer - association]

l		aution late d	lacat (cont.)
answer	appendix	articulated	asset (cont.)
9:3 14:2,15 17:22 23:12	86:3,5,12 163:8,11,13,14	21:7,13 22:19 23:13 74:5	157:5,6 158:17 159:18
24:16 25:25 28:15 29:13,20		75:1 76:15 89:13 99:14	162:6 164:16 165:6,13,21
32:4 33:22,24 36:5 37:16	256:9 274:6 275:7,10	126:11 152:6	166:9 168:14 267:5,6,14,25
38:21 40:9 44:8 45:14	application	articulation	289:5 290:4,9 293:18
46:24 48:4,10 49:13 56:22	83:7 202:17	21:25	295:11 306:13,14 307:6
56:25 57:10,10 58:5,10,12	applied	artur	309:6 311:10
58:22 59:1,7 60:6,10,21	132:15	3:10 12:6	assets
61:23 67:19 70:1 75:21	apply	ascertain	77:24 78:3 82:14,17,25
78:25 89:9,12,21 92:13	47:14	124:14 324:14	83:8,20 84:20 85:9,13,17
94:12 95:16 105:16 111:24	1	ascribes	115:10 116:22 123:1,5
113:5,21 120:25 124:8	252:8,13	328:21 329:3	127:3,3 133:14 134:1,8
137:16 144:18 145:4	appreciate	aside	135:19 141:10,11,14
146:17 149:14 178:15	108:25 332:17	40:13 69:16 78:11,16 85:1	145:11,23 146:4 147:14
198:24 199:15,17 202:5,16	1	95:10 104:25 105:4 108:17	149:8 150:4,24 152:3,17
204:13 205:3 214:10 217:5	74:17,20 75:22,23 86:1	133:23 134:22 176:22	153:6,6,16,25 154:8,13
220:20 230:24 233:13	96:20 100:23 127:24	216:4 239:11 320:12	156:9 157:3,8 158:11,19,20
241:7 244:20 246:10	159:13,13 219:19 220:4,10	asked	160:6,18,20 161:12,13
251:11 253:24 257:10	220:19 221:9	24:22 25:13 27:19 28:16	164:18 167:8 168:1 169:4
261:10,14 268:11 271:7	appropriate	45:5 56:24 113:20 145:25	169:25 170:6 267:9 300:25
277:10 285:7,14 286:11	73:13,19,23 74:14 75:13	152:19 154:23 155:4	301:12,17,21
289:22 291:5 294:2,9 299:4			assigning
311:23 312:9,13 313:20,20		221:19 302:22 303:19	84:14,21,24
314:15 321:21 325:11,19	133:21	306:22 314:16	assignment
331:2	appropriately	asking	25:12 154:10 155:6,6
answered	125:21	14:1 43:17 72:3 114:20	274:20,25 278:19,24 303:3
21:16 56:24 58:18 113:20	approx	120:9,10 146:19 158:1	306:17 307:25
141:23 145:25 147:8	59:19	204:16 214:21 220:10	assist
152:19 155:4 190:16 220:1	• •	230:5 245:20 294:7,13	50:16 53:15 54:21
220:18 221:13,19 320:25	63:1	295:24 301:14 311:20,20	assistance
answering	approximately	312:11,25	51:20 53:2,9 55:13,22 56:4
39:12 101:6 139:11 198:25	1		56:14,19,21 131:13
221:10 238:11 323:5	66:19 77:4 109:18 122:6,11	234:12 293:14,15	assistants
answers	170:13 171:3 178:20 180:3	assertions	51:24 54:6,12
28:22 125:15 232:23 257:3	*	19:5,18 21:23 22:9 23:1,2	assisted
anticipated	242:25 243:16 266:14	23:13 44:11,18 290:17	51:11
313:9	297:8 318:17 329:19,24	291:8,11 292:9,25 293:4,7	assisting
anybody	approximating	295:14,23 296:4	49:12
39:3	106:11	assess	associate
anybody's	apropos	16:8 74:4 89:13,20 90:20	190:21
191:13	23:11	302:23 303:19	associated
anything's	arguably	assessing	75:7,16 77:25 83:10 89:17
14:18	175:5,14,21	71:10 73:9 303:4,8 317:19	90:5,9,23 99:11,16 124:6
anyway	argues	318:1,20 319:2 325:22	181:25 208:7 210:1 215:7,8
127:15 167:15 247:1,23	236:15 293:10	326:5	215:10 216:22 219:7
268:14 274:23	argumentation	assessment	220:22 230:8 231:21
apart	17:7	24:17 29:14 123:20 124:18	232:17,19 233:2 234:19
240:1 310:23 311:14 312:3		asset	235:7 257:18 308:7,18
312:17 313:11	273:1,23	13:15 16:9 38:3 73:5 80:19	310:21,22,22 323:15
appearances	article	1 ' ' ' '	association
10:24	43:15 211:6,7	82:6,10 83:19 84:9 121:20	142:23 143:2,8 149:25
appears	articles	127:11 128:2 129:10	151:25 153:20 158:21,21
114:4 241:14 294:10	10000110000150	130:13,16 131:1 132:9,23	165:18 167:25 168:11
	42:2 81:18,22 82:1,5,9		
327:22	112:13 130:18 135:10	133:1 135:25 136:4,8,13	169:6 178:6 182:6 203:20
appended	112:13 130:18 135:10 articulate	133:1 135:25 136:4,8,13 146:6 147:4 149:22 152:1	169:6 178:6 182:6 203:20 204:1 205:6 223:4,8 224:3
	112:13 130:18 135:10	133:1 135:25 136:4,8,13	169:6 178:6 182:6 203:20 204:1 205:6 223:4,8 224:3

#### [associations - bids]

#### associations average (cont.) balances believe (cont.) 256:13,19 257:14,15 150:8 151:20 152:10,22,25 196:3 199:3,4 206:22 81:13 82:4,8,12,15 84:10 153:11 154:5,21 155:14,16 207:10 209:6 225:3,15,23 275:12 276:13 85:7,14,18 86:19 90:3 169:9 181:16 226:9,14 227:7 230:7 bar 102:14 104:13 108:11 232:15 262:13 324:6 109:6 110:6,10 113:22,25 assume 65:23 66:1,3 50:2,7 55:3 72:12,14 avoid 114:4 117:6,17 125:15 bars 110:22,23 122:23 123:1,5 127:22 321:13 128:18 136:7,7,9 137:20 218:9,9 220:12 232:1 avoided 156:8 170:6 182:18,24 base 237:25 291:21 315:3 309:1 194:9 213:2,4 214:5 215:13 200:18 assumed avoiding based 215:14 228:25 243:19,21 68:14,17,24 246:8 258:6 259:13 265:23 111:1 43:18 44:1 45:6 47:7 48:5 assuming aware 88:2 105:20 116:3 123:24 266:24 273:7 284:20 68:18 76:4 92:20 215:17 14:21 16:7 17:14 93:25 126:22 166:21 192:21 285:23 294:9 306:4 316:12 217:6,7 219:9 249:6 301:16 96:21 106:6,17 107:19 202:8,19 203:2 210:11 321:18 301:25 108:17 133:16 134:13,19 213:9 263:4 269:1 believes assumption 134:23 135:4 152:7 182:7 basic 90:8 92:17 50:8 68:19,20 127:2 176:15 248:16 249:2,14 257:21 68:21 273:11,16 276:24 belonging 231:4 297:21 267:8 290:7 277:1,17,25 297:20 324:24 assumptions awareness basically benefit 94:9 244:23 128:2 138:13 298:19,20 assured axis basis benefits 204:5 258:25 265:18 70:7 75:11 88:11 110:25 112:14 270:19 271:21 asterisk 200:10 216:20 233:7 298:1 b 147:22,25 213:16 255:22 260:18 274:3 berg back 276:16 277:10 289:20,20 4:13 attempt 12:23 20:6 59:25 61:5 141:19 145:20 308:6,18 324:9 best 69:19 78:22 84:12 91:2 31:17 34:9,9 35:19 36:24 311:9 bates 96:23 99:24 102:5,8 105:16 attempting 7:15,20,25 8:6 40:3,15 42:12,14,22 52:10 112:24 122:12 124:7 159:8 304:19 52:11 57:22 65:2 67:18 bayesian 125:15 133:5 137:15 attention 138:4,19 68:13,15 69:11 70:1 81:16 142:10 145:16,17 150:10 161:21 bear 86:20,21 110:20 111:4 168:8,24 171:4,24 186:22 118:13 292:24 112:7 117:1,12,23 123:23 attorney 193:20 194:4 199:1 202:1,3 12:4 64:3,3 136:8 159:8 215:24 216:21 began 202:7 205:13 208:9 210:18 221:13 285:23 301:8,11 100:22 169:23 attorneys 210:21 211:19 222:16 51:16 57:6,13 305:7 309:4,4 beginning 225:7 227:1,23 230:23 august 21:5 22:18 37:11,13 60:1 beta 233:16 237:2 238:13 108:4,9,13 162:15,23 102:9 122:13 134:7 183:25 207:24 250:10,17,20,21 251:21 169:17 170:6 184:4 195:20 222:17 betas 254:11 255:23 265:25 authentication 235:20 250:17 253:2,14,25 149:18 266:19 269:12 271:6 272:5 18:20 begins better 272:17.18 275:7 279:5.17 author 175:20 227:6 265:1 65:15 96:20,24 97:3 102:16 281:2 284:1,4 285:9 287:21 146:11,12,24,25 behalf 144:3 205:24 206:1 290:12 292:14 297:9 authored 2:16 283:23,25 284:17,23 beyond 315:11 319:19,22 321:25 81:18,22 82:1,5,9 285:18 286:2 322:20 323:8 32:21 34:7 99:3 126:21 327:3,25 329:24 330:13 authoritative behave 144:12 150:7 152:25 332:13 14:12.22 273:24 275:21 277:8 154:19 155:13 173:17.22 background authors behavior 181:15 183:10 210:10 233:16 273:17 275:24 134:14.24 135:10 151:22 208:8 224:16 211:3.23 223:20 224:20 277:17,25 297:21 310:22 314:12 beliefs available backing 159:22 161:6,20 170:5 313:19 bic 64:8 believe 184:13 137:22,25 138:14,23 139:2 backup avenue 12:20 25:25 27:10 33:22 139:5,9,10,17,24 140:23,24 34:17 35:25 240:8 326:2,8 2:17 4:5 5:7,18 10:17 34:13 35:13,18 36:15,21,22 141:19 142:2 326:12 average 39:8,24 40:14 41:6,16 bids bad 155:25 187:7 189:5 191:23 42:21 58:16 62:17 66:8 283:18 38:22 104:8 192:1,20 194:15,22 195:2,8 68:8 69:7 76:15 80:16

# [big - certainly]

203-18   3-38-13   3-38-	big	box	calculation	careful
billables   bradley   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   brand   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   5.5 4   billion   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   brand   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   5.5 4   billion   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   brand   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   5.5 4   billion   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   brand   1.7 2.7 5.3 10:12   5.5 4   5.5 14				
47:10,12   17:27 5:3 10:12   2898:8 317:7;15 318:3   2689:8 319:77;15 318:3   326:19   162:6 10:13 12:16 13:1   162:6 10:13 12:16 13:1   163:18 17:7 18:24 23:22   246.9.20;2 57:25 28:5   231:23   273:12;12 275:23 278:1,23 278:1,23 28:10   2898:8 317:7,15 318:3   246:10:22 23:268:3,7 33:19.4   228:268:2,2 2473:2,9 5,5.8.9   183:3 184:10 222:3 286:3,7   277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:10:29:19:23 297:2   277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:10:29:19:23 297:2   277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:10:29:19:23 297:2   277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:10:29:19:23 297:2   277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:10:10:23   286:10:10:10:23   286:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:			I .	
billed 494,23 50.4 billion 1022 breached 321:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 27:23 brill 123:23 brill 123:23 27:23 brill 123:23 27:23 brill 123:23 27:23 brill 123:23 brill 133:23 27:23 brill 123:23 27:23 brill 133:23 27:23:25 brill 133:23:25 brill 133:23:23 brill 133:25 brill 1	I			1
494,23 50:4   10:22   treached   321:23   21			1	-
billing   billing   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5654   5658   565			I .	
55-4   billion   164-6, 10 264-20, 22, 23, 24   265-8, 21, 24 277-2, 24, 265-8, 21, 24 277-2, 24, 265-8, 21, 24 277-2, 24, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-23 276: 1 261-13, 25   261-12, 277-24, 27	,			
billion			1	1
164.6, 10 264.20,22,23,24   265.8,21,24 273.23,55,8,9   273.12,12 276.23,24 277.2   278.12 2876.23,24 277.2   278.12 2876.23,24 277.2   278.12 2876.12 2871.3,25   586.24 2971.92,32   5	I			
2668.21,24 273-23,55,8,9   273-12,12 276-324 277-23,55,8,9   276-324 277-23 278:1 281:13,25   266-12 297:19,23 298:1 281:13,25   266-12 297:19,23 298:1 281:13,25   266-12 297:19,23 298:1 281:13,25   266-12 297:19,23 298:1 281:13,25   266-12 297:19,25 287:13 328:7 281:13   279-12 298:1			I .	
273:12,12 276:23,24 277:2   276:10 296:19,23 297:2   277:23 278:1 281:13,25   278:281:281:13,25   286:24 297:19,23,23   286:10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:2   286:10 10 296:19,23 297:19,25 287:13 384:2   290:14   286:18 297:18 282:13   279:19,25 287:13 384:3   279:19,25 287:13 384:4   279:6   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   279:6   279:6   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   279:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   279:6   279:6   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   279:6   2			1	
277:23 278:1 281:13.25   286:24 297:19,23.23   54:10		The state of the s	I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
286:24 297:19,23,23   binders   bridget   135:20 207:1   called   135:20 207:1   77:6,9 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:16,9 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:6,9 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:6,9 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:6,9 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:12,17:75,20 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:12,17:75,20 79:12 80:15,16,22   77:12,17:14,17:17,17.15,20   80:18 94:19,10 20:1   80:18	1	· ·	I .	* *
Dinders   47:4 53:20   135:20 207:1   73:8,15 78:15 75:13 75:17 77:6,9 79:12 80:15,18,22   21:22 78:18 79:3 316:3   321:23	1		I .	
47:4 53:20   1:24 2:19 201:25 319:18   330:13 334:25   birief   232:122 78:18 79:3 316:3   321:23   84:25 85:1.5,71.1,12.15.20   86:18 94:15 97:24 98:21   120:18 159:12 182:23   279:19,25 287:13 328:7   biriefy   290:14   birighy   332:12   calling   13:24 23:19 43:9,10,20   12:18 18:13   45:12 290:25 291:4 293:23   173:1 316:12 317:13   bittic   273:1,23 275:2 277.7 278:8   279:6   birtio   273:1,23 275:2 282:15,21   broad   279:6   broad   18:24 146:19 205:1   broader   18:24 146:19 205:1   broader   18:24 146:19 205:1   broader   18:23 13:38   brought   233:18   biue   buckets   broad   144:10   533:19   62:2 83:12   capital   capita				1
Dinding   264:10,15 265:8   Dirief   233:16   Calling   331:23   321:23   84:4.9 (10,12,17.21   24:25 85:1,5.7,11,12,15,20   25:25 11:10,18 189:12 182:23   290:14   290:14   201:14		_		1
264:T0,15 265:8   brief   233:16   briefly   233:16   briefly   233:16   briefly   233:16   briefly   233:16   briefly   233:16   briefly   233:12   calling   332:12   112:18 123:9,24 153:15,23   152:19 123:19 139:10,20   158:19 169:18 172:23   173:1 316:12 317:13   britto   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:18 273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   273:1,23 275:2 277:1 276:2 2			I .	
Dit   120:18 159:12 180:23   290:14   290:14   279:19 125 287:13 288.7   290:14   279:19 169:18 172:23   118:13   279:19 169:18 172:23   118:13   279:19 169:18 172:23   118:13   279:19 169:18 172:23   118:13   279:19 169:18 172:23   279:19 170:18   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:19 183:23   283:15 262:9   277:12 277:25 277:1 278:8   282:31 83:4   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:6   279:19 183:3 283:23   283:32   283:33   383:32   383:	_		I .	
120:18 159:12 182:23   279:19,25 287:13 328:7   briefly   290:14   calls   13:24 23:19 43:9,10,20   158:19 189:18 172:23   britto   18:23 183:4   britto   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   287:31,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   296:3 301:2 331:1,9,10,18   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:14   277:25 297:21 318:13 322:14   277:25 297:21 318:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:19   278:41 32:13 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19   378:41 32:13 32:19				
299:19,25 287:13 328.7   290:14   bringing   158:19 169:18 172:23   118:13   britto   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278.8   279:6   279:6   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:32   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:32   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:32   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 282:13   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 282:13   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 282:13   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   278:13 282:13   278:13 283:13   278:13 28				
bitcoin         bringing         13:24 23:19 43:9,10,20         186:24 191:14 210:14         210:14 210:14         210:20 23:23 238:15 262:9         223:12 20 23:23 238:15 262:9         227:31,23 275:2 277:7 278:8         229:6 331:1,9,10,18         186:24 191:14 210:14         210:20 23:23 238:15 262:9         227:10,10,18         227:10,10,18         227:10,10,18         227:10,10,18         227:11,17 276:25 277:18         227:11,17 276:25 277:18         227:11,17 276:25 277:18         227:13 18:13         227:13 281:22 282:15,21         227:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         160:6k         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 281:22 282:15,21         228:13 16:13 163:23 163:23 163:23         329:6 22 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7         309:6 84:7,13,15 252:3         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24         264:8 265:24 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td>		1		
158:19 169:18 172:23   173:1 316:12 317:13   britto   broad   18:24 146:19 205:1   broad   18:24 146:19 205:1   broader   18:24 146:19 205:1   broader   38:3 83:23   brought   broader   38:3 83:23   brought   browder   223:18   blue   buckets   223:18   blue   buckets   233:18   blue   buckets   233:18   blue   buckets   24:24 172:9 26:13   26:24 472:9 26:13   26:29 48:25:24   221:10,15   26:29 48:26:24   27:25 29:21 318:13   322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   26:29 28:18   26:24 472:9 26:13   26:24 472:9 26:13   26:28 86:5,10   166:21,5,23 163:3 164:20,22   39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7   80:6 84:7,13,15 25:23   26:8 26:24   26:24 472:9 26:13   26:29 28:16 20:29 29:18 222:10,15   26:29 28:12   221:10,15   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   27:25 29:24 18:13   322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   26:29,25 65:2,7   30:69 64:7,13,15 25:23   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   26:29 28:18   27:29 26:13   27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:39 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 27:29 26:29 27:29 27:	,		I .	
173:1 316:12 317:13   britto   273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8   camera   273:11,17 276:25 277:18   182:23 183:4   279:6   britto's   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   140:19 141:5 142:5 161:17   162:1,5 23 163:3 164:20,22   39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7   165:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10   166:21,24 172:9 261:3   322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   cases   146:4 185:1 282:23   146:4 185:1 282:23   166:21,24 172:9 261:3   264:8 265:24   262:24   273:25 297:21 181:13   322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14   cases   332:16 33:16:20,20   339:16 220:12 23:10   cases   332:10   cases   332:10   cases   332:10   cases			1	
bitlicense         273:1,23 275:2 277:7 278:8         camera         273:1,17 276:25 277:18           182:23 183:4         britto's         15:21         277:25 297:21 318:13           328:13         britto's         278:13 281:22 282:15,21         camera         15:21         277:25 297:21 318:13           block         broad         118:24 146:19 205:1         broader         160:19,141:5 142:5 161:17         cases           bloomberg         223:18         brought         264:8 265:24         287:14 321:13         capable         causal           board         53:19         buckets         53:19         62:2 83:12         capital         causal           301:23         bullet         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         33:21         13:21 132:3 133:19         13:21 130:1         causal           bonard         301:23         bullet         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         31:27 13:25 13:2         33:21         33:21 13:21 13:25 13:3         33:21 13:21 13:25 13:3         33:21 13:21 13:25 13:3         33:21 13:21 13:25 13:3         23:23 13:2         23:23 33:23         144:10         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         33:21 13:21 13:23 133:19         33:21 13:21 13:23 133:19         33:21 13:21 13:23 13:23 13:19         33:21 13:21 13:23 13:31:19         33:21 13:21 13:23 13:23 13:19         33:21 13:21 13:23 13:23 13:19         33	1		I .	
182:23 183:4   black   278:13 281:22 282:15,21   277:25 297:21 318:13   322:16 303:3,20 331:4,14   cases   39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7   80:6 84:7,13,15 252:3   66:21,24 172:9 261:3   36:10   capable   capab			1	
black         328:13         britto's         cap         322:16 330:3,20 331:4,14           block         broad         140:19 141:5 142:5 161:17         cases           83:23         118:24 146:19 205:1         165:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10         80:6 84:7,13,15 252:3           blockchain         broader         166:21,24 172:9 261:3         39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7           38:3 83:23         brought         66:22,24 172:9 261:3         36:10           blomberg         brought         62:2 83:12         36:10           223:18         buckets         capital         capital           287:14 321:13         buckets         capital         capital           287:14 321:13         buckets         capital         capital         causal           287:14 321:13         bullet         capital         causal         causal           board         301:23         buillet         del:4:10         del:4:5         334:8           bolded         bullet         caps         caps         caused           19:14         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         308:3,4,11         del:4:17         caps         caused           84:10,12 85:7         bullets         164:17         235:16         causing         causing		The state of the s	1	1
328:13   block   broad   118:24 146:19 205:1   140:19 141:5 142:5 161:17   162:1,5,23 163:3 164:20,22   165:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10   166:21,24 172:9 261:3   264:8 265:24   264:8,25 65:2,7   39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7   165:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10   166:21,24 172:9 261:3   264:8 265:24   264:8				
block         broad         18:24 146:19 205:1         165:68,16,16,22 166:5,10         80:6 84:7,13,15 252:3           blockchain         38:3 83:23         146:4 185:1 282:23         166:21,24 172:9 261:3         26:48,265:24         30:6 84:7,13,15 252:3           bloomberg         brought         26:21,24 172:9 261:3         264:8 265:24         36:10           blue         buckets         53:19         45:22 134:2 221:10,15         capable         causation           301:23         building         144:10         62:2 83:12         causation           bolded         bullet         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         capitalization         caused           19:14         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         caps         caused           315:24 316:10         311:7         bullets         334:21 135:6         237:23 305:5,18           84:10,12 85:7         bullets         308:3,4,11         caps         causing           boutom         279:25         business         capture         cautious           boulevard         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         214:15 215:10 265:10         23:23         captures         cent           bouncing         189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6         200:1:1 253:24 326:8         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         care <td>328:13</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	328:13			
83:23   118:24 146:19 205:1   broader   166:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10   166:21,24 172:9 261:3   264:8 265:24   36:10   248:8 265:24   264:8 265	block	•	162:1,5,23 163:3 164:20,22	39:9 62:2 64:9,25 65:2,7
38:3 83:23   146:4 185:1 282:23   264:8 265:24   36:10   causal   150:3 154:24	83:23	118:24 146:19 205:1	165:6,8,16,16,22 166:5,10	80:6 84:7,13,15 252:3
bloomberg   223:18   84:8   84:8   45:22 134:2 221:10,15   150:3 154:24   150:3 154:24     blue	blockchain	broader	166:21,24 172:9 261:3	category
223:18   84:8   blue   287:14 321:13   53:19   62:2 83:12   149:1 305:1	38:3 83:23	146:4 185:1 282:23	264:8 265:24	36:10
blue         buckets         capital         causation           287:14 321:13         53:19         62:2 83:12         149:1 305:1           board         301:23         144:10         334:8           bolded         bullet         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         53:19         caused           19:14         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         131:21 132:3 133:19         99:11 153:17,24 237:20,20           bonart         308:56,14,17 309:3,15         131:21 132:6         237:23 305:5,18           315:24 316:10         311:7         caps         causing           bottom         308:34,11         capture         cautious           bottom         279:25         capture         cautious           bought         209:9 234:16 299:18         119:16 151:10 159:5,23         40:4 298:11           250:16 251:21         calculate         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         captures         ccr           bouncing         189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         calculated         certain           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         calculated         certain           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         calculate	bloomberg	brought	capable	causal
287:14 321:13   board   building   144:10   164:5   334:8	223:18	84:8	45:22 134:2 221:10,15	150:3 154:24
board         building         capitalization         cause           301:23         144:10         500         164:5         334:8           bolded         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         131:21 132:3 133:19         99:11 153:17,24 237:20,20           bonart         308:5,6,14,17 309:3,15         134:21 135:6         237:23 305:5,18           book         311:7         caps         causing           book         84:10,12 85:7         308:3,4,11         capture         cautious           bottom         19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7         279:25         captured         19:10 120:1         39:22           241:11 243:8         business         119:10 15:10 159:5,23         captured         40:4 298:11           250:16 251:21         captures         123:23         cort         22:20           boulevard         10:22         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         214:15 215:10 265:10         230:12,19 232:7 237:5         108:3         certain           bounty         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         204:2,3         204:2,3         204:15 32:23 44:9	blue	buckets	capital	causation
301:23	287:14 321:13	53:19	62:2 83:12	149:1 305:1
bolded         bullet         capm         caused           19:14         20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5         308:5,6,14,17 309:3,15         131:21 132:3 133:19         99:11 153:17,24 237:20,20           bonart         308:5,6,14,17 309:3,15         311:7         caps         causing           book         bullets         164:17         235:16           84:10,12 85:7         308:3,4,11         capture         cautious           bottom         19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7         279:25         captured         39:22           241:11 243:8         279:25         captured         119:16 151:10 159:5,23         40:4 298:11           bought         209:9 234:16 299:18         235:23         captures         ccr           250:16 251:21         calculate         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         23:23         2:20           bouncing         189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6         237:25 201:1 253:24 326:8         care         108:3           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         204:2,3         certain           bounty         274:10         204:2,3         204:2,3         certainly	board	building		cause
19:14	301:23	144:10	164:5	334:8
bonart         308:5,6,14,17 309:3,15         134:21 135:6         237:23 305:5,18           315:24 316:10         311:7         caps         causing           book         bullets         308:3,4,11         capture         cautious           bottom         19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7         279:25         39:22         aveat           241:11 243:8         business         19:16 151:10 159:5,23         40:4 298:11           250:16 251:21         c         captured         captures           250:16 251:21         c         123:23         2:20           boulevard         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         24:15 215:10 265:10         capturing         cent           189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6         237:23 305:5,18         capture         caveat           200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         23:20         cent           200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         24:15 215:10 265:10         caravello         4:4           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         care         160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20           bounty         care         204:2,3         certainly	bolded	bullet	capm	caused
315:24 316:10   311:7   caps   235:16   causing   235:16   capture   cautious   39:22   captured   19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7   241:11 243:8   business   209:9 234:16 299:18   captures   19:16 151:10 159:5,23   doi:10.22   captured   10:22   captured   10:22   captured   10:22   captured   captures   19:16 151:10 159:5,23   doi:10.24   captures   19:16 151:10 159:5,23   doi:10.25   captures   19:16 151:10 159:5,23   doi:10.25   doi:10.2	19:14	20:5 91:18 225:14 227:1,5		99:11 153:17,24 237:20,20
book         84:10,12 85:7         308:3,4,11         164:17         235:16           bottom         bump         119:10 120:1         39:22           19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7         279:25         captured         39:22           241:11 243:8         business         119:16 151:10 159:5,23         40:4 298:11           bought         209:9 234:16 299:18         captures         2:20           collevard         10:22         capturing         76:1 77:17,20 158:10         cent           bouncing         214:15 215:10 265:10         caravello         4:4         143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         204:2,3         certainly	bonart		134:21 135:6	I
84:10,12 85:7         308:3,4,11         capture         cautious           bottom         19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7         279:25         39:22           241:11 243:8         279:25         captured         40:4 298:11           bought         299:9 234:16 299:18         209:9 234:16 299:18         captures           250:16 251:21         c         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         captures         2:20           boulevard         200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13         214:15 215:10 265:10         caravello         108:3           230:12,19 232:7 237:5         calculated         27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8         care         160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20           bounty         274:10         23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9         23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9		311:7		
bottom       bump       119:10 120:1       39:22         19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7       279:25       captured       caveat         241:11 243:8       209:9 234:16 299:18       119:16 151:10 159:5,23       40:4 298:11         250:16 251:21       c       123:23       2:20         boulevard       200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13       24:15 215:10 265:10       108:3         250:17 209:1 210:8 230:6       230:12,19 232:7 237:5       23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9         274:10       274:10       204:2,3			164:17	
19:4,4,15 91:3,9 180:7   279:25   business   19:16 151:10 159:5,23   40:4 298:11	84:10,12 85:7	308:3,4,11		
241:11 243:8     business     119:16 151:10 159:5,23     40:4 298:11       bought     250:16 251:21     c     123:23     2:20       boulevard     calculate     200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13     carpturing     76:1 77:17,20 158:10     108:3       bouncing     214:15 215:10 265:10     caravello     4:4     4:4     143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4       274:19     274:19     274:19     204:2,3     204:2,3			119:10 120:1	39:22
bought 250:16 251:21		279:25		
250:16 251:21  boulevard 10:22  bouncing 189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5  bounty 274:10  calculate 200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13 214:15 215:10 265:10 calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  123:23 capturing 76:1 77:17,20 158:10 caravello 4:4 care 204:2,3 certain 143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4 160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20 certainly 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9			1	40:4 298:11
boulevard 10:22 bouncing 189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5 bounty 274:19  calculate 200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13 214:15 215:10 265:10 calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  capturing 76:1 77:17,20 158:10 caravello 4:4 care 204:2,3 certain 143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4 160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20 certainly 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9	1 -	209:9 234:16 299:18		
10:22 bouncing 189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5 bounty 274:19  calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  76:1 77:17,20 158:10 caravello 4:4 care 204:2,3  108:3 certain 143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4 160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20 certainly 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9		C		
10:22 bouncing 189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5 bounty 274:10  200:23 202:9,20,24 214:13 214:15 215:10 265:10 calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  76:1 77:17,20 158:10 caravello 4:4 care 204:2,3  108:3 certain 143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4 160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20 certainly 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9		calculate		
214:15 215:10 265:10 189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5 274:10 214:15 215:10 265:10 calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating 214:15 215:10 265:10 4:4 care 204:2,3 204:2,3 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9			1	
189:17 209:1 210:8 230:6 230:12,19 232:7 237:5 bounty 274:10  calculated 27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  4:4 care 204:2,3  143:8 144:21 149:7 150:4 160:6 220:25 299:7 312:20 certainly 23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9			I .	
230:12,19 232:7 237:5 bounty 274:10  27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 calculating  27:5 201:1 253:24 326:8 204:2,3				
bounty     204:2,3     certainly     23:20.24:15.32:23.44:0			I .	
777170			204:2,3	1
	2/4:19	_		23:20 24:15 32:23 44:9

## [certainly - commonality]

certainly (cont.)	cherry	clarity	coinmarketcap (cont.)
48:25 55:11,12,17 57:16	305:16	60:15 102:19 135:8 298:15	260:1 261:25
69:18 71:20 73:12 135:18	choice	class	coins
	32:13 112:22	82:21,22 83:3,3,5,14 84:4,7	
160:8 164:20,21,22 244:10 298:24 301:18 307:22	chooses		142:6,6 164:22 165:22
	313:6	84:16,22,23 85:2 classes	166:5,14,23 167:7,8,13,20
certainty			
213:25	chose	82:16,19 309:6	168:1 169:17,24 172:9,14
certified 10:20 334:11	142:4 256:24	<b>clean</b> 56:3	173:14,16 197:16
	chris		colleagues
certify	272:25 273:22	clear	12:5,7 collected
334:4,14	christian	14:17 25:18 28:7 29:23	
cetera	1:8 2:8 5:13 10:13	33:14 39:1,23 41:25 48:15	99:19
75:3	circulating	48:21 58:21 63:12 81:15	collection
cgsh.com	258:21,25 259:9 269:23	92:19 100:19 109:25 110:4	100:22 101:14
5:10	280:6	114:23 125:20 150:14	collectively
chain	circumstance	172:22 173:4 181:6 188:22	273:1
117:6,15,18 118:2	206:22 207:9	189:2 202:24 253:19	collin
change	circumstances	255:14 262:11 298:12	4:15 
27:6 32:15 72:21 76:4	71:12,22 72:2 73:14 74:15	299:1,1,11 308:4,4,5,12,14	
95:25 96:14 97:5 111:18	75:12 76:17 79:12 249:12	308:17 310:25 317:14	60:5
112:6 122:23 123:8 148:18	262:16	325:21	column
183:2 221:6 252:24 260:22		clearer	147:17,21 148:5 181:12,13
263:13 305:5,6	17:15 236:18 245:19 272:6	33:16	325:3,24 328:20 329:3
changed	272:12 274:22	cleary	columns
30:19	citations	5:5 13:8	225:22 226:8
changes	22:15,16 23:11 32:22	clients	combination
33:20 113:12 148:17	130:22,23 135:15 175:22	13:4 315:6	35:20 229:20
151:15 179:8 254:14	211:14 234:8 291:14,20	close	combined
256:19 257:14 260:21	292:10	321:19,19 332:20	278:13
304:21 306:2,3,7,11 309:19		closed	comfortable
changing	21:5,25 22:11 117:15 118:4		175:23
37:12	118:15 127:25 128:2,8,10	closely	coming
characteristics	128:13 129:2,6 136:3,7,9	54:2	104:12
16:5 83:19	139:16 166:12 176:25	closing	commencing
characterization	209:18 236:10 291:18	95:2 262:14	2:18
130:11 135:18,22 233:25	cited	closure	comment
characterize	209:21 210:12	210:24	31:10 108:8 225:6 255:9
225:14	cites	cir	318:6 319:3
characterizes	131:2	1:24 2:20	commentary
108:18 304:16	citing	coefficient	37:24 108:17 173:23
charging	21:8 104:13 235:25 313:1	172:16,17,23 173:1,4,5,14	comments
46:17 54:25	civ	173:15 188:6 322:13,18,24	32:20 55:8,10 57:6,13,15
chart	1:6 2:6	323:24	57:21 58:4,8,13,24 59:9
148:1 327:1,23 328:7	claim	coefficients	86:1
check	80:7,11,12 99:10 152:7	173:6 189:19 190:23 241:3	commission
40:17 68:11 69:5,23 70:14	204:12 234:24 237:19	coincided	1:4 2:4 3:7 10:11
71:6 100:6 101:4 141:7,16	271:3 279:4 295:14 298:20	231:11 304:20	commodities
151:13 157:1 170:3 179:7	305:1,4 322:12,20 323:17	coinmarket	179:20 180:11 224:23
184:14 185:2 213:3,6 214:6	323:19	32:10,14 35:9,10 102:7,8	commodity
214:11 240:13,16 241:5	claims	102:10,12,16 103:10,12,25	157:15 223:18
245:12 279:17	22:4,5 84:8 105:2 301:21	104:8 105:17 112:15	common
checked	302:23 303:4	269:23	146:22,24 293:21,24 294:3
246:12 269:22	clar	coinmarketcap	294:16,18,20,25 295:3,9,18
checks	271:24	35:5,8,11,16,21 36:3 54:16	295:25
100:14 101:11 160:16	clarify	100:20 101:3 104:22	commonality
161:10	97:23 163:17	109:21 258:20,25 259:9	17:10,13,16,17,24 19:6,19

#### [commonality - contemporaneous]

#### commonality (cont.) component conduct consistent 35:22 102:9 116:18,25 19:22 20:12 21:1,17,23 14:10,17,21 15:2,4,7 46:24 72:12,14 73:1 75:4,10,14 22:9,13,22 23:1,13,16,22 114:2,9,21 115:20,21 116:1 90:22 183:18,19,20 305:20 129:9 133:11 149:22 290:17,21,22 291:6,11,20 116:8 136:22,25 137:3,19 307:13,16 321:14 153:21 155:10 157:18 291:22 292:25 295:9,15 142:24 148:4 151:11 conducted 159:18 160:10,14 179:25 296:3,5,6 158:10 169:1 317:12 27:16 66:16 67:6,20 69:4 185:10 191:23 214:7 224:21 246:24 259:8 commonly components 73:4 77:9 78:8,12,17 79:5 135:24 154:4 159:3 77:10,13 78:4,9,14 110:2 85:16 99:22 122:18 332:4,6 289:21 304:19,23 305:3 communicate 113:23 115:5,15 136:18,21 | conducting 311:9 312:6,21 320:16 52:5 54:7 137:22 139:3,6,10,20,25 71:2,10,13 72:8 77:13 consisting companies 136:16 307:25 328:18 140:4,25 141:8 142:14,15 69:9,12 confidence 142:16,18 144:16,22 constant company 147:13 157:2,23 158:3,6,8 212:4,9,9,12,15,18,19,23 181:5,7,9 191:23 192:22 52:22,24,25 53:1 206:15,19 213:9,12,22,25 214:14,15 158:14 159:8 160:1,4 193:13,14,18 194:2,8,15 196:9,15,15 197:17,22 300:23 330:6,23 331:6 178:13 195:5 199:5 223:1 214:17,20 215:7,10,25 compare 316:15 216:7,23 217:18 218:1,3,7 198:2 199:13 200:19 176:12,19 288:18 comprehensive 219:7 220:22,25 221:21 201:22 202:23 203:3 comparison 198:23 confidential 205:14,23 206:10 207:25 164:4 176:22 289:12 1:12 9:15 47:16,24 48:9,24 computer 208:22 209:5 211:2,22 241:18 334:13 212:1,5,24 214:1 215:2,11 compass confidentiality 12:22 13:6 47:1,5,13,17,23 | concept 47:18 48:5 215:20 216:8 217:10,15,22 48:8,15 49:25 50:3,23 51:2 19:23 196:25 197:7 203:7 confine 218:11,16 219:11,13,14 51:19,20 52:10,19 53:24 242:4 300:5 88:19 220:13,15 221:16 226:20 226:24 241:3 243:7 54:6,25 55:7,11,23,25 56:4 | conceptual confined 56:7,11,14,19 57:3 99:21 204:19 280:25 323:17 constants 100:1,10 171:11,15 225:23 226:8 conceptually confining compatibility 149:15 216:5 30:22 constitute 104:20 105:14 16:11,14 44:4 309:21 concern confirm 48:25 138:24 constitutes compensation 29:16 46:23,25 47:7,9 50:9,13 concerned conjunction 16:10 45:21 171:11 128:16,17 173:6 229:20 constraint complaint concerning connected 264:10,15 334:15 15:2 21:6,7,9,13,25 22:1,19 80:11 construct 23:2,11,14,15,20,21 40:25 connection 16:20 116:2,5 130:13,17 concerns 41:18 42:1,5,9,11 43:15,16 116:23 25:15,24 26:8,13 30:7 133:13,22,25 134:14,17,24 44:2,8,10,11,18,22 45:1,7 conclude 34:21 36:1,11 49:22 51:25 135:10 169:10 256:5 73:16 74:6 75:1,9,9,11,15 190:24 212:3 52:7 77:5 94:9 210:13 269:25 76:19,22 77:4 84:22,24 concluded connotation constructed 88:10 89:14 90:1,2,6,23 197:1 333:6 295:12 158:2 183:10 92:22 93:1,7,11 94:20 97:2 | concludes consensus constructing 186:2 332:24 105:24 115:4 133:2,9 157:6 99:10,14 126:11 163:6 129:25 131:14 132:12 181:20,23 183:12 233:10 concluding 133:18,20,23 134:19,23 159:7,25 160:3 182:12 233:19 234:9 235:13,17,25 318:11 322:6 158:23 306:12,16 307:5 183:9 257:11 258:9 236:10,18 274:19,22 conclusion consider construction 278:18 291:9,12,13,15,15 182:12 183:9 206:14 13:24 23:19 43:9,10,20 157:8,22 158:5,14 269:24 291:16,17,22,24 292:3,6,11 44:7 45:5.12 75:11 176:21 303:13 277:4 complaint's 180:14 192:19 194:9,20 considerations construed 92:16 195:7,10,16,21,25 196:2,8 111:14 309:10 complete 200:18 225:19,20 275:20 considered contained 32:4 33:3,22 46:24 68:8 154:16 310:19 290:25 293:23 294:6,8 11:18 68:12 69:6,24 86:8 75:20 97:15 286:10 301:2 331:9.11 245:13 contains completely conclusions considering 36:2 108:16,24 121:19 261:17 27:1 30:24 72:20 126:2 30:3 contemplating complicated 215:5 312:16,20 consistency 134:11 256:4 contemporaneous conditional 127:23 172:24 173:2,18 224:2,2 41:3 323:25 324:8,11

## [contemporaneously - crystal]

contemporaneously	controlling (cont.)	corresponds	criticizes
323:16,20 324:15	226:10	242:18	303:17
content	conversation	counsel	critique
20:10 121:17 165:23	32:6 53:6,7 99:25 105:22	27:19 28:17 45:23 51:6	28:8 29:12 30:5,8,10,16
168:13	168:24,25 174:22	57:16,21 58:1,4,8,14 59:9	32:2,24
contents	conversations	60:12,22 61:2 62:23 63:2	critiques
19:2 20:6 273:6	51:16 55:18 60:11,16,22,24	1	26:18,22 28:11 30:11,13
context	conversely	302:22 306:18,22 325:10	31:9 32:18
67:24 68:13 69:23 71:11	218:25	325:18 332:1 334:17	critiquing
72:1 79:9 80:10 83:8,14	conversion	counselor	67:6
84:20 95:8 125:20 132:9	260:4 262:21	14:1 43:22	
134:6 203:14 204:12,13	convert	counsel's	<b>crr</b> 1:24 2:20 334:25
205:3,10 223:9 268:25	259:11,23 261:23	24:11 60:5,21	
270:5 274:15 292:6 296:5	converting	counterparties	<b>crypto</b> 26:20 38:2 77:23 83:20
contexts	250:3 255:16 262:12	299:15	84:20 85:8 106:12 110:11
67:21 69:4 79:11 153:13	263:12	county	115:10 116:22,24 117:11
contingent	converts	334:3	117:23 118:6,20 119:18
236:20	255:13	couple	120:22 125:22 129:16
continuation	copies	30:10 53:18 64:6 158:16	133:6 134:8 135:4,15,19
185:21	163:22	298:12,13	141:10,11,14 146:4 150:24
continue	copy	course	151:22 152:22 154:8,22
171:17	18:16 302:12	217:16 277:2	156:9 158:11,19,20 160:13
continued	corporate	courses	160:13 167:8 168:1 169:3
4:1 5:1 56:18	82:20 83:17 210:2 301:5,23		169:20,25 170:6 175:20
contract	301:24	court	179:13 223:16 314:11
13:18,20 14:5,8,13,16,19	corporation	1:1 2:1 10:21 11:1 18:15	cryptocompare
14:23,25 15:6,9 16:5,10,17		65:13,19 333:2	32:11 33:19 35:21 54:17
16:19 17:2,5,25 47:16	correct	covariance	100:20 101:25 102:5,8,17
48:18 233:24 234:22,25	12:9 21:24 25:8,9 27:18	77:25 78:2 114:4,12,25	103:4,6,19 104:6,10,13,16
285:24 286:5,11 299:14	28:14 35:7 41:24 49:1 60:9	115:6,24 116:2,4,10,11	108:6 112:14,19 259:25
330:5,17,21 331:5,16	60:17 69:16 74:3,4,8,16	119:6,16 120:20 121:2	261:25 262:14 288:13
contracts	98:19 101:5 106:3 110:2	167:22	289:1 314:12 315:9
15:7 20:8,20 88:8,9 271:2	113:2,25 122:21 139:1	cover	cryptocurrencies
272:19 284:2,8,14,15	142:4 162:3 187:3 188:15	82:16,20,21,24 83:6 102:12	
299:13,14	194:12,23 206:23 224:11	covered	135:11 147:14 159:6
contractual	241:14 243:2,19,22 252:18	174:21	178:14 197:12 235:9
283:2,3,5 298:3 299:15,19	253:18 255:6 260:14	crack	309:19
300:16,19	261:23 262:10 268:17	261:9	cryptocurrency
contrary	284:17 290:3 299:9 307:2	create	75:19 77:15,18 78:3 91:1
277:22	330:11	126:7 219:20 220:2 235:22	91:21 99:4 119:9,22 120:5
contrast	correction	239:16 283:1 298:15	120:6,12 121:14 125:4
286:16 287:22 288:1,3,6	15:23	300:19	126:22 128:11 137:3,6
contrasting	correctly	created	143:14 144:14 145:2
288:4	35:4 42:20 104:14 123:11	239:25 240:5	147:18 148:6 149:11,24
contributed	144:19 206:6,8 293:6 299:6	criminal	150:9,18 151:2,14 152:11
56:7 179:8	327:1	64:4	156:2,6 159:16,23 160:1
contributors	correlate	criteria	166:8 175:5,13 176:10
270:20	149:18	137:22 138:1,4,5,11,23	178:7 181:13,14 182:5
control	correlation	139:2,5,11,19,24 140:3,8	187:8 191:25 194:18 195:6
91:22 98:9 125:5 150:19	76:3 94:2 95:24 144:6	140:10,23,24 141:4,15,20	224:21 225:17 226:11
225:18 235:8,11 247:7	146:11,13,24 147:1 149:1,6	141:25 142:3,5,7 160:23	279:11 280:25 310:24
249:21	149:14,19	161:17 162:1,14	314:5,10,11,19,25 315:10
controlled	correspond	criterion	cryptos
277:23 325:12,20	164:11 186:19 242:14	138:20	179:14
controlling	corresponding	criticism	crystal
156:1,5 194:17 225:17	155:23 192:14	34:13,15 247:19 263:8	253:19
		I	

## [crystallize - describe]

crystallize	data (cont.)	dealing	defining (cont.)
28:13	246:20,20,25 247:2 250:2,4	23:12 296:3	298:23
csr	250:6,10 255:19,21,23,24	dealt	definitely
1:24 334:25	255:25 256:4,10,11,20,21	276:2,2	67:11 156:10 232:5 260:21
cumulative	256:22,23 257:1,12,13,16	debevoise	279:21 280:10,13
75:14 326:9	257:17,20 258:5,6,8,9,20	2:17 4:3 10:16 12:20 39:18	definition
currencies	258:22 259:5,8 260:6	39:25 40:10,12,14,18	20:12 22:12 34:9 96:4
197:21 250:4	262:23 265:7 269:1,10,20	debevoise.com	97:16 151:6 188:18 189:16
currency	269:21,22,25 273:8 274:6,7		247:15 274:25 294:11
19:15 80:20,24 81:20,24	275:1,5,6,10,15 276:1,4,8	deborah	295:2
331:15	276:12,15,22 277:3,4 278:2		definitionally
current	278:3,7,11 282:2 285:5	decade	188:20
68:5	287:2 288:13 290:7 313:7	210:20	definitions
custody	314:5 315:9 319:25 320:8	decades	247:3
30:14 244:15 246:22 248:7	320:23 321:1,4,8,18	210:20	delaware
248:11,17 249:3,20	data's	december	301:5,24,25
customers	258:14	41:17,19,20,22 42:7,19,21	delta
283:20	date	162:15 163:3 164:11 320:7	229:2
cutoff			demand
	26:19 36:20,23 61:16 105:1		
164:6	109:11 113:2,17 246:22	280:2	235:15 236:21
CV	249:15 262:12	decide	demonstrate
10:14	dated	45:24 274:9	43:6 45:9
cwhite	285:25 286:13	decided	demonstrated
4:25	dates	167:1 169:10	225:2
d	108:4,12,19 113:6 284:25	deciding	demonstrates
d.c.	305:16	139:25	322:15
4:19 5:8	day	decision	denominated
daily	31:13,14,22 34:1,11,20	130:14 166:20 291:7	258:24 268:13 287:14,16
94:16 95:13 122:19,24	35:1 54:20 78:13 88:23	declined	denomination
126:25 127:10,19 128:12	94:21 95:2 96:3,6 97:7,11	253:1	31:6
128:24 255:18 260:18	97:12 103:13 108:11	declining	denominator
269:5 321:6,9,20,20	110:14,17 111:3,10 112:2,9	48:4	254:20
daniels	116:14,24 117:1,3,7,10,23	decreased	depend
3:11 12:6	122:25 129:12,18,18	97:11	71:20 72:1 195:9 236:16
dark	206:18,21 207:23 228:6,12	decreasing	dependent
308:3	251:14,19,22 252:7,21,25	322:23 323:10	177:14,14 178:2,9,15 179:1
	253:2,3,12,17,23 254:1,5,7	deemed	depending
data	254:16,19,20,20,22,22,23	92:21 233:20,23	97:14 209:2 212:18 259:24
30:7 32:3,10,14 34:15 35:6	255:2,2 257:5,6 290:14	defendant	261:25 332:10
35:8,16 36:3 53:22,23	295:19 318:5 321:12,15	4:2 5:3,13 11:18	depends
54:16,21 99:19,23 100:3,4	322-23 323-10 320-12	defendants	58:7 70:22 71:9 79:11
100:7,10,11,14,18,22 101:4	334:19	1:9 2:9 11:19 13:1 38:18	147:3 244:6,8 260:16
101:8,11,14,15,15,19,22	days	54:25 86:17 332:10	deploying
102:3,17,20,25 103:12,12	31:17 34:8 70:15 71:7,16	defense	210:11 211:5
103:24,25 104:6,7,8,18,20	71:16 72:7,17 94:25 96:1	63:5 65:9	deposition
104:20,24 105:3,15,15,18	110:23 112:4,7 118:1 129:9		1:14 2:15 9:1 10:8,15 12:8
105:19,21,22,25 106:2,7	164:5 246:9 251:8,18	246:16,19 269:15	27:23,25 28:1 215:16 216:3
108:6,14,24 109:1,3,14,19	253:13 309:21 313:6	defined	216:18 304:22 305:10
111:11 112:15,15,20,22	321:22 326:9	45:21 90:22 168:13 169:3	332:20 333:5 334:5,8,9
115:25 120:13,14,19		188:9 267:4 268:10 280:5	
121:18,23 122:19,24 127:1	day's		der 50:22 51:25 52:15 54:15 24
127:13,20,25 128:4,12,15	323:18	289:21 330:3,11,20 331:4	50:22 51:25 53:15 54:15,24
128:21,24 129:5,17 143:15	de	defines	57:4 100:1
151:8 160:25 161:6 167:21	257:11	14:13,22 22:21	describe
185:4 190:4 199:25 200:17	deal	defining	32:12 58:8 77:14 88:2 89:5
204:6 205:5,7 238:16	203:18	117:25 246:15 247:16	112:7 127:12 140:12
			0.45.40
239:15 240:17,22 241:9		249:22 294:25 295:25	315:18

## [described - doing]

Later control at		-P 4	Latter After words to a to be
described	differences	directors	distinguishable
29:19 33:25 34:4 36:7,13	251:10 292:16 different	301:6 directs	194:25 200:12,19 203:4 219:1,25 224:5 311:3
56:13,21 80:15 87:23 89:9 91:12 94:10,17 95:11 96:12		248:10	distracted
127:19 155:7 161:9 186:11	83:6,24,25 110:23 115:1	disaggregate	282:9
289:3 316:16 319:14	123:15,16 128:15,21	288:17,19 314:17	distribute
describing	158:16 160:17,19 161:11	disaggregated	239:13 264:11,16,19
259:5	166:13,14 174:2,5 179:16	255:19	distributed
description	182:14 189:2 197:20 198:1	disaggregation	235:22 260:4,7 261:21
7:10 8:2	198:20,20 199:18 205:18	288:23	distributes
descriptions	206:2,6,11 219:21 223:9	disagree	262:8
45:3	225:16,20 227:7,10,10	220:7 231:15,16 312:15,19	distributing
descriptive	236:12 249:9 254:5 255:24	disciplinary	262:17
197:13	255:25 256:1,4,7 257:17	66:10	distribution
design	276:12 292:5,20 293:18	disclosed	30:12,14,14,22 32:2 156:23
89:8	295:8 298:14 309:5,6 312:2	1	244:11,21,23 246:7,8,16,16
designed	differently	disconfirm	246:20 247:2,4,15,17,20
89:13 220:20	125:4 150:18 293:18	48:25	249:18,22 251:6 256:6,21
designing	difficult	discuss	257:12 262:12 263:4,10
53:9	262:23	21:14,20 25:4 29:17 32:21	265:7,13 269:17 273:8
destination	digital	40:20 51:15 136:20 172:3	274:5,7 275:5,6,9 276:1,4,8
325:12,20 326:16,22	13:14 73:5 82:14,17,25	184:10 279:20 285:5 288:7	276:15 277:4,15 278:17
328:22 329:5	83:7 84:8 85:13,17 123:1,5	292:8 298:7 307:7	279:4 282:3 298:4,20
detail	127:3 133:1,14 134:1	discussed	distributions
57:19 74:25 137:12	145:11,23 149:8 150:4	16:4 29:4,24 55:11 85:3	30:20,23 31:4 75:3 89:15
details	152:1,3,17 153:5,6,16,25	102:15 104:24 156:10	89:16,23 90:3,7 93:10
257:11	154:12 155:1 157:3,8 160:6	1	156:16 181:22 198:16
detect	160:18,20 161:11,13 162:6	183:14 190:7 196:11	235:12 236:9 244:1,3,7,12
262:24	164:16,17 165:6 267:9,14	217:19 219:3 247:21,24	244:14 245:7,9,11,13 246:6
determine	267:25 289:5	263:7 269:2 303:13 310:19	246:6,13 250:1,14,15,22
71:14 72:6 73:24 98:16	diligence	discussing	251:9,10,20 253:9 255:19
130:7 154:11,24 180:24	105:3 109:1	21:9 22:25 23:9 29:24	256:12,25 257:7,23,24
215:19 229:22 247:11	dimension	107:11 114:17 196:25	258:4 259:1,7,23 261:16,22
249:11,13 267:13,24	115:25 120:20 121:16,17	199:25 257:4 268:10 306:1	262:9,21,23 264:9 268:9
316:15,23	dimensional 120:19	316:25 325:24	269:1,8 274:18 275:1,16
determining 132:25 139:19 140:4	dimensions	discussion 20:1,4,10,16 28:10 36:16	278:20,22 286:24 288:14 288:21 289:13
212:14	121:12	100:5 110:9 136:24 143:12	
develop	dipped	143:18 144:1 171:10	1:1,2 2:1,2 64:4
234:16 299:18	162:6		divergence
developing	direct	274:15 282:23 284:1,10	174:14
184:11,12	11:21 18:19 40:20 57:9	discussions	divided
deviation	58:10 60:10 112:16 135:2	55:15 226:21	149:19 280:6
217:7	183:6 292:18	disinterested	division
deviations	directed	334:11	278:1
212:6,13,25 214:3,7,20	319:16	displayed	doc
215:14,18,24 216:22 217:8	directing	213:21 240:22 241:9	28:7
devine	250:25	displays	documents
6:5 10:19	direction	314:5	9:20 325:11,19
dictate	9:3 212:6,25	dispute	doing
236:21	directionally	45:20 69:14	21:21 22:8 36:23 40:14
difference	218:6	distinction	52:4,6 68:18 70:10,12
30:24 31:8 34:16 103:10	directly	149:13 225:5 226:14,16	71:24 109:1 119:3 121:14
104:1 105:18 109:23		distinguish	124:22 134:3 136:23
113:10 115:20 203:10	280:16 283:15 296:6 309:1	88:22 250:12 251:19 253:5	143:24 145:4 176:16
204:20 252:2	324:2 326:8	254:13	199:23 200:9 206:12 208:5
	1	1	

## [doing - enables]

	1	I	1 -
doing (cont.)	drawing	economet	eigenvalue
209:3,4 216:4 218:22	130:24 132:18 136:11	199:10	121:15
220:24 265:8 270:23	225:5 226:16	economic	eighteen
275:18 279:4 295:22	drawn	16:4,9 17:1 19:5,18,23 20:8	315:14
328:12	291:22 325:24 326:7	20:23 21:6,11,12,14,22	either
dollar	drive	22:1,5,6,9,18,25 23:2,13	24:10 78:10 162:15 212:6
157:16 223:18 257:22	126:17	44:11,18 69:21,24 71:21	212:25 214:3 258:1
259:24 260:5 261:21	driven	73:16 74:5 75:1 87:5,12,23	elaborate
262:21 265:13 286:25	176:9 234:4	87:25 89:13,20 99:14	23:4 285:13
dollars	drivers	118:20 119:18 126:11	elaborated
I			271:25 272:16 276:20
31:4,5 108:6 165:9 167:2	77:14	137:1 142:19 143:1,7	
179:20 258:5 259:12	drives	146:12 152:6 205:4 231:18	elect
262:12 263:12 270:14	236:21	231:22 232:25 233:6	128:23
287:14,16 288:20 298:5,8	dropping	234:15,24 235:6 272:18	element
321:16	105:7	290:17 291:8,11 292:9,25	19:24 290:23
donier	drops	293:4,7,14 294:15,23 295:7	elements
315:24 316:10	206:21	295:14,16,23 296:4	17:19 23:16
	due	economically	eliana
24:15,24 28:10,12 29:12	105:3 109:1	203:8,11 204:1,8,20,23	4:14
30:1	duly	205:8,8	elucidate
	11:6 334:6,11	economics	271:17
28:8,8 30:8,8	duration	13:22 14:2,6,12,12,22 15:3	elucidated
double	292:17	16:3,7,24 17:12,14,19	271:24
40:17 213:3,6 214:6		20:25 21:2 22:11,21 83:4	email
dr	е	116:16 139:17 146:10,20	3:16
24:14,15,24,24 25:3,5,7,14	earlier	146:20,23 204:19 205:2	emails
25:19,23 26:2,5,7,12,17	23:12 29:23 36:16 38:4	209:24 267:8 295:3	312:25 313:1,2
27:4,13,17 28:4,8 29:8,10	44:25 49:13 56:13 78:22	economist	embedded
29:11,12,14,24 30:1,8,9,25	85:25 94:18 99:25 100:4	118:14,17 130:25 134:1	78:2 144:15 176:15
	101:1 102:15 103:5,9	136:12 199:11 220:11	
31:1,24 32:2,17,18 33:7,9	104:17,24 105:16 109:11		emerging
34:14 93:25 96:21 104:25	109:23 112:1 113:5,22	263:22 301:10,15 313:4	157:14 179:19 180:10
106:1,5,19 107:9,20 108:18	124:7 135:22 136:24	effect	223:17
111:16 113:11 128:14	156:10 157:13 167:15	75:14 89:16 94:21,22,25	empirical
182:16,20 183:15 185:13	171:10 174:18 176:21	97:17 152:6 203:19 211:22	91:5 144:25 145:3,5 146:2
196:12 221:24 228:2,7,13	178:5 179:12 183:1 193:2	224:2 244:11,13 267:18	146:5,9 150:15 167:23
228:17 229:4 230:20 231:6	196:11 205:3 217:4 219:3	effective	169:5
232:9 237:2 238:2 247:19	227:22 230:24 238:13	320:13	empirically
263:8 285:1 303:16 304:4	261:2,10,14 263:7 264:18	effectively	173:19
305:9,14,23 306:19 307:10	267:17 268:11 269:2,18	62:12	empirics
308:24 311:23 312:16,17	271:1 272:22 273:19	effects	147:6
312:19 313:1 320:9,20	276:21 279:22 280:11,15	93:19 95:3 111:1 116:22,24	employ
322:20 323:6,12 324:8,22	281:19 285:14 288:15	117:10,23 129:18 135:14	73:23 140:24
325:23 326:1 327:2,11		efficiency	employed
328:10 329:3,4 332:7,12,25	290:14 294:21 314:14	69:10,14,16,19,22 76:8,9	73:22 140:3 165:23 243:10
draft	earry	79:13 85:20 97:24	284:22 285:17
55:13,14,19 56:5,12,13,18	36:21 108:13,13 111:13	efficient	employees
57:16,21,25 58:1,4,24 59:9	183:23 280:8 287:14	68:12,15 69:1,6,24 70:9	312:21
drafting	earnings	119:5 120:21 164:25	employing
56:8 60:13,23	83:11 270:18 271:5	efforts	284:16 286:2,3
drafts	easier	87:5,12 88:1 89:10 92:10	employs
55:8 57:7,14	88:22 261:18	1	283:22
1	easily	94:15 95:13 99:10,15	
dramatically	40:1	181:21 231:19 233:1 234:5	enable
182:8	econo	234:7,15 235:2 236:2 283:3	
draw	119:17	299:17,20 300:21 309:18	enables
131:12 215:5	econom	310:1,2,10,13 312:5 331:7	141:10 169:24
	179:25		
	1.70.20		

#### [encapsulates - exchanges]

encapsulates	epfeffer	estimate	ex
36:17	4:24	62:4,8 67:13 148:21,22	10:10 239:18 309:4
	epsilon	I The state of the	exact
<b>encompass</b> 29:13 246:8		180:19 188:20 193:16,17	
	187:17,21 188:2,6,9,15	193:21 199:8,21 200:1,1,11	
encompassed	189:9	200:16 203:16 212:1 215:6	108:12 184:5 213:9 218:4
28:22	equal	218:2,8 219:8 228:22 229:1	
ends	149:1 201:5,9,17 202:11	229:11,18 231:1	exactly
126:8 253:3	244:18 255:2 267:5,7	estimated	42:8 53:13 111:11 226:22
engage	equally	188:6 201:6,11,19 202:13	227:11 258:18 262:1
141:20	205:16 235:3	227:24 316:5	269:16 288:21 289:13
engaged	equation	estimates	294:19
49:9 287:5,9	138:20 144:17 159:4	199:23 225:23 226:9	examination
engagement	180:12 186:3,9,10,18,23,24		7:2 11:21
12:21,22,24 13:2,4,5 36:24	187:12,14,17,22 188:11,16	1	examine
39:25 41:3,4,6 42:25 44:24	191:5,9 227:22 229:6,12	92:2,5,7 95:9 110:5,10	144:20 155:25 174:13
62:15 79:14	231:2,2	113:24 124:3 137:23	223:21 306:18 321:4,8
engagements	equilibrium	156:12,12 161:14 168:7	examined
62:22 63:3 67:21 78:7,17	244:19	169:11 172:18,19 173:11	11:6 151:15
79:2	equities	173:25 174:1,7,8 175:4,7	examining
english	153:14	175:19 176:5,8,13,13	70:16
144:3 190:5,13	equity	182:13 183:9 193:22,23	example
enjoy	132:4	197:25 198:11,17 200:2	20:18 47:3 55:23 57:25
271:21	ergo	214:2 216:8 220:13 228:8	70:5,24 75:8 83:9 93:14,19
entail	227:15 255:3	228:14 241:1,2	95:23 98:6 110:24 113:7
62:15	error	et	116:21 139:14 158:13
enter	187:10,12,23 188:1 189:5,6	75:3	160:25 166:23 180:15
180:11 289:7	189:8,11,13,15,17,21 190:2	I .	210:5 222:24 238:18 248:6
entered	190:14,20,22 191:1,3,5,9	158:19 169:12,13,17,20,22	283:17 300:18 317:21
159:3,24 244:3 247:11	191:14 193:8,10 201:16,18	184:1,7	326:13
284:8	201:22 202:12,17 208:22	euro	examples
enterprise	208:25 213:10 214:18,19	179:20 223:18	324:20
271:3 283:6 293:21,24	215:2 227:19 228:18 229:5	I .	exceeded
294:3,16,21,25 295:4,9,18	229:16 230:12,14,18 231:3	92:9	164:5
296:1	232:12 238:15 242:1,5,8,19	I .	exceeds
entire	242:22 243:11,14	94:1	175:6,7
62:19 75:23 102:12 114:3	errors	evening	exception
115:5 116:10 165:1 260:19	200:23,25 201:6,11,19	266:10	57:1
279:14 280:21 288:25	202:9,13,20,24 203:2	event	excess
303:12,25	escrow	66:13,16,21 67:6,20,25	75:16 90:23 93:21 95:5
entirely	264:9,14,19,22 273:13	68:3,10,14,23 69:3,3,4,7,22	99:1 103:14 126:12 143:16
38:23,23 207:20	276:3	70:2,8,13,18,20,23,24 71:2	144:11,12 169:7 177:19
entirety	esquire	71:3,4,9,12,13,25 72:8,13	178:6 182:4,4 189:4 192:1
62:21 64:9 76:1 254:6	3:9,10,11 4:4,11,12,13,14	72:14,16 73:1,4,8,17 74:17	192:20 194:22,24 195:8
entities	4:15 5:6,16,17	74:19 75:21 76:3,11,13	196:3 208:21 209:6,13,16
275:25 285:22	essentially	78:19,23 79:4,6,9,16 80:3	209:19,22 210:1,9 211:2
entitle	110:21	86:1 94:1 95:24 96:10,13	224:4,14 225:1,3,8 226:14
330:5,22	establish	96:14,22 97:5 98:7,8	226:15 227:15,18,20 230:5
entitled	149:6 150:2		230:7,15 232:15,19 233:8
334:7	established	events 72:21 75:2,4 80:11 89:15	237:11 267:21 310:21
entitling	43:13 116:21 119:23 121:6	89:22,24,25 90:7,21,21	exchange
299:15			_
I	130:15,16 131:24 132:2	92:14,15,20,21 93:5,11,13	1:4 2:4 3:7 10:11 69:13
entity	133:6,8 135:5 138:5 139:11	1	70:5 81:12 82:3 247:22
63:8,18 83:11 248:9 288:22		183:13 234:18	280:13 315:10
entry	establishes	evidence	exchanges
147:21 148:7 327:15	234:24	236:8	30:21 33:18 267:20 279:11
			279:24 280:13,25 289:2

#### [exchanges - factors]

145:17 155:24 156:8,24

exchanges (cont.) exhibits (cont.) explain (cont.) extracted 314:5,10,12,20,25 157:11 192:14 195:19 124:25 127:3 141:5 145:21 121:1 167:24 196:6 197:6 198:21,24 157:2 159:9 177:8,23,25 exclude extracting 114:3,11,24 115:4,23,25 165:21 218:14,15 237:4,9 225:22 226:7,25 227:13,17 190:13 191:22 222:21 237:15 289:24 246:4 269:12 288:15 223:12 224:10 227:12 116:10 119:4,20 120:21 excluded 289:15 314:14 244:17 246:2 264:14 121:11 137:4 169:4 282:18 293:5 305:15 306:6 extracts 65:13,18 184:19 exist excluding 64:11 103:6 104:18 328:2,9 121:10 explainable 151:12 existing f excuse 206:19 75:18 fact 51:5 101:21 171:3 315:24 exists explained 31:25 45:20 75:16 105:1 executing 73:9 74:21 230:16 21:10 90:25 91:20 115:22 117:24 151:3 168:8 180:18 236:17 exogenous 125:3 150:17 169:8 180:25 190:3 193:14 218:21 execution 91:21 125:3 150:17,22 190:10 191:25 272:16 219:22 220:3 221:4,7 235:7 309:4 151:3 explaining 238:2 244:13 263:24 executive expect 110:19 177:13 276:23 279:9 286:7 297:17 50:25 109:24 126:12,22 154:20 explains 305:5 308:6,17 311:4 181:17 210:10 178:20 179:5 180:22 232:6 exercise 318:24 320:5 324:14 134:10,11 154:3 160:2 expectation explanation factor 161:4 257:12 236:4 305:19 144:5 146:13,25 172:14 20:21 31:12,18,20 32:9 exhibit expectations 173:12 33:25 34:20 35:5,15 36:3 7:12,17,22 8:4,5 18:4,5 237:22 244:9 245:4 305:24 | explanatory 53:6,10,11 70:2 73:13,18 23:23,24 28:20,24 57:7,14 306:3,7,20,25 307:3,12,16 123:17 138:13 179:18 73:22 74:3.16 75:22 76:16 106:25 107:2 115:11,13,14 307:17,22 232:20 77:19 78:5,19 79:4,10,15 115:21 137:21 138:23 expected explicitly 79:16 80:2,3,10 86:2 88:12 139:4 140:13,15,18 141:1,6 238:21 278:16 88:15,16,19,21 89:19 90:12 141:10,21 142:1,8,10 expend explore 90:15 91:15 93:17 95:6 145:13,14,14,15 147:11,12 283:3 299:20 331:7 155:12 157:12,17 179:15 96:8,19,24 97:8 99:20 147:17 148:16 156:19,19 experience 179:16,21 223:1,8 294:19 100:8 105:24 108:10 156:20 157:11,24 162:17 118:16 130:25 136:12 explored 111:22 112:10 113:2 162:20,22 164:22 166:3 189:1 157:10 122:19 126:25 127:1,14,18 168:8,22 169:16 171:24 exploring expert 128:1 129:4,11,15 130:20 172:3,8,13,14 173:24 7:12,17,22 12:15 16:2 119:7 159:14 132:10,24 133:20 143:23 178:11,11 179:13,14,17,24 17:18,22 18:23 24:5,8,18 exposure 145:16 154:18 155:17,23 180:2,4,7,9 181:9 186:20 24:20,25 28:5 36:19 37:1,3 187:7 292:19 156:11,13 166:6 192:13 191:12 193:21 196:7,15,16 37:7,9,11,14,15,16,20 38:8 express 193:3 210:11 211:4,23 196:17,17 197:7,8,9,11,11 39:6,18 40:12 44:19 46:17 144:4 232:5 235:5,20 251:4 257:5 197:15,19,24 198:4,5,10,14 46:21 50:13,17 51:12 52:1 expressed 257:8 272:20 309:16 198:15,19 199:1,2 205:13 52:8 53:3,16 54:8 59:10 194:20 212:19 229:6 310:17 206:3 211:25 212:4 213:15 61:13,18,22 62:11,21 63:25 expressing factoring 94:14 98:20 233:5 272:1 213:21 215:4 216:11 64:10,22 65:5,8,12,17 96:16 221:25 222:24 224:18 66:17,22 67:6,7,21 68:20 300:8 310:1,12 330:2,19 factors 70:19 71:23 74:18 77:5 331:3 226:20,25 239:8,9,17,22 91:21 118:20 119:18 240:5 246:5,5 258:14,15,17 78:8 79:2,5 80:19,23 81:2,6 expressly 120:12 122:16 125:5 130:1 74:19 258:20 259:13,20 261:12 81:10 85:12 105:10 107:9 130:6,7,14,17,19 131:14,15 265:14,15,18,23 268:12,23 107:22 108:20 110:18 extended 131:20,24 132:13 133:1,9 268:24,24 269:9,15 270:1,1 112:11 117:16,19 118:3,4 175:19 133:22 134:17 135:25 274:6 279:23 280:5,9 118:10 125:1 140:2 174:12 **extensively** 136:4 150:19 156:2,6 157:7 210:14 238:4 239:19 240:1 285:20 286:22 287:1,9,15 233:24 235:17 157:12 159:9 160:5 176:9 287:20 288:8,11 289:19 240:9 263:16 332:5 extent 176:10 179:7,19,22 181:13 expert's 313:23,24 314:4 318:7 61:8 314:22,24 187:8 192:1 194:18 208:6 321:1,3,5,14 324:17,18 70:24 71:24 extra 209:3 222:21,25 223:1,2,7 325:22,22 328:8 explain 195:2 223:10,11,14,16,20,25 exhibits 16:15 22:4 31:16 74:24 extract 224:3,10,16,17,21,23 7:9 8:1 55:24 56:2,15 90:9 97:18 99:16 111:4 78:1,3 121:7,9,22 164:25 225:18 226:11 235:8,10

167:21

317:20,25 319:2

112:14 115:19 123:1,6

## [facts - form]

facts	feelings	finding	floating
45:8 71:12,22 72:1 73:14	311:25 313:19	99:9 168:10 175:12	298:14
74:15 75:12 76:17 79:11	feels	findings	floor
271:10 273:11,17 276:25	92:17	304:17	319:16,25 320:4,6,10,14,17
277:18	felt	finds	320:22 321:24
factual	31:16 34:7 75:13 102:16	202:10 203:15	flow
252:2 253:6 254:5,9,14	111:3 112:7 117:21 183:11	fine	53:20
255:6	185:9,15	15:22 59:14 61:25 122:3	flows
fade	ferrell	222:4 266:11 296:22,25	256:6,6,12
296:20	1:15 2:15 7:4,13,18 10:9	finish	focal
failure	12:2 18:5 23:24 58:22 61:9	59:5,17 219:17 291:1	25:2 26:4
236:19	107:1,10 239:9 240:5	finished	focus
fair	331:23 332:3,13,25 334:5	43:22	209:15 234:3
16:24 42:23 43:2 67:10	ferrell's	firm	focused
88:15 92:24 93:2 109:15	228:7,13	40:8	22:17 25:18,20 26:5,6
114:7 130:5 135:17 137:17		firms	110:10 124:16,23 125:16
145:8 153:3 186:17 212:22	197:21 250:3	13:3 39:10 40:5	144:9 275:3 278:21 285:2
223:23 241:8 260:22	fiduciary	firm's	307:24
263:15 287:10,12 289:5	300:23 301:6,19,23	301:21	focuses
304:10	field	first	311:23
falling	13:21 14:2,6,11 17:11	21:7 23:8 55:19 56:5,13	focusing
324:5,5	figel	63:15 111:10 129:24	14:11 36:9 43:24 44:15
falls	4:10,12 47:19 59:16	155:22 158:18,25 161:14	69:21 74:7 94:7 173:11
207:3,7	figure	181:12 192:11,12 218:22	177:20 298:5 309:20
fama	17:1 27:7 107:14,15 108:1		<b>folks</b> 112:18 135:3
122:15 131:22,23 132:4 133:19 134:21 135:6	108:2,7,19 109:9 114:17,19 164:10 176:23 177:9	1 1	
		251:5 252:15,24 270:10 276:19 282:13 283:14	<b>follow</b> 60:20 61:1 131:18 234:14
<b>fama's</b> 69:19	178:18 179:4 182:21 185:14 213:14 228:6,10,12	I .	following
familiar	242:23 243:14 262:9 289:3	299:21 302:21 303:2 308:4	72:16 101:6 102:4 206:16
13:11,14,17 42:24 66:13	289:16 316:9 317:8 328:8	308:5,11,14,16 309:14	206:20 250:13 326:21
203:7 242:4 259:16 264:3	figures	326:13,14,16 328:11,17,17	327:5
266:22	228:17 229:5,25 230:20	330:10	follows
familiarize	231:13 232:8 237:3,6 238:1	I .	11:7 97:12 200:7
264:3	289:11		footnote
famous	figuring	fitness	23:10 32:22 110:19 111:3
209:10	216:6	185:7	116:20 138:3,16,17,19,23
fanciful	filed	fits	138:25 139:15 161:21
238:7	41:19 42:13	238:16	162:14,17 166:12,16,18
far	filing	fitting	175:22 176:25 177:4,7
21:16 49:5 164:5 191:13	31:2,7,11,19 32:8,8 33:13	243:6	182:24 213:15 235:17
248:14,25 286:24 287:6	38:5 42:11 101:2	five	253:19,22 254:18 255:13
feature	filled	59:12 79:24 138:9 151:21	259:13,20,22 274:15
124:4,9 129:17	308:11	156:21 212:21 230:9	278:17 315:25 316:11
features	final	231:20 233:2 322:2 329:11	317:17
129:16	55:16 194:2,8 328:22	fixed	footnotes
february	finance	31:13,14 34:1,21	23:8,9,9,10 140:22
1:16 2:19 10:3,7 36:22	82:20,22 83:4,17,21 100:18	flat	foregoing
41:22 42:7,19 334:19	101:18 104:12,12 166:25	254:7	334:5
fee	financial	flawed	forget
46:17,20,21	83:18 139:16 199:11 210:3	19:6,19 21:24 22:6,10	26:19 51:1 53:1 111:10
feel	268:16 275:13	290:18 293:1	113:5,7
31:17 34:8 117:21,22	find	flip	forgive
feeling	135:16 138:15 159:8 172:2	177:1 233:9 290:12	282:8
263:24	177:2,2 203:16,19 205:5	flipping	form
	227:4 234:5 238:21 258:12	236:24	44:2,6 45:4,8 149:20
T	T. Control of the con	I	ı

## [form - gold]

form (cont.)	french	gonoral	glendale
form (cont.) 235:23 236:4 298:19,20	122:15 131:22,23 132:4	general 37:23 45:2 61:25 75:18	10:23
formatted	133:19 134:21 135:6	77:14 90:25 99:4 126:22	
56:3	french's	130:25 131:1 135:19	go 15:14 10 10:0 24:2 20:25
			15:14,19 18:8 24:3 38:25 41:11 57:19 59:15 97:3
formed	131:23	136:12 137:5 144:13 145:2	
43:17 44:12 45:25 61:12	frequency	148:25 149:11,24 151:9,10	99:24 102:5,8,16 105:16
275:19	31:18 32:3 34:15 127:13,25		107:4,18 121:5 131:22
forming	128:3,15,21 256:1 257:18	245:20 267:1 310:23 318:3	133:5 137:15 145:16,17
36:11 48:16 77:8 140:1	frequently	318:19,22 319:1	148:6 150:10 163:8,9 168:8
forms	269:5	generality	168:24 169:14 170:11
216:20	friday	38:7 71:19 73:19 84:25	178:11 191:17 193:20
formula	111:9	85:10 114:7 311:13	197:19,24 198:10 202:7
33:21 138:2,3,14 202:7,17		generalized	209:20 210:23 211:19
254:18 255:12 316:1,20,24	12:2 77:24 89:12 90:7	46:7 150:9 151:21 152:10	216:6 218:19 219:20
317:4,10,11,12	110:7 143:18 156:20	152:22 153:11,20 154:6,21	222:24 225:7 227:23
forth	160:20 173:18 176:5,16	generally	230:23 233:22 238:13
16:8 26:2 28:4 29:6 36:12	183:24 184:15 186:5 188:5	13:21 14:5 17:11 21:1	245:17 246:4,17 250:10,20
51:23 53:23 61:13 93:24	192:15 233:13,18 250:8	116:15 132:10 135:4	255:10 261:8 265:25
94:8 116:5 125:22 138:10	309:14 314:19	138:13 212:14 276:20	269:12 270:2 271:6,16
138:19 149:5 150:2 158:20	1	295:2 309:20	275:7 279:5 280:2 281:2
180:11 181:22 245:11	12:12 17:23	generate	291:3 293:8 305:12 320:19
fortunes	func	196:7,13	321:1,1,25 327:9
236:16 293:11	190:2	generated	goes
forty	function	237:11 298:4,21 300:1	96:23 103:20 204:4 207:2,7
186:1 322:2	81:3,7,11 119:2 123:13	generates	207:22 250:21 254:10,11
forward	124:12 147:9 173:18	189:18	283:19 327:2,25
96:25 234:21	180:17,18 190:3 208:4	generating	going
found	214:18 243:5	189:19	10:6 15:23 24:11 34:7 35:1
27:2 30:23 208:6 225:11	functions	genie	37:11 39:22 46:9 50:20
316:24	82:3,7,11	220:2	52:12 58:9 59:20 60:20
founders	fundamentally	gertzman	61:1 69:19 75:7 78:22 80:5
274:18 278:18	19:6,19 21:23 22:10 290:18	5:17	92:14,15 96:25 97:19 98:7
four	293:1	getting	99:24 104:25 105:4 107:24
137:22 138:9 158:9 186:1	funds	198:19 256:15	108:12 116:3 118:6 121:15
fraction	20:19 248:8,10,16 249:2	give	122:7 123:3,14 124:7
287:6	258:8 270:11,14,16,22	20:3 32:4 33:1,4 46:9 47:19	138:15 139:6 147:7 148:5
fractions	271:2,4,8,12,12,19 272:2	75:20 107:5 109:7 138:16	170:14 175:1 177:1 181:23
108:3	281:3,8,11,21,22 282:13,20	138:25 140:17 156:17,21	182:19 190:1,20 193:9,10
frame	283:1 293:13 297:12,14	161:23 169:15 172:6	195:5 201:2 206:25 208:25
41:23 42:7 44:22,25 98:25	298:17,18 299:6,24 300:18	182:18 208:15 209:6 217:9	209:1,5 211:19 214:19
98:25 140:7 146:1 149:10	further	225:11 233:13 256:1	215:15,22,25 216:10,17,18
153:18	76:5 126:5,9 173:23 179:21		216:24 217:4 219:6,24
framed	179:22 279:8 332:15	274:12 275:7 279:16 283:9	220:21 221:3,6 222:2,10
175:24 267:17	334:14	285:3,7 286:10 303:23	227:16,16 230:14 231:21
framing	furthermore	304:11,13 314:1 315:15	232:11,12,13,22 233:3,12
118:25	152:24 273:22	319:7	234:9,21,22 235:14 238:14
frank	future	given	239:14 243:4 245:6 248:20
1:15 2:15 7:4 12:2 334:5	234:6	16:22 34:12 71:21,22 73:14	
frankly		73:16 74:14 75:12 76:11	259:10 266:2,15 270:17,19
45:4	g	97:1 116:23 119:6 123:21	276:6 288:24 292:7 297:5
frederick	garlinghouse	128:21 131:14 154:20	303:15 306:11,15 307:3,4
4:10	1:8 2:8 5:3 10:12 38:9	158:22 179:3 180:21	310:4 311:24 312:11
free	313:13 324:21 326:10	182:24 183:11 184:1,7	313:18 323:24 324:13
191:24 194:16 226:10	garrison	190:2 200:4 206:15 212:16	329:20
273:24 275:21 277:8 278:9	5:15		gold
213.24 213.21 211.0 210.9	gene	287:6	157:15 179:20 197:21
	69:19	207.0	131.13 113.20 131.21
			l l

## [gold - hypotheticals]

gold (cont.)	gsr (cont.)	hear	holds
223:18	285:17,19,24 286:2,3,4,11	294:1 310:7	286:16 288:2
gomphers	286:14 288:22 314:8,18,20	heard	holidays
209:23 210:17 211:7	314:22,24 315:4,5 320:12	203:9 330:9 332:2,6	110:22
good	320:21 324:21 328:23	held	hop
10:5 11:10,20,23,24 15:24	329:5	2:16 10:15 65:21 249:7	279:2 328:12,13,17,17,17
59:12 122:2 170:9 210:1	gsr's	273:2 297:22	328:21,25
211:15	319:13 320:14	help	hope
goodness	guess	99:16	234:4
177:12	41:21 100:22,24 101:6	helped	hops
gotten	118:24 119:12 120:17	53:18,19 56:15	328:18 329:7
85:4	123:13,18 128:7 133:5,16	helpful	horizon
gottlieb	136:23 184:23 188:7	33:7 184:16 271:16	286:17,20 288:2 290:1
5:5	204:16 213:13 214:9 220:7	helping	horizons
governance	226:13 259:3 277:19	126:17	295:8
210:2	294:19,24 295:24 319:23	helps	hour
governing	320:8	90:9 271:17	222:2 266:5,7 319:14
284:14,15	h	hereunto	320:15
government	hamilton	334:18	hourly
63:13,16,22,25 64:9	5:5	hesitant	46:23 47:8
governmental	hand	119:12	hours
63:8,18	18:2,3 24:2 141:15 144:17	high	49:7,8,9,11,22 50:4
government's	155:1,2 159:4 172:25	38:2 64:16,20 71:19 146:15	howey
63:14	187:14 188:10 191:5,6	146:18 177:17 217:23	17:20 19:24 23:16 42:24
gradillas	193:8 229:15 241:22 314:4	321:20	43:13 290:23 291:7 293:25
10:21	314:10,21 315:2 334:18	higher	hu
granular	handful	120:18 121:16 128:15	136:7 137:14
139:23	65:10 305:15 309:20	199:4 290:4	huber
great	handing	highest	12:20 200:21
172:12 182:17 197:6	107:8	164:21	hundred
208:18 302:21 329:16	hansen	highly	49:8,11 119:24
	4:10	9:15	hundreds
24:14,24 25:3 30:9,25 31:1		hired	165:8
31:24 32:18 34:14 279:1	happen 64:14 155:15 211:5	37:14	hypo
302:24 303:5,16 304:4		historically	97:10 207:1,13,14 255:15
305:14,23 308:24 311:23	happened	98:22	hypos
313:1 320:9,20 323:6 324:8	64:12 162:11,14 315:7	history	252:6
324:22 327:2,11 328:10	happening	63:3	hypothesis
329:3	58:2 65:11 159:15 289:14	hmm	194:14 203:6 218:20,20,21
	happens 39:12	171:13 172:21 247:8	219:2,20,21,22 220:2,6,21
28:4 29:11 32:2,17 33:7,9		265:20 314:22	220:23 221:1,3,6,23 231:17
128:14 247:19 263:8 285:1	<b>happy</b> 114:12,14 154:17 155:8	hold	231:23 238:25 239:4
305:9 306:19 307:10	171:17 185:14 233:9	283:16 288:5 289:25 318:6	267:17 311:1,2
312:16,17,19 322:20		319:3,25	hypothetical
323:12 325:23 326:1 332:7	<b>hard</b> 238:10	holder	72:13 95:23,25 96:12
ground		330:5,22	122:22 123:18 126:24
140:9	harvard	holders	127:7,10,16 128:5 206:8,15
grounded	209:9	289:17 295:19	207:6 221:17 238:7,12
119:25 132:19 133:11	hate	holding	249:6,7 251:24,25 252:4,14
134:11	266:9	287:3 289:18 292:17	254:25 255:16 261:17,18
grounding	hbs	293:17	262:16 300:4
100:22	209:9	holdings	hypothetically
grounds	head 50.2 110.7 201.2 202.6 10	273:23 275:21 276:10,11	94:22 96:1 252:23 262:3,7
51:14	52:3 118:7 201:3 202:6,18	277:7,13 278:9,10,14 281:8	
ner	211:14 216:16		hypotheticals
284:10,17,22 285:2,10,15	heading		252:6,20 255:1
	228:10,12 290:12,16,21		

## [hypothetical's - informed]

1	hypothetical's	immediate	includes (cont.)	indicating
19-17 194-13   19-25 27-60-24 79-12   23-25 88-34 18 98-15, 22   19-25 33-16.17 71-3   19-25 19-25 33-16.17 71-3   19-25 19-25 29-8   19-25 29-8   19-25 29-18 32-12 19-25 32-18 32-12   19-25 32-18 32-12	127:15	93:14 94:20	285:21	197:5
Impact   32:19.25 33:16.17 71:3   32:29.28.8.11 89.15.22   100:31.21 30:22 134:18   20:22 134:	i	_	_	
Impact   32:19.25 33:16.17 71:3   71:3 76:19.27 31:19.27 32:19.29 32:19.37 32:19.27 32:19.27 32:19.27 32:19.27 32:19.27 32:19.27 32:19.29 32:19.37 32:19.29 32:19.37 32:19.3	i.d.			
80:14 90:24 118:1 126:20 160:24 213:11 220:2 1cos 80:14 90:24 118:1 126:20 160:24 213:11 220:2 1cos 83:8 38:8 38:8 38:8 38:8 38:8 38:9 38:8 39:14 90:34 318:24 318:34 318:				
88-14-0-24-18-11-126-20   76-9-97-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-19-				
196:19 23:11 290:2   176:12 41:291:27:23   284:12 291:291:291:195:19 23:11 299:21   284:12 291:291:291:195:19 194:10 195:11   195:15 19		The state of the s		
1605   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267-14   267-15   267		90:17 92:10 93:14 165:7	195:19 235:11 269:21	
83.8   267:43.09:4   308:718 312:18 315:23   313:16:10   308:718 312:18 315:23   317:19;24 318:24, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12, 12		176:1 244:2,21 257:23	284:2,10 285:6,22 326:5	193:15,19 194:10 195:11
Idea		267:14,25 304:6 305:17	inclusion	195:15 196:1,10 197:4,17
199:14 203:207:19   199:14 203:22   199:14 203:23   199:14 2		308:7,18 312:18 315:23	30:13 166:10	197:23 198:3,9,12,18 199:9
identifiable   177:19	1.0.00	317:19,24 318:2,4,12,16,21	inconsistent	199:14 200:3 207:19
Impacted   Impacted   Impacted   Impacted   Impacting   Impacted   Impacting   Impaction		318:25 319:2	319:15 320:5,9	212:11 213:11 215:3
Identification   18:6 23:25 92:16 107:2   239:10 325:25 326:4,12   impacting   109:515 301:25   100:700 78:15 90:22 93:11 1 106:11 109:1 237:10   274:19 278:18 288:20   identified   319:15 320:17   implementation   319:15 320:17   implementing   300:19   300:11 109:10 267:19   300:12   321:13 135:25 183:13 230:15   323:18 321:11   ignores   300:9   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   impounded   109:51 107:21   impounded   109:5		impacted	incorporate	217:21 218:24 219:5 224:9
18-6 23:25 92:16 107:2   239:10 325:25 326:4,12   160-1176		235:2	83:4 117:24 126:9 159:15	indistinguishly
10.23.25.25 326.4.12   10.71.2   12.39.11   10.25.25 326.4.12   10.21.11		impacting	167:6 257:7	1 - 1
impacts   109:51.5301:25   198:10   278:18   288:20   278:18   288:30:15   237:1 278:18   288:25   299:80   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:14   297:22   388:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:14   297:22   288:18   288:18   288:18   288:14   297:22   288:18   2			incorporated	individual
98:10	-	impacts		12:25 141:11.14 156:9
Implementation   319:15 320:17   implementing   319:15 320:18 220:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementing   320:13   implementation   320:13   implementing   320				
106:11 109:12 278:18 288:20 identifies 90:2 94:19 105:1 107:21 109:10 267:19 identify 76:13 105:5 118:19 136:4 200:10,15 224:18 233:5 237:1 278:11 292:5 299:8 identifying 135:25 183:13 230:15 232:18 321:11 ignores 300:12 ignore 300:12 ignore 300:12 implied 115:2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 20:9 37:12 278:19 299:22 importat 120:7 272:19 299:22 importat 120:7 272:19 299:22 importat 131:5 2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 20:15 283:18 23:11 ignores 319:1,3,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 234:12 272:21 334:5 inaccurate 109:14 246:11 29:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4 133:5 257:6 143:23 245:12,17 289:4 301:12 231:13 32:12 inaccurate 109:17 246:1 illide 319:17 246:1 illide 319:17 246:1 illide 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,				
identify		· -		
101:19				1
109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:19   109:10 267:10   109:10 267:1				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
identify 76:13 105:5 118:19 136:4 200:10,15 224:18 233:5 237:1 278:11 292:5 299:8 identifying 135:25 183:13 230:15 232:18 321:11 ignore 300:12 iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	90:2 94:19 105:1 107:21			1
Identify   76:13 105:5 118:19 136:4   20:10,15 224:18 233:5   237:1 278:11 29:5 299:8   important   40:4 47:11 118:20 119:17   139:18 140:2 161:2,7.19   164:14 169:12,20 180:9   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   impossible   70:11   impounded   320:9   37:18,20:22 318:2,20   impossible   70:11   impounded   142:24   244:17 245:2 261:11,12   270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16   324:16   234:12 272:21 334:5   iii.c   234:12 272:21 334:5   iii.c   234:12 272:21 334:5   iii.c   234:12 272:21 334:5   iii.d   88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:17 246:1   325:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 289:4 301:12 315:4,5   325:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 289:4 301:12 315:4,5   321:10 332:12   include   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   317:16,21,	109:10 267:19		l_	
76:13 103:18:19 136:49 200:10,15 224:18 233:5 237:1 278:11 292:5 299:8 identifying 135:25 183:13 230:15 232:18 321:11 1gnore 300:12 ignores 300:12 ignores 320:9 iii 115 2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 19:13,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 234:12 272:21 334:5 iii.c 288:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4 iii.d 88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2 iii.d. 91:17 246:1 iiilquid 267:6 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 317:18,20,22 318:1,20 impors 320:9 164:14 169:12,20 180:9 317:18,20,22 318:1,20 impossible 70:11 impounded 130:12 260:20 271:4 increases 89:17 90:10 206:17 324:1 increases 89:17 90:10 206:17 324:1 increases 190:5 182:1 234:14 236:4 90:5 182:1 234:14 236:4 142:24 244:17 245:2 261:11,12 270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16 324:16 324:19 20:20 271:4 increases influence 304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14 influenced 304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14 influenced 304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14 influenced 324:9 influence 304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14 influenced 324:16 32:17 257:9 independentt 138:6 173:1 282:7,7 information 138:17 139:7,78 139:18 40:2 141:9 270:7 272:19 299:22 iii iii.d 120:7 272:19 299:22 iii 115 2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 190:14 216:16 260:11 increasing 90:5 182:1 234:14 236:4 142:24 244:17 245:2 261:11,12 270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16 increment 270:7 17:17 21:17 82:80:8 influenced 324:9 iinfluence 304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14 influenced 324:16 38:17,257:9 independentt 138:6 173:1 282:7,7 information 138:6 173:1 282:7,7 information 138:17 18:20 22 22 23:9,15,16 influence 311:11 131:2:1 33:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:10 131:2:1 133:2,6,14 116:10 118:25 119:1,2,23 110:32:110 33:212 110:3	identify			
Important   40:4 47:11 118:20 119:17   139:18 140:2 161:2,7;19   164:14 169:12,20 180:9   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   increased   97:10 182:8 235:23 236:22   inflows   255:20   inflows	76:13 105:5 118:19 136:4	· -		
identifying	200:10,15 224:18 233:5		· ·	
139:18 140:2 161:2,7,19   164:14 169:12,20 180:9   164:14 169:12,20 180:9   164:14 169:12,20 180:9   300:12   impossible   70:11   impounded   142:24   improve   270:7 272:19 299:22   improve   270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16   324:16   increment   234:12 272:21 334:5   iii.c   88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12   91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4   iii.d   88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2   iii.d   88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17   246:2   iii.d   88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17   246:2   iii.d   91:17 246:1   iii.quid   267:6   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   317:15,21,25   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   includes   317:16,21,25 318:2,21	237:1 278:11 292:5 299:8			
164:14 169:12,20 180:9   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   317:18,20,22 318:1,20   300:12   320:9   32	identifying			
317:18,20,22 318:1,20   impossible   70:11   increases   89:17 90:10 206:17 324:1   304:19 310:2,13 311:10,17 313:14   increasing   90:5 182:1 234:14 236:4   244:17 245:2 261:11,12   270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16   324:16   increment   253:17 257:9   inaccurate   109:14 216:16 260:11   include   24:16 34:17 139:7,7,8   142:2 157:7,21 158:4,13   150:2 186:11 235:4   iii.d   88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2   iii.d   91:17 246:1   iiiliquid   267:6   iillustrative   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   313:12 217:19 367:19   173:20 21 213:16 219:10   311:10,17 313:14   increasing   90:5 182:1 234:14 236:4   244:17 245:2 261:11,12   270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16   324:16   increment   253:17 257:9   independent   138:6 173:1 282:7,7   independent   138:6 173:1 282:7,7   independent   138:6 173:1 282:7,7   independent   14:3,12,24 115:5 116:1,4   273:24,25 275:18,21,22   277:8,9   index   17:10,13 23:14   index   17:10,13 23:15   indicated   3:1 178:5 325:7   informational   3:10:2,13 31:10,17 313:14   influenced   304:19 310:2,13 31:10,17 313:14   influenced   304:19 310:2,13 31:10,17 313:14   influenced   304:19 310:2,13 31:10,17 313:14   influenced   78:19 79:4,17 80:4 309:18   influenced   78:19 79:4,17 80:4 309:18   influenced   78:19 79:4,17 80:4 309:18   influenced   3:1:11 31:2,7,21   influenced   3:1:11 31:12,7,21   influenced   3:1:11	135:25 183:13 230:15	1 1		
imposes   impossible   70:11   impounded   142:24   improve   283:18   imaccuracies   260:5   imaccurate   19:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5   15:02 186:11 235:4   iii.d   88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2   iiii.d   91:17 246:1   iiiiquid   267:6   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   318:17,20 120:14,21,20   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   318:15 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   318:16 10 increasing	232:18 321:11	·		
Impossible   70:11   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1   1				
impounded   320:9   impounded   142:24   impounded   244:17 245:2 261:11,12   270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16   324:17   326:17   326	1 -			
320:9  ii			_	
iii				
20:7 272:19 299:22  iii  1:15 2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 19:1,3,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 234:12 272:21 334:5  iii.c  88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4  iii.d  88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2  iii.d. 91:17 246:1  iiiliquid 267:6  iiilustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  iiiilitiiiilitiiiilitiiiilitiiiiiiiiii			· ·	I I
iii.d		improve	270:18 322:22 323:9,15,16	influencing
1:15 2:16 7:4 11:5 12:3 19:1,3,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 234:12 272:21 334:5 iii.c 88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4 iii.d 88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2 iii.d. 91:17 246:1 iilliquid 267:6 iillustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25		283:18	324:16	311:11 312:7,21
19:1,3,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 234:12 272:21 334:5  iii.c  88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4  iii.d  88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2  iii.d. 91:17 246:1 iiliquid 267:6 iillustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  19:1,3,12 88:3,6 143:18,19 143:21 186:13,14,15,16 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 109:14 216:16 260:11 1138:6 173:1 282:7,7 independenty 273:24,25 275:18,21,22 277:8,9 index 7:1 9:1 131:21 133:2,6,14 133:25 134:5,14,20,24 133:25 134:5,14,20,24 133:25 134:5,14,20,24 144:14 158:11 159:5 164:25 167:6,21,23 168:12 168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19 215:4 272:15 273:4 332:11 information 77:17,21,21 78:2 80:8 114:3,12,24 115:5 116:1,4 119:15,17,20 120:1,4,11,21 120:24,25 121:7,9,13,23 137:5 138:4,20 142:23 137:5 138:4,20 142:23 136:18 153:1 155:15 168:13 168:13 169:24 272:15 273:4 332:11 178:5 325:7 178:7 188:24 189:15 260:11 188:24 189:15 227:18 246:2 24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9 314:23 315:1 321:13 180:24 189:15 273:4 188:24 189:15 227:18 246:2 24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9 314:23 315:1 321:13 160:24 189:15 273:4 178:25 136:13 116:14 178:3 178:1 282:7,7 188:44:15 116:14 178:3 128:7 178:48:15 116:14 114:3,12,24 115:5 116:1,4 116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11 119:15,17,20 120:1,4,11,21 110:10 18:25 119:1,20 110:10 18:10 18:10 13:10 13:10		inaccuracies	increment	inform
143:21 186:13,14,15,16   234:12 272:21 334:5   109:14 216:16 260:11   109:14 216:16 260:11   138:6 173:1 282:7,7   143:6 173:1 282:7,7   144:3,12,24 115:5 116:1,4   143:12,13   143:12,13   143:13,13   1		260:5	253:17 257:9	278:7
234:12 272:21 334:5 iii.c 88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4 iii.d 88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2 iii.d. 91:17 246:1 iiliquid 267:6 iilustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25		inaccurate	independent	information
iii.c 88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4  iii.d 88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2  iii.d. 91:17 246:1  iiliquid 267:6 iilustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25		109:14 216:16 260:11	138:6 173:1 282:7,7	77:17,21,21 78:2 80:8
88:14,20,25 89:3,4,9 91:12 91:14 94:8,10 143:22 149:5 150:2 186:11 235:4		include	independently	114:3,12,24 115:5 116:1,4
142:2 157:7,21 158:4,13 159:10 184:1,6,16 188:5,19 188:24 189:15 227:18 188:24 189:15 227:18 235:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 246:2 1ii.d. 91:17 246:1 1iliquid 267:6 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25		24:16 34:17 139:7,7,8	273:24,25 275:18,21,22	116:10 118:25 119:1,5,11
150:2 186:11 235:4  iii.d 88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2  iii.d. 91:17 246:1  iiliquid 267:6  iillustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25		142:2 157:7,21 158:4,13	277:8,9	119:15,17,20 120:1,4,11,21
130.2 166.11 235.4       188:24 189:15 227:18       7:1 9:1 131:21 133:2,6,14       137:5 138:4,20 142:23         188:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2       289:4 301:12 315:4,5 321:10 332:12       133:25 134:5,14,20,24       144:14 158:11 159:5         188:24 189:15 227:18 235:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 246:2       289:4 301:12 315:4,5 321:10 332:12       133:25 134:5,14,20,24       144:14 158:11 159:5         188:24 189:15 227:18 235:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 246:2       133:25 134:5,14,20,24       144:14 158:11 159:5         188:24 189:15 227:18 235:5 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 246:2       135:5,11,20 157:14,15,15       164:25 167:6,21,23 168:12         188:14 159:15 246:1       159:2,20,21 223:17       168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19         188:13 17:16,21,25 318:2,21,25       133:25 134:5,14,20,24       144:14 158:11 159:5         188:13 159:2,20,21 223:17       168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19       168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19         188:13 17:16,21,25 318:2,21,25       126:18 153:1 155:15       168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19         188:13 17:16,21,25 318:2,21,25       176:48 183:15 212:10       177:20 21 21:17 165:23	1	159:10 184:1,6,16 188:5,19	index	120:24,25 121:7,9,13,23
88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2 289:4 301:12 315:4,5 321:10 332:12 159:2,20,21 223:17 indicate 217:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 318:2,21,25 251:5,8 269:9 275:17 289:4 301:12 315:4,5 321:10 332:12 159:2,20,21 223:17 164:25 167:6,21,23 168:12 159:2,20,21 223:17 indicate 215:4 272:15 273:4 332:11 informational 85:20 121:17 165:23 168:13 indicates 173:20 21 213:16 208:25 173:25 217:10 267:				137:5 138:4,20 142:23
88:17,25 143:23 245:12,17 246:2				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
321:10 332:12   159:2,20,21 223:17   168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19   indicate   215:4 272:15 273:4 332:11   illiquid   267:6   includes   317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25   60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10   321:10 332:12   159:2,20,21 223:17   168:16,19,21 169:4 171:19   215:4 272:15 273:4 332:11   informational   85:20 121:17 165:23   indicates   168:13   informed   132:15 136:22 176:4		*		
included  91:17 246:1  illiquid  267:6  illustrative  317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  included  included  24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9  314:23 315:1 321:13  includes  60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10  317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  included  24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9  314:23 315:1 321:13  includes  60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10  317:10,267:10  173:20 21 213:16 208:25  132:15 136:22 176:4		-		1
24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9 267:6  illustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9 314:23 315:1 321:13 includes 60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10 24:9 162:5 173:3 288:9 314:23 315:1 321:13 indicated 3:1 178:5 325:7 indicates 173:20 21 213:16 208:25  132:15 136:22 176:4				
314:23 315:1 321:13 indicated 3:1 178:5 325:7 includes 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10 indicates 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,				
illustrative 317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25  60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10  168:13  includes  60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10  173:20 21 213:16 208:25				
317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 60:15 175:4 183:15 212:10 indicates informed 173:20 21 213:16 208:25 132:15 136:22 176:4				
317:16,21,25 318:2,21,25 213:12 217:10 267:10 173:20 21 213:16 208:25 132:15 136:22 176:4				
319:4				
	319:4	213.12 217.13 207.13	. 7 3.23,2 1 2 13. 10 233.23	.02.10 100.22 170.4

## [informed - kellogg]

	5
274:17     115:9 181:8     331:15     211:1	1
informs interested investors jersey	
70:21,25 196:2 88:20 119:1,7 124:18 236:22 270:17 271:8,19,20 2:21	
infre 128:22 147:5 206:12 318:1   invokes   job	
	205:24
infrequent interests invoking join	
64:14 301:9,11 118:16 276:21 293:24 53:5	
infrequently interfaced involve joining	
64:15 50:23 52:4 53:4 54:11 57:1 16:22 17:8 301:8 12:7	
inherent 99:25 involved jon	
211:17 <b>interfacing</b> 39:9,11 63:14 269:23,24 3:11 1	12:6
initial 52:16 53:22 involves journal	
25:3,5 233:13 279:3 303:20 interference 38:3 70:3 316:2 104:1	1,12 139:16 166:25
305:14 309:8 310:19 256:16 <b>involving</b> 209:2	4
314:15 internal 85:12 246:22 273:14 judge	
	45:23
105:25 185:4 316:19,23 interpret 108:16,24 judgme	
	3 130:6 139:9 169:13
inquiry interpretation 98:22 99:7 july	0 100.0 100.0 100.10
146:1 157:5 137:2 143:2,7 228:22 <b>ish</b> 286:1	3
insignificant interpreting 83:18 jump	
113:13 183:5 197:2 204:8 228:21 ishii 106:2	3
204:23 205:14 222:20 interrupt 209:23 210:17 june	9
insofar 15:12 23:5 41:10 118:9 issue 285:2	5
	3
	47.E 40 40.40 E0.0 C
·	47:5,13 48:12 52:3,6
105:18   51:8 256:18   277:1 284:20   54:5	
instance intersects issuer justin	
157:21 165:19 168:5 307:9 84:9 4:13	
172:24 244:15 284:17   interval   issues	k
instruct 95:2 97:15 122:25,25 127:1 29:16 45:19 83:6 85:8 keep	
58:25 59:7 129:13 212:9,10,15,23 198:20,22 236:13,13 276:3 15:8	234:9,21 269:7,7
Instructed 213:9 214:1,14,16,17,20 279:3 281:18 282:17 keeping	
105:19 215:7,10,25 216:7,23 <b>issuing</b> 266:9	
instruction   217:18 218:1,3,7 219:7   83:11   kellogg	
48:13 60:6,21 61:1 294:2   220:22,25 221:21   <b>Italicize</b>   4:10.1	11 11:12,15 12:19,20
Instructions Intraday 235:24	15:11,17,24 17:3
1 //// 6 61:73 63:17 13 //	19:25 20:15 21:3,19
5/P13 22 55 27 56 16 100 2 introduce   QD16	,23 23:18 25:11 28:23
instrument 261.16	34:23 35:17 36:4,14
7117'77 7118'5 331'7 5 7 711 INTRODUCOO	38:20 39:7,11,14
330'22'24 331'4 6 7   260'5 260'U   *	' '
instruments	43:1,8,19 44:5,20
983.16	47:22 48:14 51:13
Intend	54:9,18 55:9 56:9,23
7/10/10/7/16/78/17 1/1/7/10	18 58:5,9,15,19 59:3
interact invalidates 157:10 00:9,1	17 69:17 70:17 71:8
85:0 John Jacob John John John John John John John John	72:9,23 73:11 74:1
interacted invest 4:23 /4:10	,23 77:16 78:21 79:7
	87:16 88:4 89:11
50:20 51:25   14:16   <b>Juanieis</b>   79:18	91:13 92:12 94:4,11
50:20 51:25 14:16 3:18 90:19	-
50:20 51:25	96:17 97:9 98:1,18
50:20 51:25	96:17 97:9 98:1,18 107:23 108:22 113:3
50:20 51:25	96:17 97:9 98:1,18 107:23 108:22 113:3 9 117:5 118:12,22
50:20 51:25	96:17 97:9 98:1,18 107:23 108:22 113:3

# [kellogg - level]

kellogg (cont.)	know (cont.)	know (cont.)	late
129:1 130:9 131:16 132:17		307:3,9 311:4 314:8,18	287:10,10
133:3,15 134:4 135:1,12	39:11 40:5,6,17 41:4,20	315:4,4 316:2 318:5 320:3	law
136:19 139:21 140:6 141:3		329:7 331:25	13:3 16:18 17:7 40:5 66:4
141:22 142:21 143:3,10		knowledge	
144:8,23 145:12,24 146:14	52:3 53:18 54:11,23 55:4		82:22 83:3,21 84:4 301:5 301:24
	* *	14:14 38:15 55:2,3 118:13	
147:2 148:19 149:2,9,16	64:1,5,12 67:4,16 68:19	131:1	laws
151:5,17 152:4,18 153:8	70:6,18,19 71:11 74:11,24	known	43:7 44:4 45:10,22 83:7
154:14 155:3 159:11 160:7	76:8,12 83:9 85:8 86:14	31:6 132:7 169:22 210:16	85:10
162:8 163:16,25 164:19	88:6,7,9 93:12 95:22 96:9	210:20	lawsuit
165:10 166:11 167:18	96:11 99:12,22 101:17,17	knows	38:6 42:2,14,16
170:8 171:5,9,14 174:17	103:7 104:24 105:20	146:19	lawyers
175:15 176:11 177:24	108:15 109:20 111:2,12,15	l I	38:18
178:23 179:10 181:2 182:9	112:3,17,18,20 113:6,6	label	lay
182:15 184:21 190:15	114:5,11,20 115:2,3 116:12	163:12 258:21	292:12,12
192:25 195:13 196:5,18	116:12 119:13 120:19	labeled	layman's
199:19 200:6 201:12,20	121:23 123:22 124:13,16	86:8 115:14 140:18 147:12	177:8
202:4,14 203:13 204:10,25	128:13,16,21 129:5,5,16	228:6 324:19	layperson's
205:17 206:4,24 207:12	131:19 134:5,9,10 135:15	labs	133:24
208:11,24 212:17 213:1	135:21 136:6 138:8 139:16	1:7 2:7 4:2 10:11	lead
214:4,25 215:12,21 216:9	141:9,10,13,13,17 145:4,17	lack	181:16 238:24 244:18
216:14 217:3,11,24 218:17	147:4 149:17,18,19,19	49:17 158:22 175:25	leads
219:15 220:17 221:12,18	152:8,11 154:2 155:8,15	298:15	324:2
222:7 223:24 224:12 225:4	156:15 159:2 160:24	I .	leakage
229:8 230:2,22 232:10	162:11,12,13,16 163:2,4	lag	80:8
237:8,18 238:6,23 239:21	165:2 167:8,21 168:6,9	246:6,8 323:22,23 324:12	leave
239:24 240:12 241:13	169:12,18 175:18 179:22	324:13	41:21 175:16 214:10
242:3 243:1,18 244:5,25	180:8,15 182:16 184:17	lagged	216:10 223:5
245:15 247:13 248:19	185:8,13 187:11 189:7,15	247:15 251:8	ledger
249:4,16 250:19 251:23	189:24 190:6,17,21,23	land	314:20
252:17 253:7 257:25 260:8	191:14 192:10 193:10,12	72:13	left
260:15 263:1,19 266:6	195:17 198:24 200:10,14	language	188:10 190:22 191:5
268:2,7 271:14 278:15	200:22,25 201:13 205:11	125:13 258:10 304:13,18	208:23 229:15 296:13
287:11 290:2,24 293:2,22	207:16 209:1 210:20	305:3	314:21
294:5 295:5,21 299:10	211:15,21,23 212:12 213:8	lapse	legal
301:1,13 302:4,7,13,17	213:9,10 214:8,9,13 215:9	66:6	10:20 13:24 14:8,10,17,20
303:6 307:20 310:6,15	216:12,15 217:6,8,25 219:4	lapsed	15:2,7 16:12,14,18,20,20
311:12,18 312:8,23 313:16	219:21 221:5,6 222:24	00.7	16:21,22 17:7,8 23:19 43:9
317:1 318:14 320:18	223:3,5,6,9 225:25 227:9	large	43:10,20 44:6,12 45:5,6,12
321:17 323:2 325:13 328:3	229:12 230:13 232:1 238:8	47:13 66:24 69:8,11 105:7	45:18,19,23,25 48:16 69:9
329:15 330:8,25 331:8,17	240:25 241:2 243:4 244:7,8	106:13 109:17 165:16	69:16 75:11 290:25 291:4
332:21	244:17 245:3 247:25	293:15	293:23 294:6,7,12 295:12
kellogghansen.com	248:14,25 252:20 254:17	larger	301:2,3 309:9,11 331:1,9
4:21,22,23,24,25	255:11 256:7 257:19 258:9	38:4 167:13 287:16	331:11,19
ken	258:11 260:16 261:4	largest	legs
131:22	264:25 265:2,3 268:22	140:19 141:4,16 142:5,6	297:2
kept	269:12 271:15,24,25	166:4 169:16,18 172:9	letter
53:20	273:15,18 274:6,23,24	197:12,16	12:22,24 13:5,6,9 36:24
kind	275:9,11 276:19 277:20	larsen	letters
70:2 83:15 189:7 220:4	279:22,24 280:1,3,3,9	1:8 2:8 5:13 10:13 38:12	13:3
271:3,4 296:10	281:11 282:24 284:18,25	273:1,22 277:6 278:8,12	letter's
knew	284:25 285:20 286:1,3,6,23	279:6 281:22 285:22	12:21
31:19 210:17	288:14 289:9,9,13 290:11	3 13. 13 324.21 320.9, 14, 13	level
know	293:17,19 294:24 295:9,25	328:22 329:4	27:9 31:17 38:2,6 71:19
13:8 15:22 24:11 31:11,13	296:1,2 299:1 300:12 301:4	larsen's	73:19 84:25 85:10 103:16
31:23 32:18,20 34:24 35:2	301:8,22 303:11,16 306:1	282:14,21	111:20 113:14 114:6
31.23 32.10,20 34.24 33.2	301.0,22 303.11,10 300.1		111.20 113.14 114.0

## [level - market]

level (cont.)	liquidity	long (cont.)	Iowanski
146:15,18 200:24 204:19	266:23,25 267:3,10 283:19	209:11 235:7 238:19	54:24
212:12,18,19 213:12,17,22		260:17 266:1 286:17,20	lower
216:23 226:12 250:2	33:3 40:6 52:2 68:9 90:7	287:4 288:2 289:18,25	115:24 120:20 121:17
256:11,20,23 257:13,16	118:6 145:15	295:19 305:17 309:17	162:6 167:12,17 176:7
269:22,22 290:7 311:13	listed	310:3,13 323:3	186:24 244:19 279:22
levels	27:24 28:2,2 68:3,6,7 69:12	longer	280:12,12,14
256:1 257:18	70:5 86:12 90:17 108:19	15:23 52:22 154:9	lunch
levinson	listing	look	170:9
68:22	197:12 267:13,19,24	13:4 29:11 30:6,19 68:6	luncheon
lewinski	280:12	70:21 84:12 91:2 101:24	170:15
52:13	litecoin	106:10,19,20,24 109:6	m
lewon	169:19	114:13,14,19 115:11	magnitude
52:13	literature	127:17 135:14,19 146:16	204:4
lewonski	16:4,8,25 20:25 21:2 22:12	147:11 155:18 163:15	mail
52:13,14 53:2	22:21 35:22 69:18 100:17	172:19 176:16 185:18	4:8,21 5:10,21
lewonski's	100:18,19,24 101:7,10,25	199:1 205:13 208:9 209:8	main
52:19	102:2,7,10,23 103:3,8,18	209:21,22 210:4 211:19,25	77:14 100:24 302:7
lexecon	104:4,4,7 112:19 116:16,19		maintenance
12:22 13:6 47:1,5,13,17	116:25 117:3,10 118:15	228:2 236:14 258:2 259:17	321:24
48:8,16 49:25 50:3,23 51:2	127:24 129:10,25 130:13	265:22 274:5 280:3 286:22	majority
51:19,20 52:11,20 53:5,24	130:17 131:2,13,15 132:6,6		63:5 279:9 280:16
54:6,25 55:7,11,23,25 56:5	132:12,16,19,20,21,21,22 132:23 133:9,12,18 134:12	309:13 313:22,22 320:1	maker
56:7,12,14,19 57:3 99:21 100:1,10 105:19 171:12,15	134:13,19 135:7,22 136:14	92:3 101:15 103:1 135:3	30:20 247:22 286:14
license	139:13 140:8,10 146:10,20	152:2,17 161:5 183:15	makers
66:1	146:21,23 149:22 153:22	224:1 227:22 286:25	283:17,22 284:12
licenses	154:4 155:11 157:19 159:3		making
65:22,25	159:19 160:10,15 166:7,22	19:8,10 105:22 128:19	20:23 101:18 140:25 225:6
life	179:25 204:19 209:8,11,15	129:7 143:21 150:24 151:7	265:6 280:24 304:25 305:4
62:19	210:6 211:1,8 224:22 239:4		323:19,23
lifetime	257:22 267:9 295:3,7	175:2 177:4,6 181:12	manage
62:6,9	litigation	186:22,23 187:11 190:5	234:15 299:17
likelier	15:1 68:21,25 152:7 169:22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	management
220:15 221:15	233:16	212:3 223:9 240:13,24	283:6
likewise	little	242:9,17 250:25 251:2,5,12	<b>manner</b> 120:21
197:22	15:17 23:7 33:16 62:12	251:17 253:13 259:18	mark
limit	108:9 120:17 137:11	269:8 275:13 280:21	3:9 11:13 12:4 87:16 122:1
105:9 118:9 264:21 265:7	159:12 210:24 266:6	287:19 290:10 305:13	123:4 163:16 170:8 171:5
limited	279:19,25 282:9 287:13	319:7 325:2,3 326:13	marked
89:16,22 303:4 307:17	328:7	327:18	9:15 18:3,5 23:24 24:2
line	liu	looks	107:1,8 239:7,9
9:4,11,16,21 190:4 254:7	104:11 137:14 166:23	105:6 326:25	market
linear	llp 4.0.5.45	losses	30:20 37:23 62:2 68:11,25
8:4 240:24 241:4,6 242:10	4:3 5:15	236:23	69:5,15,22,24 70:9 76:8,9
242:13,17 243:9	locate	lost	79:13 85:21 91:21 93:16
lines 31:10 52:15	271:10	197:2 <b>lot</b>	96:10 97:22,25 98:4,5,11
lingering	located 10:21	40:5 46:6 53:22 100:12	98:17 99:4 118:21 119:18
42:4	logical	117:20 134:8 169:24,24	120:5,12,22 126:22 131:21
lining	158:18,25	247:1 250:16 258:6 259:17	133:2,6,13,25 134:5,14,20
241:1	lombardozzi	lots	134:24 135:4,5,11,14,19,20
link	1:24 2:19 334:25	121:10	137:3 140:19 141:5 142:5
73:10,24 74:21	long	low	146:4 149:11,20 150:9
liquid	32:6 91:6,20,25 95:19 97:1	177:17 280:8 321:6,9,11,20	151:22 153:11 154:7,22
267:5	125:2 128:17 150:16	321:23	130.2,0 137.14 130.23,23
			161:17 162:1,5,23 163:3

## [market - mischaracterizing]

market (cont.)	maxim	mechanism	
164:5,17,20,22 165:6,8,15	148:25	244:10,21	25:7,14,19,23 26:2,7,12
166:5,9,21,24 172:9 176:10		media	27:17 29:14,24 93:25 106:1
179:19 180:10 182:5	300:24	60:2 122:13 222:11,17	106:5,19 113:11 183:15
184:11 187:8 194:18	maximizing	333:1	185:13 196:12 221:24
207:24 222:25,25 223:2,11		medium	228:2,17 229:4 230:20
223:16,17,19 224:10,16,17	_ ·	81:11 82:3	231:6 232:9 237:2 238:2
225:17 234:25 236:7 244:3	273:1,22 275:2 277:6 278:8		mgertzman
244:7,9,16,23 245:1,2	278:12 279:6 281:22	39:2	5:22
246:14 247:12,22 248:14	mccaleb's	member	mic
248:25 249:14 260:9 261:2	282:14,21	65:23 66:3	51:6
263:3,13 283:17,19,22	mccrimmon	memorized	michael
284:11 286:14 288:4 289:1	6:3	116:9,12 138:3	4:11 5:17 18:10
290:11 304:6 305:17 306:9	mcsi	memory	microphone
306:10,11,13,15,16 307:3,5	157:14	37:12 38:22 39:9,13 41:18	256:16
307:18 308:6,17 313:6	mean		microsoft
marketcap	16:15 23:5 35:8 37:16 41:9	55:14 64:2 80:17 136:6,8	293:20,21 294:3,4,8,16,17
197:16	45:15 58:7 77:21 78:14,22	183:22,23,25 184:3 214:8	295:17,18
marketplace	92:1 99:5 101:13 103:2	215:24 216:22 276:5	mid
80:8 83:16 237:23 244:12	106:23 114:10 120:17	mention	226:4
245:5 261:11 306:4,8	124:4 127:11 128:13 133:4	20:19 31:25,25 32:5 35:14	middle
markets	133:5 134:6 136:18,21	84:22 85:5 137:13,14	183:5 215:16 216:1,2,17
75:19 77:15,18 91:1 119:9	144:10,24 150:23 156:7	209:23 304:11	mike
119:22 120:6 121:14 137:6	169:3 176:8,24 177:5,11,25	mentioned	209:8 211:11
143:13,14 144:14,15 145:2	179:12 185:5 187:13 188:4	35:3 36:16 84:19 85:6,7	million
149:24 151:11,12 152:11	188:4 189:23 190:17,17	97:20 183:1 211:11 223:20	108:5 162:24 164:6 165:3,3
152:23 159:16,24 160:1,14	191:2,10 197:10 201:13	235:12 267:23 278:16	166:1,2,25 167:2 248:7
175:5,13,20 178:8 181:14	205:1 208:25 210:15,15	mentions	261:21,23 262:8,10,17
195:6 306:10 309:5	211:10,11 213:20 224:13	31:24 84:11,13	326:15 327:2,7,23
market's	227:9 238:13 242:1,5,8,19	merging	millions
68:15 94:24 175:25 288:18	242:22 243:11,13 244:8	53:23 54:16,21	165:9
markup	256:3 257:2 259:22 270:14	merwe	mind
57:25	271:12,15 275:5 276:1	50:22 51:25 53:15 54:15,24	-
materials	286:20 291:23 292:4	57:4 100:1	111:14 112:17 198:25
28:2 34:18 35:25 53:20,21	293:24 294:1,20 295:6	met	202:1 205:11 250:24
86:8,12 326:3	298:11 300:11 306:6	38:9,12,15,18	319:19
math	meaning	meta	mine
216:4,24 261:18	13:21 14:5 16:21 17:11	316:4	56:5
matrix	96:13 136:21 143:15,24	method	minimize
77:25 78:2 114:4,12,25	209:11 255:19 282:19,22	212:14 290:10	308:7,18
115:6,24 116:2,5,11,11	meaningful	methodological	minimum 404.47.465.04.466.0
119:6,16 120:20 121:2,16	204:2 205:8,9	245:20	161:17 165:24 166:9
167:22	meaningfully	methodology	minkin
matter	76:10	73:9,18,18 103:21 116:15 132:14 135:25 140:3	3:10 12:6
10:9 22:6 40:13,14 47:6	means		minkina
48:13 49:5,23 50:5 76:19	45:14 104:8 150:24 154:23 177:9 178:15 217:19	165:21 220:5 239:3 309:22	3:17 minute
95:18 103:14 155:12 206:9 234:14 292:2 301:14	242:23 243:13,14 289:23	metric	
305:14	290:4 321:7	177:11,12,12 232:22	59:12 270:4
		metrick	minutes
matters	meant	209:23 210:17	296:13 329:11
39:14 45:6 80:2,7 160:9	260:19 299:2,2,23 300:15	7:23 24:15,24 25:5 26:5,17	<b>mis</b> 328:6
mature 175:6,14,21	measure 185:6 208:21 209:13,16,18	27:4,13 29:8,10 96:21	mischaracterizes
maturity	210:7 211:2 257:23	104:25 107:9,10,20 108:18	56:10
175:25	measured		mischaracterizing
173.23	263:11	182:20	131:17
	200.11	102.20	101.17

## [misimpression - non]

misimpression	model (cont.)	motivated	necessarily
126:7	192:2,5,8,21 193:1,3,16	128:19	207:13 244:6
mispronounce	194:23 195:4,9,11,17,25	motivation	necessary
52:12	196:4 202:20 205:15,18,20	140:12	215:5 218:22
misreading	205:22 208:23 210:11	motivations	need
328:7	211:4,17,18,20,24 221:22	263:17 311:21,21,25	15:21 76:8 127:16 139:20
misrepresenting	228:7,13,18 230:3,4,14,15	312:11 313:2,7,19	171:20 172:10 182:19
213:8	232:5,11,13,14,18,20 235:5		204:11,13 218:19 238:9
misstatement	237:15 238:14 250:12	149:23 170:5 224:20 279:7	296:2 310:7 322:24
159:13	251:18 252:1,8,13 253:5	283:7 286:8 296:7,15	needed
mistaken	254:13 257:5,8 272:20	324:17	160:11
235:3	310:18 315:19,23 316:16	moved	needs
misunderstanding	318:17 319:5	52:23 153:5,6 154:12 247:9	
92:23	models	movement	negative
misunderstands	112:10 121:20 123:15	85:16 153:1 154:19 249:23	
165:13	124:9 127:14 128:1 129:4	movements	173:15 180:19 193:23
misunderstood	129:11 132:9,10,23,24	75:18 90:25 99:4 119:8	199:21 200:2 207:17 208:1
158:7	155:23 156:11,13 192:10	125:22 126:23 137:7	208:3 210:9 211:3 232:16
mixes	192:13 193:21 196:11	143:12 144:13 150:9 151:9	
113:7	203:1 295:11	151:10 152:11,13,16,16,23	
mixing	model's	153:11,16,17,21,21,24,25	251:7,12,17,24 252:4,5,9
110:23	124:18 177:23	154:7,12,22,25 155:1	252:14,19,19,20 256:25
mkellogg	moment	165:14,15,18 167:9 168:14	257:22 262:22 263:6
4:21	33:4 138:15 156:17 172:6	304:5 310:23	265:13,14,22 267:18
mm	177:1 259:17 264:6 275:8	moves	268:12,14 269:11,16,16
171:13 172:21 247:8	279:16 283:9 285:8 314:1	149:10	286:21,25 287:5,9,12,15
265:20 314:22	315:15	moving	314:13
mod	monday	61:18 69:2 141:13 188:10	nevertheless
127:1	111:12	229:15 247:4	30:17 132:14
model	mondays	msc	new
20:21 26:24 31:12,18,20	110:23	157:14	1:2 2:2,17,17,21,21 3:8,14
32:9,13,19,25 33:25 34:20	money	mse	3:14 4:6,6 5:19,19 10:17,18
35:5,15 36:3 53:6,10,11	300:12,13	241:23	69:12 70:4 83:18,18 206:16
73:13,14,18,22,23 74:3,4	moneygram	multifaceted	215:15,22 216:2,5,17,24
74:16 75:22 76:16 77:19	30:6 198:22	255:22	220:2 267:13 334:1,3
78:5 79:10,15 80:2,10 86:2	month	multiple	news
88:12,15,16,20,21 89:19	44:24 112:2,3,10 206:15,18	49:16 269:24 287:4	70:15 71:3,7,17 72:7,16,18
90:12,15 91:16 93:17 95:6	209:3,6 250:16,17,18 251:8	n	72:21 73:10,25 74:21 76:3
96:19,24 97:8 105:24	254:10,11,12 264:11,16,20	n.w.	90:8 92:14 94:2,18 95:24
108:10 109:21 111:13,22	286:24 287:6	4:17	96:9,13,14 97:5 126:16
113:2 122:19 123:12,14,15	1	name	231:11,25 232:3
123:17,19,25 124:4,9,10,10		10:19 11:25 12:2 50:21	nicole
124:12,22 125:21 126:25	191:23 194:15 199:3,4	52:13	5:6
127:10,11,19 128:3,23	206:23 207:11 225:24	named	night
129:10,15 130:13,17,20	226:9,15 227:14,16 230:5	278:7 334:9	76:20
131:1 136:1,5,9,13 138:7	242:23 243:14 250:14	narrow	nine
142:14 143:23 144:10	251:6 252:9,14 255:22	14:1	96:1
145:17 146:6 147:5 149:22	256:13,19,22,25 257:14,14	national	ninety
153:22 154:3,18 155:11,11	265:2,7,10,14,24 269:6,21	110:22	115:9
155:17 157:5,6 158:17	275:11 276:12 287:12	natural	nitpick
159:18 165:14,21 166:6,10		184:9 257:8 296:23	149:17 207:1
167:3 168:14,19,22 173:20	216:19	nature	non
174:6,7,7 176:16 178:20	morning	40:21 48:17,22 130:1	30:6,12 77:18 90:25 119:22
179:2,5 180:2,4,21 184:17	10:6 11:10,23,24 168:25	131:15 132:13 232:14	120:6,22 125:3,21 127:3
185:6,7 188:5 189:20,22	174:22 182:17 183:14	near	137:5 143:13 144:13
190:1,10,14,18,21 191:3,16	247:18,21,24 303:13	19:4	150:17 151:2,8,14,22
1		I	l l

#### [non - okay]

inon oxayı	1	1	
non (cont.)	numbers	, ,	occur
152:22 154:21 156:2,6	261:18 265:13	293:2,22 294:5 295:5,21	42:17
159:5,23 160:1,13 178:7	nw	299:10 301:1,13 303:6	occurred
187:8 191:25 194:17 195:6	5:7	307:20 310:6,15 311:12,18	55:16 60:16,25 63:11 75:5
223:16 224:21 226:11	o	312:8,23 313:16 317:1	96:12 182:25 184:8 237:6
235:9 247:6,10 248:9,16	o18	318:14 320:18 321:17	246:23
249:1,13,19,21,24 285:22	315:13	323:2 325:13 328:3,5 330:8	
289:17	object	330:25 331:8,17	96:3 244:14
noncryptocurrency	58:25 59:3,6,6 239:21	objective	occurs
143:13	objection	139:5,19 140:3	14:24 205:3 309:5
nontrivial	11:17,18 13:23 17:3 19:25	obligation	october
257:12	20:15 21:3,19 22:14,23	283:2,3,5 298:3 300:24	7:14 28:20
normalize	23:18 25:11 28:23 29:7	301:19	odl
253:15	34:23 35:17 36:4,14 37:4	obligations	198:22 283:20 offend
normally 289:21	38:20 43:1,8,19 44:5,20	299:19 301:6,23 observation	39:3
north	45:11 51:13 53:17 54:9,18	175:18 238:14 265:6	offer
I	55:9 56:9,23 57:8,18 69:17	323:23	24:9 308:24
62:4,5,7,9,14,16 66:25 67:2	70:17 71:8,18 72:9,23		offered
67:13,16,17	73:11 74:1,10,23 77:16	observations 184:20,25	
notary 2:20	78:21 79:7,18 88:4 89:11	,	28:20 80:19,23 81:2,6,10
note	90:19 91:13 92:12 94:4,11	<b>observe</b> 72:15,17 76:2 83:16 103:18	330:4,20 331:5,15
62:10 91:15 272:6 303:11	95:15 96:17 97:9 98:1,18	104:6,9 126:12 142:13	71:25 73:7 85:19 97:23
303:15 325:5 328:11	98:23 107:23 108:22 113:3	145:9 195:1	153:15,23 222:19 293:13
noted	113:19 117:5 118:12,22	observed	311:16 312:5 313:12
10:24 324:24	119:19 120:8,16 123:10	137:18 142:17 145:21,22	319:13
notice	126:4 127:6,21 129:1 130:9	194:15 237:5 304:5	offers
2:21	131:16 132:17 133:3,15	observes	283:18
noting	134:4 135:1,12 136:19	146:11,24	offhand
34:13	139:21 140:6 141:3,22	observing	17:15 34:24 35:2 36:6
notion	142:21 143:3,10 144:8,23	146:5 210:8 265:2	70:10 162:12,13 163:2,4,7
319:24	145:12,24 146:14 147:2	obtain	217:25 230:24 250:11
novel	148:19 149:2,9,16 151:5,17	277:5 316:9	265:12 275:4 276:10
121:22 136:14 308:19	152:4,18 153:8 154:14	obtained	office
november	155:3 159:11 160:7 162:8	195:24 239:15 272:15	3:8
7:19,24 320:1,1,7	164:19 165:10 166:11	obvious	offices
ntatz	167:18 174:17 175:15	159:17 183:24	2:16 10:16
5:10	176:11 177:24 178:23		oh
null	179:10 181:2 182:9,15	15:6 16:17 25:3 27:4 28:9	18:14 32:5 33:10 82:21
194:14 200:13 203:5	184:21 190:15 192:25	29:16 30:2 31:5,8 32:21	107:17 114:10,16 137:13
218:21 219:2,20 220:23	195:13 196:5,18 199:19	36:6 44:10 45:22 56:16	158:7 196:22 197:10
311:2	200:6 201:12,20 202:4,14	75:24 104:16 111:12	211:10 248:3 261:7 286:10
number	203:13 204:10,25 205:17	123:15 124:11,14,21 126:5	296:17 308:8,15 325:2
7:10 8:2 10:13 33:16,17,20	206:4,24 207:12 208:24	144:10 147:8 156:15	okay
39:10 40:7 49:6,18 61:24	212:17 213:1 214:4,25	159:14 165:23 166:3	12:11 13:11 14:9 15:3,10
62:2,3 63:1 64:16,19 65:1,2	215:12,21 216:9,14 217:3	195:16 200:22 219:6	16:13,24 18:23 19:1,14,21
65:3 66:24 67:10,11,15,19	217:11,24 218:17 219:15	223:15 226:23 229:19	21:16 24:8 25:6 26:1,11
72:17 79:21 93:1 114:9	220:17 221:12,18 223:24	245:6 259:1 269:9 273:13	27:21 28:3,11,18 29:1 35:3
130:1,21 131:15 132:13	224:12 225:4 229:8 230:2	278:4,25 280:22 285:1	35:10,14 36:18 37:18 40:22
139:3,9,10,25 140:4 148:23	230:22 232:10 237:8,18	287:5 301:22 303:12,17	40:24 43:3 45:17 48:1,3
196:6 218:3,4 233:10	238:0,23 241:13 242:3	306:2 313:5 314:20 326:8	49:15,25 50:3 51:17 55:21
250:18 255:25 258:5	243:1,18 244:5,25 245:15	occasion	56:6 57:23 58:20 59:18
259:11,23 260:4 279:24	247:13 248:19 249:4,16	62:11 63:6 64:13	60:3,18 61:3 62:7,16,21,25
321:22 324:23 326:9	250:19 251:23 252:17	occasions	63:7,21,24 64:8,20,22
327:25 328:19 332:25	253:7 257:25 260:8,15	312:20	65:21 66:1,9,16 67:13,20
	263:1,19 268:2,7 271:14		67:24 68:10 72:12 73:7
	278:15 287:11 290:2,24		

# [okay - paragraph]

okay (cont.)	okay (cont.)	opinions (cont.)	р
77:3,8,12 78:14,16 81:14	330:2,15 331:3,13,21	36:12 53:3 54:8 61:12	p.m.
85:23 86:17,22 87:3,14,15	ols	101:9 102:19 303:9,20	2:18 122:11 171:4 222:10
87:22 88:13,18 89:4 91:10	189:25 190:19 193:9	306:20 313:19	266:19 297:8 329:24
91:18,25 93:4,9 94:7,14	238:17	opposing	332:23 333:6
95:17 97:20 98:3,20 102:23		24:18 70:24 71:24	pace
105:12 107:4,13,17,24	159:4,23 198:6 204:4	opposite	280:2 296:10,10
108:7,8 109:13 112:13	224:20	95:23	page
114:8 115:19 122:5,22	ones	opt	7:10 8:2,6 9:4,11,16,21
125:12,19 126:24 129:23	101:5 223:20	101:22 110:17	19:5,15 20:11 27:7 86:23
132:11 135:8 137:25	ongoing	opted	86:24 87:7 91:3,9,19
138:22 140:13 142:16	299:17,19 300:21	158:12 <b>oral</b>	107:13 114:13,15 125:6
147:17,25 148:5,11 150:1 150:22 151:1 156:5 157:1	open 41:21 223:5 321:19	59:2,8	129:22 150:11 155:19,20
159:12 162:4,10,22 163:2	opening	orally	161:22 163:8,13,24,24
163:21,25 164:1,14 170:12	20:14 24:13 25:7,16,19,24	58:4,14	164:4 175:2 182:24 185:21
171:21 172:11,12 173:24	26:3 28:4,9,24 30:5,18	order	185:25 186:2 187:14
174:11 177:20 178:18	34:21 36:1 74:25 86:3	1:12 146:17 183:21 184:5	191:20 198:6,10 208:13
179:3 182:12 186:6,7,8,22	93:25 129:22 171:25	316:3,4 317:5 322:11,19,25	225:14 227:2,6,12,25 228:5
187:5,5,16 188:2,12 189:11		330:11	220.0, 11 229.12, 12 231.2,0
190:5,12 191:17 192:5,18	262:13 297:12 309:16	ordinary	234:23 236:1,15 246:19
193:20 194:1,6,19 197:6	332:5	189:25 190:19	249:25 251:3 253:22 256:9
198:5 199:1 201:4 203:10	operation	organization	265:1 274:15 275:10 279:8
203:25 206:14 208:17,18	282:24	301:17	279:23 283:8 284:7,11
212:3,22 213:24 216:4	operations	organized	290:12,15 293:9 303:22
217:13,17 218:5 219:9	299:18	53:21	308:3,10 309:13,15 322:5
221:24 222:5,8 224:6	opine	orient	pages
225:11,13 226:3,7 227:1	24:12 78:18 79:3,15 80:3	259:19	7:15,20,25 20:1,10
228:2,2,4,11,17 229:4	294:10 304:4 305:23	original	<b>paid</b> 271:12
231:5 233:12 237:2 239:6	311:24 312:11 313:2,18	109:19 258:4	panel
239:20 241:8,15,20,25	opined	outcome	241:10,11,21,22 243:8
242:4,7,12,17,22 243:3,8	305:25 306:1	109:24 145:18 205:19	314:4,10
243:13,20,23 246:10 248:3	opining	334:15	panoply
248:5,22 250:12 251:13	15:8 16:21 263:22 291:6,10		74:11 83:15
252:1,8,22 253:8,12 254:3	296:6 299:5 309:1,7 313:7	251:24 252:4,9 269:16	paper
254:8 255:8,18 257:21	318:12 320:14	outflows	68:7 104:11,14 112:17
258:4 259:11,21 260:3	opinion	250:22 251:7,12,17 252:14	117:6,15,18 118:3 128:18
261:1,6,15 262:2,6,15,20	14:18 16:12,14,23 17:8,24	255:20 263:6,6 265:13,14	135:3 136:8 137:14,14
263:21 264:1,7 265:25	18:1 25:7 34:8 35:20 43:4	265:22 268:13,15,22	139:17 146:16 147:8
266:13 267:2,8,12 268:5,19		269:11,15,17 274:7 286:21	209:10,24,25 210:3,18
269:3,14,19 270:2,8,10,21	45:25,25 48:17 52:8 65:12	286:25 287:5,9,13,15	211:12 240:14 316:11,13
271:6 272:5,11,24 279:7	65:18 67:6 73:7,12,20,21	314:13	316:25 317:13
281:2,20 282:10 283:7,13 283:14 284:15 285:19	74:2,16,18 77:9 80:19,23 81:2,6,10 85:19,24 91:16	outlier	papers
286:8,15 287:8,25 289:22	94:14 97:24 98:20 123:8,24	108:18 109:3	25:14,23 26:7 101:18
291:2,10,19 292:23 294:1	125:1 127:5,9 128:24 140:2		103:19 104:9,15 117:21
295:16 296:7,15,17 297:3	144:5 153:4,15,23 174:13	output	118:2,6,15 128:3,8,10,14
298:5,10 300:4 302:1,21	216:20 220:10 222:19	124:10 174:6 240:10	128:20 129:2,6 134:16,23
303:2,10,21 304:3 306:24	238:4 263:16 272:1,4 291:5		135:14,18 136:7 209:17,21
307:11 308:2,15 309:25	294:12 300:2,8,14,21 301:3	-	209:22 210:12,16,18,19
311:8,14 312:2 313:9,22	303:3 308:20,24 309:11	29:19 91:22 125:5 150:19	211:10,15 239:18
314:3 315:11,18,22 318:8	310:1,12 311:16 312:5	235:8 274:20 278:18	paragraph
319:10 320:11 321:2,7,12	313:3,13 319:13 330:3,19	overall	20:18,20 21:5,10,15,21,24
321:25 322:10,11 324:17	331:1,3,12,13,19,20	245:7	22:3,18 75:8,9 86:22 87:1,8
325:2,5 326:13,20 327:6,9	opinions	owned	87:19 91:2,19 107:25
327:13,15,21 329:9,18	16:2 24:8 28:5,19 29:5	46:12 276:7 277:23 297:19	109:12 125:1,10 126:2,6,8
,	·		129:19,21,24 131:19,19

# [paragraph - personal]

paragraph (cont.)	participants	pcs	period (cont.)
137:11,13 139:15 150:10	248:15 249:1,14 288:4	143:9 144:7	89:18,18 90:4,10,11,21
155:18 163:10,13,20 164:3	particular	peer	92:4,7,7 95:9 96:6 97:11,13
174:23 175:2,24 185:18,22	26:25 112:17 128:18 137:2	74:12 100:17,21 101:25	97:13 98:6 99:12 102:11,12
186:2,6,9,18,22 187:6,12	154:6 170:3 186:23 267:24	102:1,2,18 103:3,18 104:3	103:6 104:5,5,17 108:11
187:17 191:7,8,17,20,21	280:23 284:20 291:18,25	104:7,15 112:19 119:25	110:5,6,8,10,15,18 111:14
192:12,13,17,19,23 193:4,7		239:4	113:24 117:4 118:1,11
194:3,8,21 195:20 208:9,12		penalizes	124:3 129:7 133:7 134:20
211:19 225:21 226:2,4	particularly	138:11	135:5 137:23,24 142:7
227:8 228:1,23 229:6,10	167:14,15 210:15	pending	151:20 154:9 156:12,13,20
230:25 231:5 232:1 233:15	particulars	57:12	156:21 158:24 160:20,21
233:18 234:11,23 235:14	46:9	pennsylvania	161:6,14 162:5 166:13
235:19 236:1,6,15 245:19	parties	5:7	168:7 170:3 172:18,20
248:4 249:25 250:8 251:2	13:9 283:15 334:16	people	173:12 174:1,1,7,8,16
251:16 256:8 264:1,4,18	parts	15:12 47:1,5 56:20 131:21	175:4,4,7,12,14,19,19
265:25 270:2,5 271:16,25	307:23	188:21,23,25 266:9	176:1,2,5,6,8,13,13,21,23
272:5,8,13,15 273:18	party	people's	177:21,23 178:3,9,11,19,19
276:17,19 278:5 279:6,7	30:13 48:6 63:9,18 64:10	281:8	179:2,4,9,16 180:21 181:24
280:18 281:12,18 282:17	64:23 65:9 247:7,10 248:16		181:24 183:13,17,18,19,24
282:24 283:7,14 284:7,11	249:2,13,20,21,24 324:25	27:9 33:15,17,21 103:16	184:14,15,18 185:3,7 190:2
286:6,9,12,15 287:21 288:7		109:16 111:20 113:13	193:22,23 195:3 197:25
288:8,10 290:3 291:14,15	pass	123:7 124:3 148:17,18	198:11,17 199:3,6,22 200:2
292:7,11,14,15 293:9	18:11 239:12	168:9,9 175:6,8 178:20,25	200:4 212:1,7 214:2 215:11
297:16 298:25 299:8,12	passing	179:5 180:3,7,22 199:4	215:20 216:8 217:10,15,23
300:3 302:3,6,19,22 303:9	84:13	200:5,24 206:18,21 207:2,3	
303:21 304:18,25 305:12	passive	212:4,12,21,23 213:17,21	225:8 228:8,14 230:6,9,9
306:23 307:1,25 308:2,10	270:22	213:25 216:23 217:23	231:19,20,20 233:1,2
309:14,15 315:11,13,18	paul	218:10,15 219:9,13 220:3	237:11,21 241:1,3,10,12
316:17 318:11,15 319:7,15	5:15 13:8	220:12,16 221:16 226:12	242:7,14,18,24 243:10,16
319:24 320:16,20 321:25	paulweiss.com	232:22 242:25 243:16	251:14,19,20,22 252:21,25
322:4,5,7,10 324:3	5:21,22	265:3,5,9 281:14 317:24,24	
paragraphs	pause	318:13,17,18,24	257:5 259:25 260:13,17,19
21:15 284:19 291:25 292:3	47:21 137:8 250:5 253:21	percentage	260:23 265:4,16 268:5
parameter	270:7 274:13 283:12	46:25 47:10,15 48:12 63:1	279:15,22 280:8,11,15,22
316:5	285:12 304:2,15 319:11	178:1 179:1 190:8 321:15	280:23 284:21 285:6,16
parameterization	322:9	perfect	286:23 287:17,19 314:25
317:10	pc	232:13	319:14 320:15
parameters	114:1	perfectly	periods
221:17	pca	43:12 238:16	92:3,6 103:4 112:20 134:17
part	26:22 78:1,12,13,17 114:5	perform	167:15 169:11 174:15
16:12,14 17:24 19:10 20:6	114:18,23 115:3,4,23 116:2	<del>-</del>	176:17 182:13 183:10
46:22 65:18 66:17,22 73:1	116:5,13 118:19 119:4,23	95:11 100:15 151:13	257:6 287:4 292:17 293:17
74:18 77:8,8 78:7 84:6	120:7,10,18 121:15,22	160:16 161:10 186:10	permanent
89:24 113:23 114:1 123:25	130:23 132:7,8,21,25	214:21 215:19 217:8	93:15,18 94:23,25 95:3,19
124:5,11,21 143:12,18,19	133:10,21 134:18 135:24	231:10 256:24 316:14,22	97:17 305:6
143:21 144:19 145:6	136:4,8,16,22,24 137:4,14	performed	permit
154:10 157:24 172:3	139:17 141:7,8,13,18	13:7 25:15 26:12 28:18	58:22
174:11 188:12 189:14,24	144:15 156:7,19 157:9	29:4 36:11 61:10 70:8	permutations
190:1,18,21 191:16 192:21	158:24 159:17,21,25	88:14,24 89:5 91:12 94:10	111:15 113:11 181:18
230:13 234:2 239:18 240:7	160:15,17 161:1,7,11,19	98:21 99:6 101:12 245:25	person
240:8,9 245:6 250:23	164:24,24 165:25 167:5,19	performing	50:22 51:2,19 52:11,17
257:15 270:25 276:11	168:2,25 170:4 223:1	68:10 69:22 100:8	53:5 54:11 57:2 203:21
277:24 278:23 282:1,16	1	period	289:19 334:12
283:2,4 293:3 298:6 299:21	pcas 132:22 136:13 176:17	31:14 34:11 42:18,19 43:3	personal
304:17 306:17 330:10	243:6	43:24 44:15 49:8 70:16	49:19 55:2,3 301:15 311:25
334.17 300.17 330.10	240.0	75:6,24 77:23,24 80:9,12	313:7
		13.0,24 11.23,24 00.8,12	313.7

# [personally - price]

	1 .		ı
personally	please	pooling (cont.)	predict
86:11 99:22 100:6,9,13	11:2,25 23:6 59:4 106:24	300:5,11,15	180:2 205:15
perspective	107:16 115:13 191:18	pools	predicted
133:24 294:15 295:17	219:16 225:10 228:5 264:2	100:18 299:6 300:9	199:5 228:20,24 229:9
pertained 73:5	270:3 302:3 321:1 328:9	portion 9:15 33:24 87:11,15,20	230:25
	<b>plimpton</b> 2:17 4:3 10:17 39:19	1	preexisting 48:5
pertaining		91:8 123:6 127:4 291:8,11	
28:19 82:14 95:12	plic	297:13 299:12 300:20	premium
pfeffer 4:14	4:10	portions	266:23,25,25 267:3,10
ph.d.	plotting 229:2	291:17 292:5	preparation
1-	plural	<b>pose</b> 254:4	16:2 36:9 52:1,7 54:7 118:3 118:10
1:15 7:4,13,18,23 11:5 334:5	106:9,14		
		poses 182:22	<b>prepare</b> 28:17 174:12 321:4
phenomenon 230:18 232:7	<b>plus</b> 49:12 124:4 187:10 254:19		
		1-	prepared
phrase	254:22 255:2	60:7 305:2,8	18:24 25:23 26:8 35:25
14:7,16,25 16:16,17,19	point 25:0 20:5 44:40 70:20	positive	118:18 214:22,23
17:6,6,13 91:10 92:15	25:2 26:5 41:18 70:20	126:15,19 144:12 172:15	preparing
97:21 188:23 189:1 194:2,7		173:13 199:9,21 207:18	54:2 77:5 117:19 210:13
201:16 203:9 227:11 291:6			present
295:10 306:1,5 307:24	148:22 160:2 162:4 165:13		6:1 155:24 192:14 200:17
phrased	169:14 176:22 180:18	152:15 157:10 165:22	presented
17:4	182:17,21 183:3 184:10	223:6 237:4,16 289:24	309:16
physically	193:16,17,21 194:12 199:8		presents
240:21	199:20,22,23 200:1,1,8,11	38:23 39:10,15 40:16 64:18	
pick	200:14 203:16 209:25	71:20 72:10 84:12 97:4	president
252:1	212:1 215:6 218:1,8 219:7	103:5 127:18 146:12,25	50:25
picked	225:13,14 228:22 229:1,11	1 1	-
93:17 96:15 305:16	229:18 231:1 233:4 234:6	224:6,7 229:23 250:13	41:14 42:1,13,15 43:15
picking	236:11 247:11 253:18	252:22 311:8 331:14	45:3 46:2,6
96:7	258:13 273:18 274:24	possibly	pretty
picks	281:16,17 289:12 291:17	67:1	33:3 256:3
280:9	293:16 296:24 297:24	post	prevent
picture	306:16 317:15,16,25 318:3		12:12
245:7	318:7,18,19,22 319:1	potential	previous
place	pointed	33:17 119:13 179:18	28:22 78:7 112:24 271:7
233:4 246:3 263:15 284:6	227:2	222:25 266:25 318:16,25	322:23 323:10,17 324:7
289:5 308:8 334:9	pointing	potentially	previously
plain	108:14 328:24	167:6 244:16	314:16
190:13	points	power	price
plainer	20:23 211:16 233:11 236:2	123:17 138:13 177:23,25	32:14,19,25 33:16,17 54:16
144:3	236:7 256:7 259:3	232:20	71:4 72:16,19,21 73:10,25
plaintiff	policy	practice	74:22 75:7 76:1,4 78:19
1:5 2:5,16 3:4	85:8 301:14	11:17 124:22 185:11,17	79:4,17 80:4,13 85:16
plaintiffs	pool	199:12	89:17 90:10,17 91:6,20
63:6	270:20 272:2 273:23	practicing	93:18 94:3,21,22,25 95:20
plaintiff's	275:20 277:7 300:1	66:4	95:25 96:14 97:1,6,6,10,15
62:23 63:2	pooled	pre	97:17,19 98:10 99:2,2,11
planned	293:12 297:14 298:7	176:8 320:1	99:12,16 101:22 102:3
236:8	299:25	precise	104:20 105:14 106:6,18
plans	pooling	50:4	109:3,14 115:15 116:16
46:15 50:12	20:19 270:11,16,22,24	precisely	119:8 120:5,13,23 122:19
platform	271:2,8,11,19,21 281:2,7	251:15	122:24 123:6 125:2,4,22
267:14,25	281:11,21 282:5,12,19	preclude	126:8,18,19 127:4 128:24
platforms	283:1 297:11,24 298:1,2,14	1.	137:6,7 142:25 143:9,15
289:5	298:16,18,22,23 299:3,7,24	1	144:7,13,22 145:10,22
			, -,==

# [price - purchases]

price (cont.)	pricing (cont.)	produce	properly
146:11,24 148:4 149:6,7	224:2 244:16 245:3 263:4	174:1 184:18	56:3 101:19
150:3,4,6,7,9,16,18 151:8	295:11	produced	properties
151:15,22 152:15,15,16,23	primarily	55:24 124:1 240:7,8,11	137:7
152:24 153:2,6,16,17,20,21	1-	326:3	proposing
153:24,24 154:11,19,22	234:14	product	220:8
155:13 156:1 157:2 159:9	princ	51:14,21 54:14 55:15	proposition
160:25 164:15,16 165:7,14	139:9	206:16,20 326:2	99:17 149:21 182:2 287:3
167:8,11 168:3,21 176:1	principal	production	prostko
178:12,16,21 179:6,8	77:10,13 78:4,9,14 110:1	34:18 55:17	5:16 328:5
180:16,23 181:1,15,25	113:23 114:2,9,21 115:15	professional	protective
191:4,24 192:2,20 194:16	115:20,21 116:1,7 137:2,18		1:12
194:22 195:8 196:3 206:17	137:22 139:3,10 141:8,18	professor	proved
206:21 207:2,3,5,7 208:4,7	142:13,15,16,18,24 144:16	11:23 18:19 24:5 42:23	43:5 44:4 45:9
208:21 222:22 223:22	144:21 147:13 148:3	58:22 60:20 61:8 87:22	provide
224:10,16 225:16,24	151:11 157:2,22 158:2,5,8	107:10 122:15 163:19	12:15 25:6 36:18 37:1,7
231:21 232:4 233:3 234:6	158:10,14 159:7 160:4	166:17 171:24 222:19	53:2 55:7 57:6,13 131:3
234:13,20 235:1,2,7,9	169:1 178:13 195:5 199:5	231:10 232:23 239:6,14	137:1 143:1,7 146:12,25
236:5,8 237:14,20 238:20	principles	240:4,20 266:22 269:14	294:12
242:24 243:15 244:2,19	320:12	272:6 297:11 324:23 330:2	provided
252:24 253:1,3,13,15,23,25		331:23 332:3,12,17	34:18 53:12 56:20 149:14
254:2,19,19,22,22 255:2,2	11:17 31:6,18 32:7,8 34:2	profit	168:22 239:17 246:11
257:24 260:9,12,23 261:3,5	35:6 37:2,8,20,25 38:8,11	234:13 236:4 301:17	319:5 325:7 328:11
261:10,18,24 262:3,7,14	38:14,17 39:5,17,24 40:21	307:22	provides
267:15 268:1 283:4 292:19	40:24 41:6 42:24 43:3,14	profits	120:7,11
299:21 304:5,7,20 305:5,6	43:16,24 44:16,19 46:3	83:10 235:22 236:22	providing
305:17 306:2,2,7,9,10	61:19 63:7 72:4 78:17 79:2	270:18 271:4 283:5 298:4	14:18 17:9,24,25 43:11
307:4,18 308:7,18 311:10	79:14 80:18,22 81:1,5,9,9	298:21 299:16 300:1,20	44:14 45:18,24 50:16 51:12
311:11,17 312:7,18,22	85:11,15 105:10,13 106:5	305:19 307:12,16 330:6,23	73:12,21 74:2 85:24 263:16
313:14 316:8 317:5,18,19	107:21 108:20 111:21	program	272:4 291:4 300:14 309:11
317:24 318:2,4,12,16,20,21		8:4 241:19 274:19 328:12	313:3 331:11,13,19
318:25 319:2,16,25 320:4,6	-	programmatic	provision
320:10,13,17,22 321:4,6,11		30:20 247:21 283:24 284:2	286:4
321:15,23,24 322:21,22	priori	284:9,13,14,16 285:2 286:4	
323:9,10,20	205:21 206:9	288:9 299:13	2:20 153:13
prices	private	programmatically	publications
35:8 73:5 79:10 87:6,13	48:6 277:13 282:4	283:23 284:23 285:18	68:2,4,5 82:13
88:1 89:10 90:5 92:11	privy	programs	publicly
94:16 95:14 107:20 108:2,4		239:16 240:6	69:8,11 70:4 80:16,17
108:19 127:10 152:2 153:5	prob		pull
154:12,25 155:2 160:6	96:18	121:16 144:19	99:23 100:3,3 105:19
166:9 176:8 182:7,14	probably	projecting	131:23
235:16,24 236:22 244:22	67:19 76:25 77:2 79:25	120:18	pulled
253:10 254:21 255:13,15	303:24 322:6	projection	100:11
260:1,6 304:20 307:7	problem	115:24	pulling
309:17 310:3,13 316:15,23	51:9 89:3 96:18 98:8	prominence	101:14
320:5 321:10 324:1,15	proceed	184:2,7	purchased
pricing	11:3,9	promise	279:10 280:16
92:18 93:15 95:3 102:25	proceeds	271:4	purchasers
119:21 121:20 127:11,20	300:10	promoting	235:23 236:3,16 271:12
128:3,12 129:10 130:13,17	process	234:16	289:25 292:18 293:11,15
131:1 132:9,23 136:1,5,9	60:13,23 101:14,16 105:23	pronunciation	298:2,19 299:8 305:18,24
136:13 146:6 147:5 149:22	141:20 257:15	315:24	306:21,25
153:22 154:3 155:10,11	processes	propelling	purchases
157:5,6 158:17 159:18	312:13	236:20	282:25 319:14 320:15
165:14,21 167:20 168:14			
f.	i .		l l

# [purely - received]

purely	question (cont.)	ran (cont.)	reality (cont.)
123:12	188:12 196:19 198:25	104:22 105:17 112:9	235:6
purpose	201:14,15,22,24 203:18,24	200:21 205:18 247:20	realize
70:22,23 71:24 77:12 141:6		range	87:14
purposes	206:5,8 207:20 208:2	167:7 180:7 212:5,24	really
21:18 22:17 48:3 68:25	218:10 220:1,8 221:11	215:19 216:7 217:9,14	20:16,22 39:1 54:1 55:14
71:11 119:10 121:21	226:13 230:5,11 231:14	218:7,11 219:10 220:13	70:22 72:1 84:25 98:13
123:21 128:16,24 140:1	237:13 238:11 240:18	ranged	99:13,17 101:5 108:16
164:24 165:25 169:21	243:25 245:20 246:11	265:3,4	118:25 132:1 147:3 173:22
259:12 269:15 270:18	249:8,9 250:21 251:11,15	rank	180:9 204:4,15 205:5 296:4
276:11 277:14,15 313:2	253:25 257:3 258:23	165:25	297:25 326:7 329:1
pursuant	259:10 260:10 268:8,12	rate	reask
2:21 13:6 47:1 48:12 53:24	277:5 282:8 283:21 285:14	46:23 47:8 191:24 194:17	65:15 188:12 201:15 249:9
54:13,21 55:24 56:15	291:2,5 292:24 294:13,20	226:10	251:15 282:11
300:17 328:12	295:1,24 296:1 301:24	rates	reason
put	303:2 304:4 309:9,12 310:7	55:4	34:6 96:19,23 117:11 174:3
11:16 47:4 53:19 56:15	311:19,20,24 312:2,10,10	ratio	218:14 219:12,23
61:6 101:20 104:25 105:4	312:14 313:10,18,21	265:2,10	reasonable
125:4 144:2 150:18 171:6	315:22 316:18,19 319:9,17	1	305:19,23 306:20,25
205:21 210:24 239:11	320:3,24 321:3,21 322:5,17	1	307:12,15,21
247:2 257:20 299:24	323:4,25 325:11,19 327:22	1	reasons
316:24 332:8	329:1 330:10,18 331:2,10	126:2 171:14 194:19	32:12 34:12 76:14,15 111:2
puts	331:18	reaction	112:6 116:19 118:15
47:4	questioning	72:21	184:16 219:3
putting	61:5,5	reactions	rebounded
40:13 300:12,13	questions	32:23	253:2
q	42:4 60:11,21 70:25 71:21	read	rebuttal
qualification	78:23 101:6 119:13 137:16	23:20 24:24 25:1,2,5,19	7:17,22 24:5,13,14,23 25:4
47:12	147:7 158:16 331:22	37:23 40:25 41:2,3,7,17,19	25:7,20 26:6,8,13,17 27:17
	question's	41:20,22 42:5,8,11 43:15	28:3 29:10,11,15,15,25
quality 100:7,14 101:11	70:19 120:9	43:18 44:1,7,25 45:2,7 46:2	30:5 31:24 33:8,13 67:5
1	quick	46:6 76:18,21 77:3 91:11	68:8 106:5,19 107:9,11
quantum 288:21	68:6 222:3 236:14	104:3 107:24 118:5 150:13	174:20 182:25 221:24
	quickly	164:10,12 172:7 186:5	228:3 230:21 231:6 232:9
quarterly 209:24	131:6	192:15 202:3 208:20 227:6	237:3 238:2 279:1 285:4,21
question	quite	233:18 235:14 248:2 250:8	296:15,17 302:2,10,14
14:4 16:22,25 17:23 19:21	45:4 79:8 98:24 100:19	257:10 259:22 274:4	303:3,7,8,16,19 306:18
20:24 29:1 33:23 34:7 35:2	249:7 258:18 299:4	292:24 299:11 303:12,23	307:23 309:8 315:12
37:5 39:12 40:9 42:4,6,10	quotations	305:9 310:11 319:8,22	rebutting
43:22 44:8 48:4,10 49:13	292:12	322:10 323:18 326:14	28:6
57:12 58:11,23 62:5 63:12	quote	330:13	recalculated
63:15 65:15 66:20 71:5	235:17 236:9 283:18	reader	33:15,18
74:13 76:5 79:16 89:9	320:20 323:7,11	141:11	recall
92:10 94:1 95:8,12,16	quoted	readily	42:12 45:15 52:18 57:2,15
103:20 105:9 107:18,19	291:19	159:22 236:25	57:20 58:1,2 65:10 70:10
111:25 112:24 115:3 117:9	quotes	reading	71:13 72:5 78:10 80:1,14
118:10 120:2 122:22	234:8	27:12 30:2 38:5 41:16	94:6 108:23 111:24 113:16
123:11,12 125:13,14 127:7	quoting	42:13,15 44:9,21 46:8	114:8 201:3 206:19 250:11
128:22 139:12 141:23	87:10 125:1 304:25	87:15 101:17 106:5 125:9	258:1 265:12 268:19 275:4
144:2,20,25 145:3,5,6	r	180:1 187:5 192:18 202:1	276:9 317:3
144.2,20,25 145.3,5,6	raise	225:9 226:1 228:19 246:21	receive
152:9 158:2,7,17,18,25	83:12 247:25	274:17 293:10 303:24	50:12 58:3 271:13,19
160:15 165:13,17 167:23	raised	304:8 319:19 320:6 322:7	received
169:5 173:9 174:14 176:15		326:25 334:20	18:4 23:23 50:9 58:13,23
177:18 178:4 182:22 183:7		reality	59:2,8 61:11 70:6 107:1
183:8 184:24 185:1 186:3	32:9 35:5,15 36:2 101:1	87:5,12,23,25 234:15,24	239:8 272:2 283:15 297:14
100.0 104.24 100.1 100.3	JZ.8 JJ.3, 13 JU.Z 101.1		

#### [received - remember]

received (cont.)	reference (cont.)	reflective	related
298:6,8,18	274:14,23 278:1 279:23	133:11 155:10	25:15 37:2 65:15 66:10
receives	281:18,20 282:20 284:1,4	reflects	82:24 111:25 207:17
298:17	284:19 285:20,24 286:12	132:20	236:13 334:16
receiving	286:21 287:2 299:22	refresh	relates
57:15,20	304:12 306:24 307:21	156:18 291:24	24:18
recess	309:3 317:8 319:24 320:22	refuse	relating
59:22 122:8 170:15 222:12	referenced	217:1	83:6
266:17 297:6 329:21	20:5 47:10 75:10 88:9	regard	relation
recognize	89:21 106:1 162:2 192:16	100:5 205:11	119:8 149:11,23
18:21 241:9	192:22 193:7 195:19	regarding	relationship
recognized	229:10 272:17 278:4	27:17 29:5 34:19 60:22	124:25 125:25 137:18
236:22	315:25 316:11 320:15	73:8 128:11 326:14	142:13,17,19 143:14
recollection	references	regardless	144:21 145:1,9,22 146:3,5
25:17 36:25 38:24 39:4,15	272:18,20	63:18 165:2,2 295:18	148:3,14 150:3 154:25
39:23 40:3,16 41:13,15,16	referencing	regional	159:1 160:12 164:15 167:9
42:8,12,14,22 46:6,8 52:10	19:22,23 20:1,2 21:4 85:25	3:8	175:11 180:16 190:25
57:22 62:18 63:4 65:2 67:3	91:14 101:10 107:25	regressed	203:23 204:6 229:5 262:24
68:13,16 69:11 70:2,12	109:11 124:17 131:20	191:3	271:22 294:24 298:3
72:4,11 79:19 81:16 84:14	185:12 234:17 250:7	regression	306:19 324:7
84:23 86:20,21 156:18	272:21 274:22 281:19	8:5 78:5 115:14 140:18	relationships
182:11 258:3 268:21	292:1 310:16 311:7 320:4	147:12 166:4 171:25 172:8	147:6 300:16,17,19
277:12 285:23 291:24	referred	173:20 178:12 186:3,9	relative
record	138:22 192:11 297:25	189:7,14,15,18,25,25	178:7 181:11,14 182:4
10:6,25 11:16 12:1 15:15	referring	190:19,20 191:12,13,22	208:5 211:4
15:19 25:19 27:14 41:25	17:18 22:8 88:23 114:13,15		relatively
46:24 48:4 58:18,21 59:5	148:23,24 156:11 181:7	194:2,7 197:11,14,15	64:13,14,15 322:22 323:9
59:15,21 60:1,4 61:7 77:3	184:23 192:7 194:9 226:19	198:15 199:6 200:4,8,8	released
81:16 97:23 109:25 122:7	226:20,22,23 227:12,14,20	201:5,10,18 202:12 211:18	71:17
122:12 135:9 150:14	231:12 232:1 245:16,23	211:20 220:24 226:22	relevance
170:11,14 171:4,7 172:7	270:16 271:7 281:8,21	227:25 230:13,15 238:14	160:5
196:14 202:2 222:10,16	282:14 290:22 297:17	238:17 240:25 241:4,6,10	relevant
250:2 256:11,11,20,23	316:13	241:11 242:10,14,15,18,24	70:15,21 71:1,7,17,21
257:13,16 266:16,20	refers	243:5,9,15 322:12,15,18,24	
269:22,22 296:9 297:5,9	75:10	323:21,22 324:12,13	132:5,6 157:5 248:9,15
298:13,15 299:1 311:1	reflect	regressions	250:23 279:5,18 299:12
317:15 319:21 324:19	88:5 116:18 176:24 195:5	164:21 179:12 186:14,15	reliable
329:11,20,25 332:9,23	306:3,7,15 307:5	186:19 191:15 193:6	200:10,16 239:3 309:21
334:10	reflected	209:16 268:4	relied
recordkeeping	54:3 110:2 114:5 121:7	regression's	34:24 36:6 102:9 236:3
50:6	139:4 143:17 149:12	191:6	relies
records	151:18 161:18 168:22	regulation	233:24
50:1,4	178:3 179:24 180:4 182:3	82:21,25 83:2,5,14 84:4,7	rely
refer	199:12 216:11 223:6	84:20	34:11 130:18 216:18
12:23 17:22 87:4 89:2	227:17 229:25 230:20	regulations	remain
143:11,21,22 156:5 255:23		85:6	195:8 207:4
264:17 307:11,15 317:9	268:23 269:25 275:6 277:3		remaining
reference	280:4 286:22 287:1 288:8	4:12	180:25 187:6 192:1,20
15:6 20:6,9,22 21:14 87:11	289:8,14 292:10 300:3	reiterate	194:21 195:7 196:3 273:2
88:7 90:1,6 91:5,11 92:2,5	303:9 306:23 307:4 314:9	48:11 152:8 153:9 154:2,17	
92:15 100:4 106:2 111:3	314:14 315:9 326:6 329:2	254:21	remains
113:5 115:22 117:9 136:23		reject	229:16
137:10 140:23 143:25	27:16 55:14 124:21 136:25	123:12 194:14 221:1	remember
145:13 179:17 193:12,13	155:16,17	relate	13:2,10 25:22 33:10 38:5
195:18 223:14 231:9 232:2		45:19 246:11	40:13 44:23 52:12 53:7
251:16 265:15 271:1	326:11		80:5,6,6,10 84:21 113:8

# [remember - returning]

remember (cent)	report (cent)	requires	result (cont.)
remember (cont.) 163:7 184:4 190:11 230:24	report (cont.) 228:3 229:7 230:11,21	195:16,17	117:14 145:8 185:3 195:22
284:25 321:21	231:3,6 233:5,9,13 234:10	reran	195:24 196:13 198:1,14
remembered	234:11 236:24 237:3 238:2	26:24 263:9	203:16,17 204:7,22 208:1
33:11	239:19 240:9 244:17	rerun	229:25 234:7 238:3 271:21
remembering	245:12,17 246:17 250:20	105:7	resulted
39:2 42:19	250:23 263:16 270:3	research	181:25 304:6 305:16
remind	272:23 273:20 274:16,17	100:21 102:1,18 103:8	resulting
125:6,8 269:14	279:4,19,20 285:2,4,25	104:3 105:20 119:13 120:2	97:5 234:19
remittance	286:5 293:10 296:3,16	128:22 146:16 147:4,9	results
30:6	297:12 302:2,8,10,24 303:5	,	30:18,18 31:20,21 76:11
remote	303:7,8,12,16,17,19,20	136:17	103:14 110:14 112:6,23
3:1 4:4,14,15 5:6,16,17 6:3	304:8,10 305:12 306:18	reservation	142:8,9 149:4 150:1 155:17
6:4	307:11 309:8,17 310:19	61:6 332:13	155:23 160:17 161:11
renders	314:15 315:12 319:5 320:7	reserve	174:2 179:24,24 180:1
98:11	323:6,12,13,18 332:5	61:14 332:9	186:19 189:14 192:14
repeat	reported	reserved	198:12 203:22 213:20
19:9 29:1 37:5 152:20	1:23 41:13 108:5 142:9	246:22 258:7	239:17 241:2 247:23
173:8 201:8,24 205:3 217:4	262:14 288:12 289:1,1,10	resides	252:20 263:14 299:7
248:23 319:17 325:15	314:12 334:10	116:4	retained
rephrase	reporter	residual	12:15,18,25 36:18 37:1,7
185:24	11:1,8 18:15,17 92:4 123:3	188:3,4,16,18,19,24 189:1	39:6,14,18,25 40:10 46:22
replaced	131:7,10 187:24 201:8	residuals	61:22 62:1,11,22 63:2,6,8
205:14	233:21 248:20,23 252:11	201:5,10,14	63:13,17,19,21,25 64:2
report	310:4 325:14 334:11	respect	65:4,8 85:12 333:2
7:12,17,22 17:18,22 18:23	reporters	26:16 27:3,12 28:11 30:1,4	retention
20:14,17 22:12,16 24:6,13	10:21	30:9 42:5,15 88:24 109:4	37:3,8,20 38:1,8,11,14,17
24:13,20 25:3,5,7,16,19,24	reporting	141:21 166:8 212:23	39:5,17 40:11,24 43:4,14
26:3,9,13,17 27:8,15,18,20	45:6 185:15 321:22 333:2	263:17 278:12,13 281:20	43:16,25 44:16,19 46:4
28:2,4,9,13,17,24 30:5,6,18		293:19 303:3 311:22 318:10	return
30:19 31:2,2,7,7,11,12,16	24:14,18,23,25 29:15 54:3 61:13 154:16 174:21		26:19,23,25 32:10,14 34:9
31:19 32:8,12 33:13 34:12 34:22,25 36:1,7,8 52:1	203:21 215:2,2 240:2	respective 334:17	35:19,19 36:7 72:16 76:1 80:13 91:6,20 93:20 96:4,9
55:17 56:2,8 59:10 60:13	246:25	respectively	100:18 102:3 105:5 109:11
60:23 74:25 76:15 77:5	represent	164:7	109:17,17,22,22 110:14,17
86:4 88:3,8,14,25 93:25	48:15 188:3 239:14 245:9	response	115:15 116:15 117:3
101:2,5,9 102:20 103:22	representation	152:9 174:19 183:7 208:2	120:23 122:24 123:6 125:2
105:11 106:6,10,20,21	40:21 280:24	263:8 294:21	126:19,21 127:4 129:4
107:9,11,14,22,25 108:20	represented	responsible	143:15 148:7,18 150:16
109:7 110:13,13,18 111:5	154:7 240:4	54:15,19,20	151:22 157:15 158:4
111:16,21 112:7,11,25	representing	responsive	160:25 178:13,16 179:6,8
117:16,19 118:4,4,10,16	38:18	233:13 268:11	180:3,10,15,16,23 181:1,15
127:12,19 128:9,11 129:22	represents	rest	187:7 188:3,16,24 189:1,4
130:22 132:12 133:5,17	173:5,5 181:11 182:4	288:17	189:5 194:16 195:2 199:3,4
136:3,20 137:1,16 138:16	211:21,21	restart	206:23 207:11,15 208:7,21
141:6 143:5,6,25 144:4	reproduce	188:8	209:6 223:17 224:4,16
145:18,21 147:11 149:6	181:23	restate	226:9 227:15,16,18,20
150:6,11 151:19 154:1	reproducing	68:22 104:14 330:18	228:7,13,21,24 229:2,10
155:19 160:24 161:18	241:16	restrict	230:6,7,15,25 232:16 241:3
164:20,21 168:7 169:14	request	166:21	242:24 243:15 253:16
171:25 172:3 174:20,24	9:20	restriction	254:2,16,23 255:4,5 267:21
175:17 177:2 182:25	requested	205:22	310:21 322:14 323:24
184:11 185:9,19 186:12	334:21,21	restricts	324:4,7,8
189:12 191:8,11 209:18	require	166:23	returning
210:14 215:1 223:13,15	331:6	result	20:24 33:24 101:8 126:24
224:1 225:7,15 226:17		54:3 111:18,18 113:12	285:4
	I	I	I

# [returns - sales]

282.13.11.3.14,16.54.11.1 34.20.13.51.70.15.17.1 71:16.72.71.9.75.16.17 77:16.72.71.9.75.16.17 77:16.72.71.9.75.16.17 77:16.72.71.9.75.16.17 77:16.72.71.9.75.16.17 78:20.79.4.1.78.04.99.02.4 90:24.93.21.22.94.3.96.4.5 90:12.2.10.15.16.10.22 100:12.109.4.18.116.17 128.16.13.31.140.19.19 142.25.14.25.12.25.12.26.10.10.12.16.10.3.3.18 104.37.15.11.25.10.22.12.26.10.3.3.18 104.37.15.11.26.10.10.23.23.14 147:13.14.14.8.4.15.14.97.77 151.23.8.14.16.152.11.24 152.51.33.26.15.15.21 152.21.19.9.25.173.2 159.9.10.14.16.41.51.61 167.11.168.3.21.16.97 727.11.9.9.25.173.2 177.15.19.17.66.2.11.79-16 182.24.190.9.191.12.4.24 180.9.2.13.16.10.22.21.10.1.0 179.22.20.19.10.1.0 179.22.20.19.10.1.0 180.22.22.22.22.31.12.3 224.10.14.22.51.38.16 209.2.13.16.10.22.21.12.3 236.63.9.18.16.20.51.20.24 229.9.2.23.12.23.3 236.73.75.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.16.238.1.25.01.3 258.12.30.24.25.13.8.16 238.12.36.24.22.22.22.23.12.23 238.10.14.22.22.25.13.8.16 238.12.24.24.24 239.24.23.12.13.23.21.9 233.8.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.16.238.1.25.01.3 247.12.2.22.22.22.31.12.3 248.13.14.22.21.12.32.21.9 233.8.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.15.23.32.16.9 238.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.15.23.32.16.9 238.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.15.23.12.13.22.19 233.8.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.15.23.2.19 238.23.87.9.10.237.56.11 237.14.15.23.2.19 247.15.25.3.27.3.8 248.12.12.20.22.25.25.82.34.16 247.25.20.22.25.26.26.16 252.25.26.27.30.22.25.26.16 252.25.26.27.30.20.24 269.10.24.26.25.10.24.26.25.10.22.26.25.26.2	-	ı	1	
3.42.02.1 36.1 70.15 71.7 77.8 20.07 93.4 17.8 04.9 90.24 93.12.9 94.9 24.9 90.24 93.12.2 94.3 94.5, 14.9 10.16.17.2 11.1 11.25 10.2 1.2 10.3 1.2 10.1 12.5 10.2 1.2 10.3 1.2 10.1 12.5 10.2 1.2 10.3 1.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2 10.2		review	ripple (cont.)	romanette
711-16 727, 19 75-16, 17 78-20 79-4/ 78-04-9 90:24 39:24, 29 43:21, 22 94:39:54. 90:24 93:21, 22 94:39:54. 90:24 93:21, 22 94:39:54. 90:24 93:21, 22 94:39:54. 90:24 93:21, 22 94:39:54. 90:24 93:21, 22 94:39:54. 101:25 102:11, 28 19:103:31. 101:25 102:11, 21 91:17:20 142:25 143:91, 61 447.71 142:64 150:10, 23.23. 147-13, 14 1484. 15 149:77 149:23 150:33, 46, 7, 18 151:23, 31, 41, 61 52:11, 124 155:13 156:11 1572:158:21. 159:91, 10, 14 164-15, 16 167:11 168:32 1169:7 177:15, 19 176:86, 21 179-16 182:4 190:91 191:12, 424 189:22, 201:14, 125:14, 124 189:22, 201:14, 125:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 189:22, 201:14, 125 199:22, 201:14, 125 190:23, 125 190:23, 125 190:24, 125 19		-		
78:20 79:4,17 80:4,9 90:24 99:1,22 10:31:5 105:2 10:12 10:12 20:43 354:5,111 128:18 133:1 140:19,19 142:25 143:9,16 144:7,11 144:12.22 145:10,10,23.23 17:13 144:146:15:15 167:11 168:3,21 169:7 172:1.1,9,9,25 173:2 177:15,19 178:6,22 1179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4.24 192:2,22 193:2,22 193:2,22 177:15,19 178:6,23 1179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4.24 192:2,22 193:2,23 193:3 198:16 205:15 208-4 181:2,23:14,16 222:1,13,13 24:10,22 222:22 223:12,23 244:10,4 1222:1,38 23:15 224:10,1 4222:1,38 23:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 245:13 324:13 245:13 324:13 247:25 179:15 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:28 310:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 170:13 324:13 3		The state of the s	1 1 1	
99:1,22 103:15 105:2 106:12 109:4,18 116:17 125:4 126:8,12 14 128:11 128:16 133:1 140:19,19 142:25 143:9,16 144:7,11 144:12,22 143:9,16 144:7,11 144:12,22 143:9,16 144:7,11 144:12,23 143:1,18 149:7,17 149:23 150:3,46,7,18 151:2,3,8,14,16 152:1,1,24 169:23 169:1,10 146:4,15,16 167:11 168:3,21 169:7 171:1,19,9,25 173:2 177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:22,25 195:8 196:3 198:16 205:15 208:1 209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 182:18 123:13 23:13 224:10,14 225:13,8,16 229:24 23:11,2,13 23:2:19 123:28 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 223:28 233:7,9,10 237:5,11 232:18,18,23 234:5,5,11,11 24:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:1,18 232:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:1,18 232:15 183:21 176:25 177:16 180:5 233:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:1,18 232:15 183:21 176:25 177:16 180:5 233:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:18 232:15 189:10 269:10 279:26 23 122:18 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:15 279:16 279:17 299:16 220:17 289:17 239:12 297:10 228:11 289:10 289:10 289:10 289:17 234:12 182:11 289:18 234:11 289:18 2				
991.2.2 103:15 105:2 106:12 1094.18 116:17:1 125:4 128:18 103:14 10:19:19 100:16,17:2 1 125:4 128:8,12,14 128:11 101:25 102:1,2 18 103:3,18 104:22 145:10,10,23.23 104:3,16 154:1,7:1 119:25 1867.239:4 268:16 126:22 25 274.78 275:14 11,17.72 179:23 150:3,46,7,18 151:2,3,9,14 16 152:1,14;1 120:19 17:20 179:15 199:7 171:1,19.9,25 173:2 177:15,19.19.25 173:2 177:15,19.19.25 173:2 177:15,19.25 173:2 179:15 199:7 179:25 198:2 179:15 198:25 198:2 179:15 198:25 111,14,17 179:2 179:23 150:3,23,17:15 199:7 179:25 179:25 198:2 179:15 199:7 179:25			1	
106:12 109:4, 18 116:17   125:4 186:12 107:25 126:8 125:4 125:4 126:8 124 128:1	1			
125.4 126.8, 12, 14 128.11   101.25 102.12, 18 103.3, 18   286.13, 20.23 269.5 272.2   19.25 143.9, 16 144.7, 11   144.12, 22 145.10, 10, 23, 23   104.23 39.2.7   149.23 150.3, 46, 71.8   151.23, 14, 16 152.1, 12, 44   155.13, 156.1 157.2 158.2   159.9, 10, 14 164.15, 16   167.11 168.3, 21 169.7   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   172.11, 19.9, 25 173.2   18.10 2.11, 19.10 16.1	1 1		I · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
128:18 133:1 140-19.19   144:37,15 112-19 117-20   179:25 138:2 15-13 15-13 15-13 12-25 12-25 15-33 16-2 16-3 17-13 14 1484; 15 149:27 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 150:34,16,16 152-11,124   179:25 15-13 15-1				
144:12.22 145:01.02.3.23 147:13,14 148:4,15 149:7,7 149:23 150:3,4,6,7,18 151:23,8,14,16 152:1,1,24 155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22 175:13 156:1 157:2 158:22 177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16 187:11 168:3,21 169:7 172:1,1,9,2 25 173:2 177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:12,2,4,24 192:2,22 132:3 192:2,22 172:3 192:2,22 172:3 192:2,22 172:3 193:2,4 174:10 121:12,2 222:2,2 223:1,2 233:8 138:7,13 232:19 241:0,14 225:1,3,8,13 244:10 4225:1,3,8,15 228:1,1,4,17 286:2,4 232:1,3,18 323:15 233:1,4,18,2 323:15 234:1,4,10,4,1,4,1,4,1,4,1,4,1,4,1,4,1,4,1,4	1 1			
144:12.22 145:10,10,23,23   270.8   277:3,9,11,11,14,17,22   315:19,23 66:1   285:12,38,14,16 152:1,124   revoked   285:12,38,14,16 152:1,124   revoked   285:12,38,14,16 152:11,124   revoked   285:12,38,14,16 152:11,124   revoked   285:12,38,14,16 152:11,124   revoked   285:12,38,14,16 152:11,124   revoked   285:12,225:284:8,16,22   285:15,17,22,24 286:1,12   286:16,22 287:3,13,22   285:	1			
147:13,14 148:4,15 149:7, reviewing 149:23 150:3,4,6,7,18 151:23,3,6,14,16 152:1,1,24 155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22 159:9,10,14 164:15,16 167:11 168:3,21 169:7 172:1,1,9,925 173:2 177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:22,25 195.8 196:3 198:16 205:15 2084 192:2,20 194:22,25 195.8 196:3 198:16 205:15 2084 1292:2,21 194:22,25 195.8 196:3 198:16 205:15 2084 1292:2,21 10,14 225:1,3,8,16 226:14,16 227:15 292:4 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 2357.9,10 237-5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 222:13,18 233:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 172:18:20 141:15 142:3,14 182:10 184:4 186:5,24 187:9,14,21 188:21 189:9 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 193:24 194:11 201:23 170:40 196:15 17				
149:23 150:3,46,7.18 151:23,81 4,16 152:11,24 155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22 189:9,10,14 164:15,16 167:11 168:0,21 1697; 172:11,19.9,25 173:2 177:15,19.725 2598.4 198:2,20 194:22,25 198:8 196:3 198:16 205:15 208.4 199:2,20 194:22,22 191:10 229:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 229:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 229:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 229:2,22 232:12,23 224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 229:2,22 232:12,23 224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 229:2,22 231:12,3 8,16 229:2,22 231:12,3 8,16 229:2,22 231:12,3 8,16 229:2,22 231:12,3 8,16 229:2,22 231:12,3 8,16 229:2,22 231:13,8 3,16 237:14,17 262:4,322:1,3 8,333:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 255:13 256:17 255:11,14,17 262:2,432:13,18 333:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 275:10 184:4 186:5,24 47:725 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 98:10 277:6 96:15  288:12 288:14 225:12 288:14 282:15 225 283:17 288:14 282:14 288:14 282:25 288:32 248; 142 288:14 382:10 288:16,22 25 782:3,13,3,22 288:15,17,22,24 286:1,12 288:16,22 287:3,13,22 288:14,14,22 1289:4,7,17 299:6,16,20 300:5,9,17 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:23 304:19 309:18 302:24 31:12,19,20 31:38 324:14 289:14 302:21 303:22 324:14 323:22 39:38 324:14 324:13 324:14 324:13 324:14 324:14 308:14 309:14 325:14 324:14 308:14 309:14 325:14 324:14 308:14 309:14 325:14 324:14 308:14 309:14 325:14 308:14 309:14 309:12 309:14 309:18 309:10 309:18 301:32 309:19 309:18 309:18 309:10 309:18 309:18 309:19 309:18 309:10 309:18 309:18 309:19 309:18 309:10 309:18 309:18 309:19 309:18 309:19 309:18 309:10 309:18 309:18 309:19 309:18 309:10 309:18 309:18 309				1
1512,3,8,14,16 152:1,124   revoked   155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22   155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22   166:1   rigel   285:15,17,22,24 286:1,12   285:15,17,22,24 286:1,12   285:14,14,21 289-4,7,17   286:16,22 287:3,13,22   288:1,4,14,21 289-4,7,17   289:1,12,12   148:5 326:14,16 327:3,10   327:19 180:8   760w   148:7 326:14,16 327:3,10   327:19 180:8   760w   327:19 180:8   760w   327:19 180:8   760w   327:19 180:8   760w   327:19		_	l ·	·
155:13 156:1 157:2 158:22   159:9,10,14 164:15,16   167:11 168:3,21 169:7   172:11,19,9,25 173:2   177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16   182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:22,25 195:8   196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4   178:16   182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:22,25 195:8   176kind   209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10   211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23   35:6 39:13 418 77:10,15   799:8,8 33:10 86:6,15 87:2   324:10,14 225:1,3,8,16   226:14,15 227:15 229:24   110:3,15 133:18,24 119:10   233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11   237:14,16 238:1 253:13   138:20 141:15 142:3,14   144:17,22 159:4 163:12,22   192:4 198:16 824 189:9   190:10 191:0 192:24 193:3   244:12 245:13,28   193:24 194:11 20:23   193:24 194:15 225:3 273:8   247:25 25 321:3 8   247:25 25 25:17   248:24 245:1,22,22 251:2   290:23 300:19 300:9 300:13   277:15 226:24 302:13 300:19 300:9 300:13   187:9,14,21 188:21 189:9   190:10 191:0 192:24 193:13   247:25   256:17 220 284:23   193:24 194:13 20:23   247:15 225 32 273:8   247:12 225 23:273:8   247:			1	
1599,10,14 164.15.16   16721   168.71   168.71   169.71   178.62   177.15.19 178.62.1   179.16   182.4   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   178.24   190.9 191.12, 24.2   178.24   1				_
167:11 168:3,21 169:7 172:1,1,9,9,25 173:2 176:6 177:15,19 176:6,21 179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 199:2,90 194:2,25 195-8 196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4 209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 211:2,2 22:222:2212,23 224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 226:14,15 227:15 229:24 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 return's 253:23 183:21 reversal 98:10 reversion 99:16 26:17 29:18 24:18 28:29 290:23 300:19 300:9 307:1  17:27:4:26:3 10:11 30:12 37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8 42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:24 97:6 42:18 28:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:5 99:15 126:17,21 320:11 320:11				
172:1,1,9,9,25 173:2 177:15,19 178:6,21 179:16 182:4 190:9 191:1,2,4,24 192:2,20 194:22,25 195:8 196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4 1209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23 224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 226:14,15 227:15 229:24 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 2eturn's 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 255:23 190:10 191:6 182:24 193:8 190:10 191:6 182:24 193:8 190:10 191:6 182:24 193:8 190:10 191:6 182:24 225:18 98:10 2eversal 98:10 2eversal 98:10 2eversel 76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:1,10 2everted 98:15 172:7 74:2 6:3 10:11 30:12 175:7 99:15 126:17 181:21 135:21 290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1 332:11 320:11 32		_		
177:15.19 178:6.21 179:16   326:17.21   182:4 190:9 1911,2.4;24   1912;2.20 194:22,25 195:8   196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4   1919;1 12.24   2919;1 12.24   292:12 210:1,10   211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23   224:10,14 225:1,3.8,16   226:14,15 227:15 229:24   292:4,0.14 225:1,3.8,16   226:14,15 227:15 229:24   293:1,21,3 232:19   233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11   237:14,16 238:1 253:13   232:19   10:3,15 113:18,24 119:10   233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11   236:14,17   244:17,22 1594 163:12,22   292:10 93:2,6 94:2,15   95:13 98:22 997;10 125:5   150:19 180:24 230:1 234:4   234:15 235:23   187:9,14,21 188:21 189:9   167:4 172:25 178:16 180:5   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   235:23   193:24 194:11 201:23   247:25 242:15,19 241:24 242:15,22 225:12   248:15,22 242:15,25 243:17   244:24 245:1,22,22 25:12   276:6 93:15,19 94:23,24   96:1,10   96:15   reversed   96:1,10   96:15   reverted   97:6   97:5   99:15 126:17 180:29 32 32 5   230:11 80:23 32 32 5 25:19 54:5 313:4   232:14 88:9,17 290:16   232:14   233:19   223:3,16   232:14   233:19   233:19   233:19   233:19   233:19   233:19   237:19   299:6,19 200:23 300:19 306:9 307:1   313:24   241:12 242:15,19 242:15   241:12 242:15,19 243:19   241:12 242:15,19 243:19   241:12 242:15,19 243:19   241:12 242:15,19 25:18   241:12	·			148:7 326:14,16 327:3,10
182.4 190.9 191:1,2,4,24       192:2,20 194:22,25 195.8       rifkind       5:15       302:23 304:19 309:18       5:17 256:17       rubbing         196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4       right       181:2 21:18 23:17 32:13       302:23 304:19 309:18       310:1,11 311:16,21,21       312:4,6,12,19,20 313:8       315:6 319:16 322:21 323:8       44:13 152:14       rule         226:10,104 225:1,3,8,16       79:6,8 83:10 88:6,15 87:2       89.4,7 90:18 102:21 103:20       103:20 112:21 103:20       103:20 113:24 112:22       112:22 12:21 323:8       110:3,15 131:31 824 119:10       324:14       122:20 125:17,23 126:5       99:122 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15       99:6,20,25 92:11 102:4,15       109:6,20,59 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15       129:12 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15       129:12 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15       129:12 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15       129:12 92:10 93:2,6 94:2,15	1 1 1 1 1	326:17,21	, ,	
199:2,20 194:22,25 195.8   196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4   209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10   211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23   224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16   205:4,15 229:14   229:14,15 227:15 229:24   229:24 231:12,13 232:19   233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11   237:14,16 238:1 253:13   238:15   235:14   110:3,15 113:18,24 119:10   212:20 125:17,23 126:5   138:20 141:15 142:3,14   144:17,22 159:4 163:12,22   150:19 180:24 230:1 234:1   232:13,18 323:15   323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11   324:13   182:10 184:4 186:5,24   234:12 232:25 323:8   193:24 194:11 201:23   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3   237:6,12,12,14   237:4,12,14   248:12,12,15 276:1,12,14   248:12,12,15 276:1,12,14   248:12,15 276:1,12,15   276:1,12,14   248:12,15 276:1,12,14   248:12,15 276:1,12,15 276:1,12,15   276:1,12,15 276:1,12,15   276:		rifkind		rubbing
209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10 211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23 35:6 39:13 41:8 77:10,15 224:10,14 225:1,38,16 226:14,15 227:15 229:24 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 26:2:4 232:13,18 233:15 323:16,18,23 324:55,11,11 324:13 252:13 823:15 253:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 253:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3 193:24 194:11 201:23 266:25 263:17 286:16 275:12,15 276:1,12,14 289:17 237:18 188:21 183:21 1	192:2,20 194:22,25 195:8	5:15	302:23 304:19 309:18	
211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23 224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 226:14,16 227:15 229:24 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 return's 253:23 47:25 reversal 98:10 reversed 183:21 reversed 196:15 reverted 96:15 reverted 96:15 reverted 97:6 84:14:18,23 324:18 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:11 320:12 320:13 320:13 321:8,16 320:13 320	196:3 198:16 205:15 208:4	right	310:1,11 311:16,21,21	rule
224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16 226:14,15 227:15 229:24 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 27eversal 98:10 27eversion 96:15 27eversion 96:15 27everted 135:21 27everted	209:2,13,16,19,22 210:1,10	18:12 21:18 23:17 32:13	312:4,6,12,19,20 313:8	44:13 152:14
226:14,15 227:15 229:24 229:24 231:12,13 232:19 110:3,15 113:18,24 119:10 233:8 235:7, 9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 324:13 return's 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 187:9,14,21 188:21 189:9 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 127:15 225:3 227:3,8 247:25 reversal 98:10 reverse 183:21 reversed 196:1,10 reversion 96:15 reverted 96:1,5 reverted 97:6 84:14:8 65:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:6 84:14:8 65:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21 191:24 198:16 225:18 262:19 54:5 313:4	211:2,2 222:22 223:12,23	35:6 39:13 41:8 77:10,15	315:6 319:16 322:21 323:8	run
229:24 231:12,13 232:19 233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 return's 253:23 reversal 98:10 reverse 183:21 reversed 76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:1,10 reversion 96:15 revertd 135:21 135:21 135:21 135:21 320:11 230:11 230:11 231:11 82,13 13:18,24 119:10 122:20 125:17,23 126:5 138:20 141:15 142:3,14 144:17,22 159:4 163:12,22 112:1,5 113:2,9,16 125:2 112:15 139:22,97,10 125:5 95:13 98:22 99:7,10 125:5 95:13 98:22 99:7,10 125:5 150:19 180:24 230:1 234-4 150:16 166:4,4 205:19 127:18 128:17,23 129:14 150:19 180:24 230:1 234-4 122:20 238:3 310:13 330:13 330:13 330:13 330:23 330:13 330:23 33	224:10,14 225:1,3,8,16	79:6,8 83:10 86:6,15 87:2	324:14	31:3,3,12 32:13 69:9 70:18
233:8 235:7,9,10 237:5,11 237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 324:13 324:13 324:13 325:21 325:25 32 325:23 325:23 325:23 325:23 327:14,12 128:20 325:25 322:3,18 325:23 327:15 25:32 327:15 244:24 245:12,22 25:12 326:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 324:13 325:21 325:25 32 325:28 325:28 327:25 172:26 185:2 327:26,10,17,19,20 238:3 327:16,10,17,19,20 238:3 327:16,10,17,19,20 238:3 327:16,10,17,19,20 238:3 327:16,10,17,19,20 238:3 327:10,221 235:25 263:17 268:16 327:12,15 256:31 226:3 227:3,8 241:22 242:15,25 243:17 327:15 225:3 227:3,8 241:22 242:15,25 243:17 327:15 328:12 260:1,20,24 262:18 329:23 300:19 306:9 307:13 329:23 300:19 307:13,16 330:21 331:22 147:18 148:6,7,14 324:10 414:10 212:25 329:16 240:6 243:5 329:23 300:19 306:9 307:13 329:24 194:11 20:25 329:25 300:19 300:19 300:19 300:19 300:19 300:19 300:19 300:	· ·	89:4,7 90:18 102:21 103:20		
237:14,16 238:1 253:13 254:17 255:11,14,17 262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13 return's 190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8 193:24 194:11 201:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 193:24 194:11 201:23 197:15 183:21 195:13 98:22 99:7,10 125:5 150:19 180:24 230:1 234:4 234:12 235:2,8,11 236:8,19 235:7 301:7 309:17 309:17 310:3 237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3 237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3 237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3 237:625:263:17 268:16 262:25 263:17 268:16 275:12,15 276:1,12,14 282:1 288:9,17 299:16 300:20 305:19 307:13,16 300:20 305:19	· ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
254:17 255:11,14,17				
262:24 322:13,18 323:15 323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11 324:13  return's 253:23  reveral 47:25  reversal 98:10  reverse 183:21  reverse 183:21  reversed 76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:15  reverted 96:15  reverted 135:21  reverted 136:25:25:38:15 4:1:18  reverted 241:12 22:33:125  rise  99:17 234:72 235:16  rising 323:20  risin 131:22 147:18 148:67,14  148:17 156:14 157:13,21  158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24  160:9 179:19 180:10,14  223:3,16  sake 218:10  236:25 288:9 289:6 297:15				
323:16,18,23 324:5,5,11,11     182:10 184:4 186:5,24     237:6,10,17,19,20 238:3     310:13       324:13     187:9,14,21 188:21 188:9     244:1 245:10 247:5 251:6     324:12 247:5 251:6       return's     190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8     244:1 245:10 247:5 251:6     33:25 34:19 109:21 110:21       reveal     206:25 211:18 213:13     275:12,15 276:1,12,14     282:1 288:9,17 299:16     33:25 34:19 109:21 110:21       47:25     217:15 225:3 227:3,8     241:22 242:15,25 243:17     282:1 288:9,17 299:16     30:20 305:19 307:13,16       97:15     244:24 245:1,22,22 251:2     300:20 305:19 307:13,16     310:2,12 331:25       98:10     262:19 277:20 281:23     290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1     323:20       183:21     321:8 327:10 329:6,12     risk       190:15     191:24 194:16 226:10     292:20       reversed     17:27 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12     124:22 0 334:25       96:15     332:9,14     124:220 334:25       reversion     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21     292:20       96:15     37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8       reverted     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21       97:6     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21       97:6     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21       97:6     42:14 48:6 225:18       30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9       27:19 283:24 284:3,14,16       285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>·</td>				·
324:13         187:9,14,21 188:21 189:9         244:1 245:10 247:5 251:6         running           return's         190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8         262:25 263:17 268:16         33:25 34:19 109:21 110:21           253:23         193:24 194:11 201:23         275:12,15 276:1,12,14         275:12,15 276:1,12,14         110:25 111:17 124:9           reveral         206:25 211:18 213:13         246:22 242:15,25 243:17         282:1 288:9,17 299:16         30:20 305:19 307:13,16         239:16 240:6 243:5           reversals         244:24 245:1,22,22 251:2         258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18         260:19 271:20 281:23         290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1         310:2,12 331:25         runs         8:5 108:12,13           reversed         260:19 271:20 281:23         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         7ising         323:20           reversion         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         7ipple         17:27 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12         7isk         191:24 194:16 226:10         292:20         158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         160:9 179:19 180:10,14         223:3,16         3ake         218:10         3ake         218:10         3ake         218:10         3ake         218:10         3ale         244:11 245:10 247:5 251:6         30:22 31:19,22         30:22 33:12         30:22 24:15         30:22 23:15         30:22 24:15         30:22 23:15         30:22 33:15         30:23 33:19	· ·			
return's         190:10 191:6 192:24 193:8         262:25 263:17 268:16         33:25 34:19 109:21 110:21           253:23         193:24 194:11 201:23         262:25 263:17 268:16         275:12,15 276:1,12,14         23:25 34:19 109:21 110:21           reveral         206:25 211:18 213:13         282:1 288:9,17 299:16         23:25 156:9 195:25 203:2           47:25         217:15 225:3 227:3,8         300:20 305:19 307:13,16         239:16 240:6 243:5           97:15         244:24 245:1,22,22 251:2         300:23 300:23 305:19 307:13,16         310:2,12 331:25           98:10         258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18         262:19 271:20 281:23         99:17 234:7 235:16         8:5 108:12,13           98:10         262:19 271:20 281:23         99:17 234:7 235:16         sising         8:5 108:12,13           183:21         31:4,10 315:2 318:13         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         risk         191:24 194:16 226:10         292:20           76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24         61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25         332:9,14         1:24 2:20 334:25         158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24           135:21         17 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12         27:1 30:22 31:19,22         27:0 31:19,22           197:6         42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21         84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13         10:3 141:7,16 170:2         184:14           191:24 198:16 225:18         23:14 18:18 25:23:23:3		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
253:23				
reveal         206:25 211:18 213:13         282:1 288:9,17 299:16         126:25 156:9 195:25 203:2           47:25         217:15 225:3 227:3,8         241:22 242:15,25 243:17         300:20 305:19 307:13,16         300:20 305:19 307:13,16         239:16 240:6 243:5           reversal         241:22 242:15,25 243:17         310:2,12 331:25         runs         8:5 108:12,13           reversals         258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18         99:17 234:7 235:16         s         8:5 108:12,13           98:10         262:19 271:20 281:23         7ise         99:17 234:7 235:16         s         8:5 108:12,13           reverse         290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1         323:20         risk         99:17 234:7 235:16         s         8.5         5.8p           reversed         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         7isk         191:24 194:16 226:10         191:24 194:16 226:10         292:20         rmr         1:24 2:20 334:25         robust         223:3,16         sake         218:10         sake         218:10         sake         218:10         sale           reverted         42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21         397:5 99:15 126:17 181:21         184:14         rolustions         101:3 141:7,16 170:2         136:2         244:11 272:3 324:1         sales           30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9         271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16         <				
47:25     217:15 225:3 227:3,8     300:20 305:19 307:13,16     239:16 240:6 243:5       reversal     241:22 242:15,25 243:17     310:2,12 331:25     runs     8:5 108:12,13       98:10     258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18     99:17 234:7 235:16     8:5 108:12,13       reverse     290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1     332:20     rising       183:21     314:4,10 315:2 318:13     7isk     191:24 194:16 226:10       296:15,10     32:8,12 10 329:6,12     191:24 194:16 226:10     158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24       160:9 179:19 180:10,14     223:3,16     sake       292:20     7isk     292:20     rmr       1:24 2:20 334:25     robust     218:10       295:15     27:1 30:22 31:19,22     24:11 272:3 324:1       135:21     37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8     27:1 30:22 31:19,22       135:21     37:23,394:18 96:13     37:5 99:15 126:17 181:21     101:3 141:7,16 170:2     384:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13       132:21 11 18 124 198:16 225:17 181:21     191:24 198:16 225:32:32     184:14     25:19 54:5 313:4			1	
reversal         241:22 242:15,25 243:17         310:2,12 331:25         runs         8:5 108:12,13           97:15         244:24 245:1,22,22 251:2         99:17 234:7 235:16         8:5 108:12,13           98:10         262:19 271:20 281:23         99:17 234:7 235:16         s           reverse         290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1         314:4,10 315:2 318:13         323:20         risk           183:21         314:4,10 315:2 318:13         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         risk         191:24 194:16 226:10         158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24           76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24         61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25         70:2				
97:15         244:24 245:1,22,22 251:2         rise         8:5 108:12,13           reversals         258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18         99:17 234:7 235:16           98:10         262:19 271:20 281:23         reverse         290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1         323:20           reversed         290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1         314:4,10 315:2 318:13         321:8 327:10 329:6,12         risk         131:22 147:18 148:6,7,14         148:17 156:14 157:13,21         158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         160:9 179:19 180:10,14         158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         160:9 179:19 180:10,14         223:3,16         sake         218:10         sale         244:11 272:3 324:1         sale         244:11 272:3 324:1         sales         30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9         271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16         285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
reversals         258:12 260:1,20,24 262:18 262:19 271:20 281:23 262:19 271:20 281:23 290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1 313:21 314:4,10 315:2 318:13 321:8 327:10 329:6,12 76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:1,10 7eversion 96:15 7evert 135:21 7everted 97:6 84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13 7everting 320:11         99:17 234:7 235:16 7ising 323:20 7isk 131:22 147:18 148:6,7,14 148:6,7,14 148:17 156:14 157:13,21 129:20 7isk 191:24 194:16 226:10 292:20 7ights 124:220 334:25 7isk 191:24 194:16 226:10 292:20 7ish 124:10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16 7isk 191:24 120:20 334:25 7isk 191:24 120				
98:10       262:19 271:20 281:23       rising       323:20         reverse       290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1       314:4,10 315:2 318:13       323:20       risk       131:22 147:18 148:6,7,14         reversed       76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24       rights       191:24 194:16 226:10       292:20       158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         reversion       332:9,14       ripple       1:24 2:20 334:25       robust       231:10       sake         reverted       42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21       97:6       84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13       101:3 141:7,16 170:2       sake       24:11 272:3 324:1       sale         reverting       97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21       191:24 198:16 225:18       101:3 141:7,16 170:2       184:14       role         320:11       191:24 198:16 225:18       52:19 54:5 313:4       52:19 54:5 313:4       285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15				
reverse       290:23 300:19 306:9 307:1       323:20         183:21       314:4,10 315:2 318:13       321:8 327:10 329:6,12       131:22 147:18 148:6,7,14         76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24       191:24 194:16 226:10       292:20         76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24       191:24 194:16 226:10       292:20         76:1 95:15       11:24 2:20 334:25       11:24 2:20 334:25         76:1 95:15       11:24 2:20 334:25       11:24 2:20 334:25         76:1 95:15       11:24 2:20 334:25       11:24 2:20 334:25         76:1 95:1 95:1 95:1 95:1 95:1 95:1 95:1 95		1 1		
183:21       314:4,10 315:2 318:13       risk       191:24 194:16 226:10       148:17 156:14 157:13,21         76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24       61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25       292:20       158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24       61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25       7mr       1:24 2:20 334:25         76:15       1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12       7mr       1:24 2:20 334:25         76:15       1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12       27:1 30:22 31:19,22         76:15       7mights       27:1 30:22 31:19,22         76:15       10:13 141:7,16 170:2       10:3 141:7,16 170:2         18:10       10:3 141:7,16 170:2       184:14         18:18       10:21 245:8 247:22 269:9         27:1 30:22 31:19,22       20:11         18:18       10:3 141:7,16 170:2         184:14       10:3 141:7,16 170:2         184:14       19:24 198:16 225:18         320:11       191:24 198:16 225:18         231:11 18:22 147:18 148:6,7,14         191:24 194:16 226:10       191:24 194:16 226:10         292:20       158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         10:3 141:18:17 156:14 157:13,21       158:4,13 159:1,10,20,24         10:3 141:7,16 170:2       184:14         10:3 141:7,16 170:2       184:14         10:3 141:18:10				•
reversed 76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:1,10 reversion 96:15 revert 135:21 reverted 97:6 reverted 97:6 reverting 321:8 327:10 329:6,12 rights 61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25 332:9,14 ripple 1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12 37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8 42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21 84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21 191:24 194:16 226:10 292:20 rmr 1:24 2:20 334:25 robust 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 role 52:19 54:5 313:4  191:24 194:16 226:10 292:20 rmr 1:24 2:20 334:25 robust 218:10 sale 244:11 272:3 324:1 sales 30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9 271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16 285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15				
76:6 93:15,19 94:23,24 96:1,10       rights 61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25 332:9,14       292:20 rmr 1:24 2:20 334:25 robust 23:3,16 sake 218:10 sale 24:11 272:3 324:1 reverted 97:6 reverting 320:11       1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12 7:2 robust 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 role 320:11       158.4,13 159.1,10,20,24 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16 sake 218:10 sale 244:11 272:3 324:1 160:9 179:19 180:10,14 223:3,16 sake 218:10 sale 244:11 272:3 324:1 sales 30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9 271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16 285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15				-
96:1,10 reversion 96:15 revert 1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12 135:21 reverted 97:6 reverting 320:11  61:7,14 299:7,15,19,25 332:9,14  1:24 2:20 334:25 robust 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21 191:24 198:16 225:18 231:11 18 25 232:3 25  rmr 1:24 2:20 334:25 robust 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 role 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 role 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 role 27:1 30:22 31:19,22 robustness 101:3 141:7,16 170:2 184:14 52:19 54:5 313:4		•		
reversion     332:9,14     1:24 2:20 334:25       96:15     ripple     robust       1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12     27:1 30:22 31:19,22       135:21     37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8     27:1 30:22 31:19,22       reverted     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21     101:3 141:7,16 170:2       97:6     84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13     101:3 141:7,16 170:2       184:14     184:14       reverting     101:24 198:16 225:18       320:11     191:24 198:16 225:18       231:11 18 25 232:3 25			rmr	•
revert 1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12 37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8 42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21 97:6 84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13 97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21 191:24 198:16 225:18 231:11 18 25 232:3 25 25 288:9 289:6 297:15 285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15 285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15	reversion		1:24 2:20 334:25	
revert       1:7 2:7 4:2 6:3 10:11 30:12       27:1 30:22 31:19,22         135:21       37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8       robustness         101:3 141:7,16 170:2       244:11 272:3 324:1         97:6       84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13       184:14         97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21       191:24 198:16 225:18       70le         320:11       191:24 198:16 225:18       52:19 54:5 313:4         27:1 30:22 31:19,22       244:11 272:3 324:1         30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9       271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16         285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15	96:15	ripple	robust	
135:21     37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8     robustness       135:21     42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21     101:3 141:7,16 170:2       97:6     84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13     184:14       reverting     97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21     184:14       320:11     191:24 198:16 225:18     52:19 54:5 313:4       244:11 272:3 324:1     sales       30:21 245:8 247:22 269:9     271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16       285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15			27:1 30:22 31:19,22	
reverted       42:16 46:3 73:10,24 74:21       101:3 141:7,16 170:2         97:6       84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13       184:14         reverting       97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21       role         320:11       191:24 198:16 225:18       52:19 54:5 313:4         320:11       231:11 18 25 232:3 25	135:21	37:22,25 38:15 41:1,8	robustness	
97:6     84:18 85:2,3 94:18 96:13     184:14       reverting     97:5 99:15 126:17 181:21     role       320:11     191:24 198:16 225:18     52:19 54:5 313:4       231:11 18 25 232:3 25     23:3 25			1	
7:5 99:15 126:17 181:21   role   271:9 283:24 284:3,14,16   285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15   285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15		T		
320:11 191:24 198:16 225:18 52:19 54:5 313:4 285:2 288:9 289:6 297:15				
731:11 18 75 737:3 75	320:11		52:19 54:5 313:4	
		231:11,18,25 232:3,25		298:6,8 299:13 300:10

#### [sales - seven]

sales (cont.)	sec (cont.)	seeing	sentence (cont.)
308:19	292:10,13 293:4,10 295:23	108:23 169:5 259:7	235:21 236:6 246:21 248:1
sample	296:5	seen	251:5 264:8,25 270:10,21
166:21,24	sec.gov	96:20 152:5 203:14 240:17	273:6,9,10,15,21 274:3
sarah	3:16,17,18	240:21,22 277:22	276:17,19 279:9 280:19,22
5:16	second	select	281:24 282:13 283:14,17
saw	15:16 20:3 23:5 27:3 33:1	309:21	286:8,16 287:22 288:1
261:2 282:2	42:10 47:20 87:4,18 109:7	selected	292:15,23 293:7,8 299:21
saying	137:24 138:17,25 140:17	103:21	302:21 304:1 305:13 308:5
35:11 70:11 98:4 115:8	156:22 160:21 161:15,16	selecting	308:16 310:11,17 317:17
126:10 132:1 135:13	161:23 162:18 169:15	131:13 139:3	318:11 322:6,11 324:3
169:21 193:16 204:17	172:10 174:25 182:18,19	selection	325:6
214:6 222:23 227:7,8	197:25 198:11 199:22		sentences
229:14 292:13 312:10	208:15 225:12 228:23	141:25 142:5,7 160:23	131:18 272:25 281:12
315:7,7 317:23,23 318:10	233:17,21 236:6 241:6	selections	separate
318:23 323:14	251:5,8 252:15,25 253:20	140:25	115:3 169:11 177:18 178:4
says			207:20 239:25 272:22
26:6 27:5 91:19 147:18	259:14 273:9,15 274:12	236:23 283:16,22 284:17	275:24 281:15 297:20
148:7 164:4 175:3 178:12	279:8 280:19 283:17 285:3	284:22 285:17 286:2	310:23 311:14 312:3,17
181:9 191:22 213:15 231:9	287:23 292:15 297:24	sellers	313:11
241:23 264:8 270:11	303:23 304:11,14 305:13	284:9 322:20 323:8	separately
281:24 283:15 286:16	317:17 319:8 325:3,24	selling	75:25 276:7
290:3 292:15 305:13	327:19 328:20 329:3	323:14,14,19 324:15	september
309:15 320:20,21 322:11	secondhand	sells	108:13
323:6	45:6	300:6,6	series
scaravello	sec's	semantically	34:10 35:19,20 36:7 75:2,4
4:8	22:4 40:25 41:7 42:16 43:5	188:25	76:1 80:13 89:14,22,25
scenario	44:3 45:3 46:3 75:1 76:19	seminar	95:8,21 97:1,18 101:3
98:7,14 250:14,16 252:10	84:17 85:1 90:4 99:9	83:21	119:21 120:6,13,23 121:8
252:15,16,24 253:1 254:9	126:10 231:17 232:2,24	sends	121:11,12 128:17 189:5
scenarios	233:8,19 234:12,23 235:12	47:4	served
250:13 252:2,9,23 253:6	291:7,10,12,22 292:24	senior	61:18 64:22
254:5,15 255:3,6	293:7	50:22,25 51:2	service
school	section	sense	6:5 221:22 318:18
83:3 209:9	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13	services
83:3 209:9 scientific	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11	<b>services</b> 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18 sets
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18 sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18 sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18 sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1 set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18 sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23 setting
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24 34:19 38:5 42:11,13 61:11	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13 84:3,6,20 85:6,9	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23 91:8 92:1 125:11 129:24	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10 133:23 134:22 176:22
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24 34:19 38:5 42:11,13 61:11 64:23 65:4,8 84:8,24 89:14	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13 84:3,6,20 85:6,9 security	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23 91:8 92:1 125:11 129:24 131:18 132:1 150:23	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10 133:23 134:22 176:22 206:9 216:4 255:1 320:12
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24 34:19 38:5 42:11,13 61:11 64:23 65:4,8 84:8,24 89:14 90:8 92:16 94:19 107:1	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13 84:3,6,20 85:6,9 security 45:21 68:12 69:6 71:4	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23 91:8 92:1 125:11 129:24 131:18 132:1 150:23 155:22 164:3 175:3 191:21	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10 133:23 134:22 176:22 206:9 216:4 255:1 320:12 settled
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24 34:19 38:5 42:11,13 61:11 64:23 65:4,8 84:8,24 89:14 90:8 92:16 94:19 107:1 126:15 233:5 234:18	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13 84:3,6,20 85:6,9 security 45:21 68:12 69:6 71:4 80:14,17 294:11 308:21,25	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23 91:8 92:1 125:11 129:24 131:18 132:1 150:23 155:22 164:3 175:3 191:21 192:5,8,12,12,15,23 194:3	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10 133:23 134:22 176:22 206:9 216:4 255:1 320:12  settled 62:12
83:3 209:9 scientific 309:22 scope 274:20 278:19,23 score 263:8 scott 4:4 se 124:2 searching 33:10 sec 6:4 7:10 8:2 12:5,6 18:5 21:6 22:1,19 23:14,15,24 34:19 38:5 42:11,13 61:11 64:23 65:4,8 84:8,24 89:14 90:8 92:16 94:19 107:1	19:5,18 20:7,17,23 21:8,22 21:22 22:7,17,25 88:3,6,14 89:9 91:12 143:20 149:5 186:11,13,14,15,16 234:12 234:22 236:11 245:11,23 256:8 270:9 272:19,21 284:2,3 286:9 290:13,16 291:18 292:2,7 295:23 297:13 299:22 sections 88:25 235:4,5 236:25 272:22 273:19 securities 1:4 2:4 3:7 10:10,10 43:6 44:4 45:10,22 62:2 64:4 70:4,5 82:21,25 83:2,5,7,13 84:3,6,20 85:6,9 security 45:21 68:12 69:6 71:4	49:21 69:14 72:3 92:13 93:13 94:17 104:2 124:5,11 132:3 199:8,16,17 205:7 206:7 222:3 230:3 249:19 266:24 267:1,16 269:4,24 282:5,6 284:21 285:16 288:19 297:1 298:2,17 307:8 311:5 senses 298:14 sensitive 185:2,5 sensitivity 160:16 161:10 sentence 21:8 87:4,10,11,15,19,23 91:8 92:1 125:11 129:24 131:18 132:1 150:23 155:22 164:3 175:3 191:21	services 12:16 36:19 37:2,8 46:18 49:5 50:13,17 51:12 53:16 55:1  set 26:2 28:4 29:5 36:12 61:13 93:24 94:8 104:18 106:2,7 112:22 121:24 149:5 150:2 236:13 245:11 248:6 250:4 250:6 258:7 279:3 334:18  sets 16:8 102:25 103:11 104:20 105:15 138:19 160:17,20 161:11 220:23  setting 69:16 78:11,16 85:1 95:10 133:23 134:22 176:22 206:9 216:4 255:1 320:12 settled

# [seven - sprostko]

			<b> </b> -
seven (cont.)	significant (cont.)	small (cont.)	speak
151:20 161:6 181:24	157:20 160:11 165:17	250:4,6	29:16 99:9 119:12 190:22
183:13 184:15 230:9	167:24 168:11 172:15,17	smaller	275:25
231:19,20 233:1 333:1	173:13,15 180:12,20	165:22 201:6,10,11,18,19	speaking
share	181:18 195:4 202:21 203:4		26:21 131:5 138:14 146:15
206:17,20 283:5 299:16	203:8,11,12,15,17 204:9,21	1:6 2:6 10:14	speaks
330:6,22	204:21,24 224:19,24	sold	76:7
shared	226:12 238:21 267:21	250:17 251:21 268:6,9,20	spec
270:20	310:21 322:14,19,25	269:5,8 322:21 323:8 330:4	
shareholders	significantly	330:6,21,23 331:5,6,15	specialist
293:20 294:3,16 295:17	280:12	solely	10:20
300:24 301:20	signing	307:24	specific
sharing	334:20	somebody	23:7 42:8 46:5 49:18 62:18
298:1	silly	47:3 203:15 220:11 263:23	63:4 64:19 65:1,3 67:3,11
sheet	108:9	263:23,24	67:15,18 72:10 75:17 78:19
240:14	similar	something's	79:4,16,19 80:3 93:22 95:5
shelf	184:18	217:20	99:2,3,15 118:15 126:14,14
131:20 133:20	simple	sorry	126:19 143:20 150:7
shereck	175:17	11:14 15:11 21:21 23:4	154:19 158:1 174:3 181:15
6:5	simply	38:25 41:9 51:7 66:7 87:16	182:11 195:2 212:8 245:19
shirt	26:24 101:17 103:5 176:19	87:24 106:23 107:17 121:5	258:3 268:21 272:24 285:7
51:7	193:13 265:1 294:21	128:9 129:19 139:15	specifically
short	317:16	145:14 161:3 168:19 173:8	84:14 103:1 169:7 272:25
76:3 92:11 93:12 95:18,19	sitting	185:23 187:24 191:19	specification
304:12	14:24 22:20 24:21 25:21	192:10 196:22 208:11	110:20 111:4 112:8 117:1
shorthand	27:22 28:12,16 35:24 39:13		117:12,24 123:22 148:14
88:13 334:11	39:15 40:3 46:14 48:7,9,23	286:10 296:11 302:4	173:7,19 246:7 257:4
show	52:9,18 62:18,20,25 64:1,5	303:14 308:8 309:15	322:15
93:20,21 95:3,4,20 96:4,5	70:12 80:1 81:16 86:21	320:24 325:2,14	specifications
97:7 150:15 176:4 197:7	94:5 96:11 102:24 103:2,7	sort	112:5 113:11 117:13
224:13 225:1,9 228:18	113:15 117:2 135:9 153:3	38:22 39:2 51:6 76:7 83:18	181:19 183:15 196:12
235:4 239:6 307:18	205:4 211:8 213:2,8 214:8	83:19 131:20 146:8 155:9	197:20 198:1,7 203:1 230:4
showing	214:22 215:9,15,23 216:1,2		310:18
149:21	216:24 258:3	211:22 260:20 271:20	specifics
shown	situate	273:16 275:12 277:24	253:23
108:2 238:9 321:13	283:9 314:1	320:11	speculate
shows	situated	sorts	174:4
195:3 309:17	293:18	153:13	speculated
side	situation	sounds	235:1
63:5 141:15 144:17 159:4	68:24 76:2 138:8 204:22	11:20 296:23	speculating
172:25 186:24 187:14,22	207:25 253:14	source	234:13
188:10 191:5,6 193:9 214:3		102:2,6,18 104:8 258:8,22	speculation
229:15 241:22 314:21	317:5	1	234:3
315:2		259:5,8	
	<b>skip</b> 234:22	Sources	speculative
sides		101:4,8,23 102:20,25	233:25 235:15
218:1	skipping	103:24 136:3 255:24,25	spell 50.21
significance	235:3 259:16 279:18	256:4,10,22 257:17 269:1	50:21
124:15,19,24 125:16 126:1	slightly	269:20,25 275:15 276:12	spike
200:24 202:25 205:4	312:2	276:22 278:3,7,11 282:3	287:19
213:16	slope	southern	spikes
significant	190:4 238:16	1:2 2:2 64:3	106:7,8
27:9 31:22 49:19 70:14	slow	space	spot
71:6,15 72:7,15,19 93:23	123:4 131:9 248:21 252:12	83:20,23 131:25 132:4,10	194:4 225:11 241:16
103:15 111:19 126:20	310:5	spanned	sprostko
144:6 148:3,13,21 149:25	small	80:12	5:21
150:8 151:25 153:19	65:2 123:2,6 127:4 165:16		

# [square - successful]

square	statement (cont.)	statistics	strongly
4:16 32:19,24 242:1,5,8,19	231:15,16 279:14 280:21	174:10 176:4 188:13	220:7
242:22 243:11,14 315:19	297:16	200:20 220:12,20	struggling
315:23 316:2,16 317:7,15	statements	status	278:6
318:6,16 319:4	75:3 90:2,8 93:10 181:22	17:25	studies
squared	268:17 275:14 276:16	stay	66:16 67:20 68:1,3 69:3,5,7
123:13 124:2,6,8,17,20	293:6 304:24	248:8	79:9 136:9 210:4
168:10 174:2,15 175:3,7,12	states	staying	study
176:7,14,20,23 177:3,9,16	1:1 2:1,20 3:7 74:19 155:22		66:14,21 67:6 68:10,14,23
177:22 178:1,4,18,25 179:4	192:13	stays	69:23 70:2,8,13,18,20,23
180:17 184:19 185:3,6	statist	207:23	70:25 71:2,5,9,12,13,25
190:8 232:21	238:25	steen	72:8,13,15 73:1,4,8,18
squares	statistic	5:5	74:17,19,20 76:11 78:23
123:16,25 174:5 176:20	185:8	stella	79:6 86:1 94:1 96:22 98:7
180:6 185:15 189:25	statistical	6:4	studying
190:19	52:24 73:13,23 74:3,4,8,12		116:16
SS	74:14 76:16 89:5,6,20	10:25	stuff
334:2	124:14,19,24 125:16 126:1		52:24
staff	132:8 142:23 143:2,8	218:22	subject
47:13 48:12 49:12,22 51:20		steps 74.44.70.5.405.0.454.4	1:12 66:9 160:23 267:10
52:3,6,11	152:25 153:10 154:5,20	71:14 72:5 105:9 151:1	292:1
standard	155:12,14,16 158:21 167:9	174:12,13 179:7 180:23	subjective
121:19 130:12 146:7	168:5 169:6,9 178:6 180:16		130:14 139:8 submission
157:12,17 185:8,10,16 189:13 199:12 200:23,25	181:16 182:6 199:7,16,23 200:9,15,23 202:25 203:20	288:16 311:9,17 312:6,21 313:14	86:14,20
201:6,11,19 202:9,13,17,19		stick	submissions
202:24 203:2 208:21 209:7	213:16 218:18,23 219:11	133:17	86:18
210:25 212:6,13,25 213:10	219:19,23 220:5 221:2,22	stipulations	submit
214:2,7,14,18,18,19 215:1	223:3,8 224:15 232:14	9:10	24:19 27:15,20
215:13,17,23 216:22 217:6	239:2 311:4 324:4,10	stock	submitted
217:8 290:10	statistically	69:13 70:4 80:17 257:24	24:6 67:5
start	27:8 31:21 70:14 71:6,15	294:8,8,10,13,22 295:20	submitting
18:20 82:23 83:22,22 113:1		stopped	28:14 34:2 35:6 105:10,13
113:5,17 168:20 171:6	111:19 113:13 126:20	66:3 286:1,3	107:21 108:20 111:21
207:10 302:2	144:6 148:2,13,21 149:25	store	112:11,25
started	150:8 151:25 153:19	81:3 82:7	subsection
36:21,23 169:13 199:15	157:19 160:11 165:17	strategy	19:18 20:13,25 21:12 23:8
211:12	167:24 168:10 172:15,16	236:17,19	290:22 297:12 298:7
starting	173:13,14 177:19 180:12	street	subsequent
100:24 111:7,22 169:23	180:20 181:17 183:5	3:12 4:17	332:7
211:16 256:9 280:10	193:15,18 194:10,25 195:4		substance
326:17	195:11,15 196:1,8,10 197:2		16:9 17:1 20:8 22:6 272:19
starts	197:4,17,22 198:3,8,12,18		294:23
111:11 125:10 226:4 254:9	199:9,13,24 200:3,11,16,17		substantial
280:1,1	200:19 202:10,21 203:3,4	78:12 79:1 84:1,2 87:24	49:7
stata	203:12,15,17 204:8,21,23	106:18 125:24 128:9	substantially
8:4 239:16	207:19 208:7 212:11 213:11 215:3 217:20	129:20 135:23 162:16	260:13,20
state		168:15,17 186:8 192:6	subtracting
11:25 116:19 155:8 162:23 233:17 234:11 251:3	218:23,25 219:5,25 220:14 222:20 224:4,8,18,24	195:23 213:14 230:17 269:3 282:11 307:13	194:16 226:10 228:24 229:1,14
278:17 284:7 334:1	225:16 226:12 238:21	312:16 314:23 326:22	succeeded
stated	267:20 310:20 311:2	strikes	236:9 320:21
311:13	322:14,19,25 324:6	238:10	success
statement	statistician	strong	236:19
17:5 43:2 106:9 114:7	52:21 199:10	129:18 295:11	successful
175:13 194:13 205:1			299:17 300:20 311:15

#### [successful - testify]

talk (cont.) successful (cont.) sure (cont.) tend 312:4 313:12 177:3 184:22 185:24 143:12,23 185:14 204:15 155:14 successfully 191:10 194:5 204:15 233:10 246:3 268:9 279:1 ter 236:17 208:16 213:7 214:12 279:24 282:16 283:19 188:4 sufficient 218:13 222:7 245:18,21,22 284:11,20 286:5 295:13 term 117:21,22 250:9 251:1 253:8 254:3 298:24 323:22 13:11,14,17 14:8,20 17:10 17:19 21:1 22:21 66:13 suggesting 255:14 259:6,15,19 264:5 talked 220:9 327:23 270:6 281:5 283:11 285:10 29:8,9 53:8 57:16 100:10 76:3 91:25 93:13 95:18,19 suggests 287:24 301:17 303:24 137:11 196:14 290:13 95:19 97:1 181:5 187:10,12 267:9 310:9 314:2 315:16 318:8 talking 187:16,23 188:1 189:5,6,8 17:23 20:18 23:1 35:11 suitability 323:4,5 325:16 329:14,15 189:11,13,16,17,21 190:2 73:8 surprising 42:1 62:19 68:20 69:10 190:14,20,22 191:1,3,5,9 121:21 136:15 308:19 71:23 92:20,21,25 93:5 191:14 193:8,11 201:16,18 suite 3:13 4:18 10:22 suspended 96:24 97:1 98:13 106:14 201:22 205:22,23 206:10 summarize 66:2 109:9 114:18 134:6 145:16 206:10 208:22,25 226:24 155:9 245:25 304:17 sustained 157:13 184:25 189:9,24 227:17,19,25 228:18 229:5 summarized 304:6 192:9 193:2,4,5 201:21 229:17 230:12,14,18 231:3 302:23 204:18 207:22 236:12 232:12 238:15,19 243:7 swear summary 11:2 238:17 245:24 247:5 253:9 266:23 267:3 286:17 288:2 125:11 150:15 304:23 253:10 255:11 257:6 289:18 295:3,19 304:12 sweeping sumner 176:21 260:17,18 262:1 269:17 305:17 316:8 317:11 4:16 271:9,18,23 275:11 281:10 | terminated sworn supervision 11:6 334:6 281:12 301:16 307:13 286:7 47:2,6 48:13 51:23 54:13 sylvester 314:13 termination 54:22 55:25 56:16 3:9 7:5 11:10,14,20,22 12:4 talks 286:4 supplement 13:25 14:3 15:14,20 16:1 177:3 235:15 320:10 terms 20:17 24:17 26:5 33:14 256:20 18:7,10,18 24:1 40:23 tasks supplemental 43:21,23 48:2,19 49:3 51:9 52:4,7 44:9 51:20,22 52:10 55:13 24:19 27:15,20 28:13,17 51:10 58:12,16,20 59:4,14 tatz 56:12 61:25 69:25 98:13 supplemented 60:3,14,18,19 61:4,17 5:6 112:4 115:1 123:16 140:12 156:13 87:18,21 107:3,7 122:3,14 taught 153:1 154:21 166:1 176:14 supplies 82:16 84:1,7,16 85:2 177:8,13 185:15 188:18 131:11 163:18,23 164:1,2 245:1 170:10 171:8,13,21,23 teach 198:25 202:12 207:5 67:25 84:3,4 247:14 251:3 256:4 269:11 supply 196:20,23 201:25 208:13 244:17 245:5,8 252:5,19,21 219:16 222:4,18 239:23 technique 285:19 289:9 292:1 294:23 258:22,25 259:9 261:11 240:3,15,19 248:22,24 74:4,8 120:1 121:7 130:12 301:19 326:3 328:21 329:4 269:23 280:7 281:14 266:4,11,21 291:1 296:14 130:12 132:8 133:8,21 terrible 325:10,18 297:10 302:6,9,15,20 techniques 39:2 319:18 325:16,17 329:10 74:12,14 130:15,16 test support 9:1 130:19 308:20 329:16 330:1,12,16 331:21 telephone 17:20 19:24 43:13 69:10 supported sylvesterm 3:15 4:7,20 5:9,20 76:16 89:20 90:13,16 99:8 166:22 3:16 tell 136:6 154:18 160:9,12 supports system 92:22 137:25 148:1,11 231:24 232:5,15 237:9 45:24 50:7 161:1,7,19 167:19 168:6 239:1,2 290:23 293:25 209:15 211:9 174:10 177:22 189:21,23 testable supposed t 327:18 196:24 214:19 216:5 182:2 table supposedly 220:14 272:7,14 276:6 tested 19:2 20:5 326:6,7 234:4 289:16 219:22 237:12 267:17 tables telling testified sure 185:9 239:15 148:12 221:15 11:6 41:7 113:22 224:25 17:13 19:11 29:2 32:4 33:6 taken 37:6 45:14 47:18 67:16 tells 316:10 2:16 12:8 59:23 122:9 69:20 74:24 79:25 92:24 138:7 148:2 177:10 178:19 | testifies 170:16 222:13 266:17 100:9 101:13,18 120:9 179:4 190:8,14,18 61:9 297:6 329:22 334:8 122:3 125:7,10 135:3,13 ten testify talk 171:16 334:6 143:4 162:19 163:12,18,21 64:17 76:24 77:4 79:22 20:20,21 22:16 23:21 83:17 167:5 171:8 172:5 173:10 83:22 120:17 134:16 143:4

# [testifying - traditional]

testifying	think (cont.)	time (cont.)	tokens (cont.)
12:12 37:16	116:18,25 117:2 118:23,23	49:19,20 59:12,19,24 63:1	114:21 115:6,8,9 116:3,13
testimony	119:10,14,23,24 123:22	75:23 76:18 80:9,12 89:17	119:6,17 121:8 152:12,12
27:25 28:1 35:4 56:10	127:17 128:6,13,15 131:17	89:18 90:4,10,21 95:2,8	154:7 160:22 161:1,5,20
61:16 97:21 224:7 281:6	135:9 137:15 154:23	97:15,18,19 98:6 99:12	165:1 169:2,3 170:2,4,5
318:9 332:24 334:10	161:17 163:5,6 165:11,12	101:2,16 102:11 103:4	174:8 184:1,12
testing	165:12,12,20 166:8 168:18	104:5 110:7 111:14 112:20	told
71:3 99:18 123:21 169:5	170:10 174:18 175:16,23	118:1,11 119:21 120:5,13	141:25 151:6
200:12 218:18 219:3,19	176:14 178:15 179:22	121:8,10,11,21 122:2,6,11	top
220:6,23 221:2,5,22 231:10	188:25 189:16 200:7 202:7	128:17 133:7 134:17,20	33:18 52:2 91:18 118:7
231:17,22,23 232:14,24,24	202:15 205:24 207:15,16	135:5 142:7 151:20 154:9	201:3 202:6,18 211:14
239:4 267:12,22,23 311:1	209:8 211:7,13,20 217:12	156:20 158:24 166:13	216:15 241:9,21 288:13
tests	219:12 220:3,9 221:4	170:9,13 171:3 172:5	289:2
69:15,16,21	224:25 225:5 227:2 230:10	181:24 183:20,24 184:12	topic
text	235:19 236:11 238:9,24	184:13 185:7 195:3 222:9	68:3 81:19,23 82:2,6,10,17
14:13,22	243:2 245:4,4 246:18	222:15 225:8 229:3 230:6	84:17 249:10
texts	250:21 261:2 262:19	232:20 237:11,21 238:11	topics
16:18	268:11 271:17,23 285:5,6	245:2 256:7 257:9 259:25	82:24
thank	289:23 293:3 298:13 299:5	1	total
11:8 15:25 18:9,13,17 24:4	301:19 304:10,17 305:25	268:15 279:22 284:22	273:11 281:14 287:7
59:19 107:3 122:6 131:10	309:1 316:3 317:11 319:1	285:17 286:20,23 287:4	289:14 317:17 318:19
170:13 171:21 222:9	320:25 321:7 328:4,6,6	289:25 295:8 296:9 297:4,8	
256:18 266:14 297:4	thinking	306:11 314:25 329:19,23	totally
319:10 329:16,19 332:16	55:16 79:9 98:10 146:8	332:17 334:8	221:8 262:2,7
332:18,22 333:3,4	195:21 245:7 258:19	times	traced
thanks	263:23	39:21,24 40:3,7 61:21	324:21 327:1,11,24
222:6 319:20	third	63:11,24 65:10 66:19,21,24	
thc	2:17 4:5 10:17 147:21	67:9,10 76:21,23 77:4	328:16,18
26:19,20,25 106:2,7 107:20 108:3,4 109:4,11,14,25	169:18 225:13 227:5 246:21 276:17 293:8	79:14 97:21 106:1 119:21 208:20	tracing 328:10,15
110:6 113:22 114:22 116:7		timing	track
theories	231:7,8	41:5,24 97:14 251:9 292:16	
44:18	thomas	title	trade
theory	6:5 10:19	19:18,22 50:24 51:1 172:8	169:23 316:3 317:19 318:2
21:6,13 22:1,5,19 44:11	thought	178:12 233:16	318:4,23
45:3 73:16 74:5 75:1 89:13	_	today	traded
89:20 99:14 126:11,18	184:9,13,15 225:1 263:24	12:13 22:20 24:21 25:21	69:8,12 70:4 80:16,17
152:5,6 181:20 183:12	304:9 312:13	27:22 28:12,16,22 29:4	169:14 246:13 279:10
231:18,18,22 232:2,25,25	thoughts	35:24 46:14 48:7,10,23	290:4
233:6,9,19 234:17	184:3 311:25	52:9,18 61:9 62:25 64:5	trader
thing	three	70:12 80:1 81:17 96:11	290:6
11:16 27:3 30:25 32:1,5	23:8 105:1,1 108:3,19	101:1 102:24 103:2,7	trades
33:12,14 35:12 38:23 39:3	109:9 138:9 139:7 158:9	104:24 113:15 117:2 135:9	245:14 305:15 306:10
92:25 106:15 127:12 171:6	198:7 231:7,8 281:13	153:4 174:18 183:1 196:11	318:24
181:10 211:12 226:19,23	308:12 328:18 329:7	211:8 213:2 215:9 219:4	trading
227:14 235:15 277:19	threshold	240:21,23 241:19 263:7	128:19 184:8 236:20 244:4
279:17 303:25	166:14 167:12,17 168:4	324:5 332:2,20	244:22 261:20 279:21
things	thursday	today's	280:4,6,11,13,24 288:12,17
26:16,18 27:11 94:19 245:6	111:8,17 113:17	332:24	288:18,24,25 289:3,4,7,9
think	tier	todd	295:19 311:22 314:9,17,18
21:16 22:20 32:3,12,16	33:18 288:13 289:2	4:10	314:19,21,23,24 315:1,5,6
33:1,2,3,8 36:16 41:17,20	tilt	token	315:8 316:4 317:6,18
43:12 55:15 69:13 70:25	15:21	106:2 107:20 108:6 110:11	318:19
72:25 84:11 85:4 92:24	time	168:12,19 184:7	traditional
97:11,21 101:4 102:24	38:4 41:2,22 42:7,18,19	tokens	290:10
105:6 110:20 114:6,7	44:22,23,25 46:21 49:12,14	77:22 83:9 110:1,5,7 114:9	

#### [transaction - value]

transaction	tuesday (cont.)	understand (cont.)	universe (cont.)
16:10 329:1	116:14,15 117:11,12 300:6	184:24 188:13 205:20	169:2
transcribed	turn	206:5,7 220:8 221:8,14	unrelated
334:12	19:1 86:22 107:13 129:19	224:7 228:20,25 229:13,14	176:9 235:10
transcript	129:21 137:21 140:13	240:20 241:20,25 242:13	unsuccessful
305:10	157:11 161:21 171:24	243:9 244:20 246:24	311:11
transcripts	174:23 185:25 227:1 228:5	251:25 254:4,25 261:15	unusual
27:23	237:2 243:23,24 264:1	264:21,24 277:1 281:5	106:6 136:14
transfer	286:15 291:13 292:14	289:22 293:6 297:21 299:4	ups
246:23 248:7 249:14,15	302:1 308:2 315:11	299:5 301:7 304:22 305:2	82:23 83:22,22
326:10,20	turned	311:19 312:9 315:8 316:18	usage
transferred	290:5,9	318:8 331:10,18	17:16 26:22
248:17 249:2,12 326:15	turning	understanding	use
327:7,16,24,25 328:25	86:3 112:24 142:10 172:13	13:5 14:7 16:19 30:11	22:15 32:19,24 34:10,12
transfers	173:24 182:16 241:21	37:10,13,19 48:7,9,22 49:1	77:19,22,24 78:4 84:11
246:21 324:20	243:8 248:1 272:5 273:21	51:18 62:14 106:9 144:18	92:15 93:4 101:4,22 102:17
translates	287:21 303:21	182:20 217:1 249:8 264:18	103:5 104:17 105:2,3 108:9
115:1	turnover	267:2 273:7,10,16 275:16	108:11 110:17 112:19
translation	290:11	275:23 276:25 277:2,6,16	113:1 116:14 121:25
190:6	turns	277:18,21 281:25 283:25	122:15 124:5 128:12,20
transpired	219:4	284:18 305:7 313:17 321:9	129:6 130:11 131:21 132:7
42:20	tweets	323:13 326:25	132:8,9,25 133:20 136:4
treated	90:3	understands	139:2,19,25 140:2,5 141:19
277:12,14 282:1,2,3	type	220:11	156:7 167:1 169:24 188:17
treating	30:13 70:3 100:2 146:7	understood	188:21,23,25 191:12 194:1
276:10	types	35:4 43:12,13 48:19 78:15	194:7 207:5 209:18 217:7
treatment	93:5 128:19	158:1 241:18 330:9	227:11 230:19 232:8,21
278:2	typewriting	undertake	234:5 239:22 247:4 250:2
tried	334:12	152:14	260:6 261:17 276:22 277:3
111:12 198:23	typical	undertook	283:17 300:4 313:1 315:22
tries	146:11,21 242:23 243:14	105:24 232:4 239:2	useful
136:17	typically	unexplained	184:14
trivial	68:23 121:14 136:17 147:5	180:25 192:2,21 194:23	uses
206:6 326:10	149:18	195:9 196:4 208:23 228:7	102:24 103:3,4 104:13
trouble	u	228:13,18 229:23,24	117:3,7 128:14 137:14
238:8 326:24	u.s.	231:12 237:15,25 242:23	139:17 141:18 166:9
true	31:4,5 64:3,3 108:6 157:16	243:15	182:21,23 257:22 304:18
76:4 88:12 112:25 122:18	179:20 287:14	unfolded	305:25
126:12 136:16 200:1 212:5	ultimate	101:16	utilize
212:24 214:1 215:20 216:8	54:14 309:11 329:4	uninformative	318:7
217:10,14,22 218:11,15,24	ultimately	168:3,4	utilized
219:10,13,13 220:13,15	301:24 328:16,18,21	unique	256:23 259:6
221:1,16 240:4 249:7	unclear	129:16	utilizing
278:19 279:14 280:8,15	304:9	unit	170:4
283:21 322:12 323:1 334:9	undercut	81:7 82:11	uvaydova
truth	293:7	united	6:4
334:6,7,7	underlying	1:1 2:1 3:7	V
truthfully	13:3 101:9 102:19 120:14	units	valid
12:12		31:5 259:4 261:4 263:11	220:4
try	underperforming 207:24	265:17 273:2,3,12 287:18	
18:3 74:24 144:2		297:19 326:15 327:1,6,10	<b>value</b> 81:3 82:7 177:21 200:5
trying	underpin 295:14	327:15,25 328:24 333:1	
33:8 125:23 135:16 190:3		universal	214:1 217:22 218:25
213:14 261:13 284:25	understand	167:20	243:11 257:22 263:3 267:6
tuesday	17:6 21:17 77:12 78:25	universe	300:25 301:12 306:13,15
110:21,22,25 111:1,8,10	123:11,19 133:24 136:17	161:8,19 165:1 167:13	307:6 309:19 327:13

142:12 144:3 164:16 179:3

# [values - words]

values	view (cont.)	walks	weight
108:5 215:19 216:8 217:10	87:25 90:4,12,15 96:19	256:9	116:7
217:14 219:10 243:6 250:3	97:2,4 99:5,6 109:13	wallet	weighted
259:24 260:5 262:21	118:19 119:15 129:8	326:10	114:5 115:2
263:13	133:18 135:7 139:18,22,23		weighting
van	142:20 157:24 160:3 161:4	325:25	116:3,13 141:9
50:22 51:25 53:15 54:15,24		want	weiss
57:4 100:1	176:3 199:13 205:25 211:1	15:5 39:1,3 40:1,2 41:21	5:15 13:8
variable	211:1,9 229:4 232:6 238:20	47:17 48:21 58:17 61:6	wells
138:12 173:1 177:14,14	238:24 249:17,18 260:3,9	62:10 63:12 71:10 89:2	86:14,18,19
178:2,9,16 179:2	260:10 263:4 270:24 293:5	92:19 97:23 107:5 118:8,9	went
variables	293:20 294:2,14 300:8	123:14,19 126:6 128:7	31:5 60:4 100:9,12 101:13
138:6,6	301:10,15 306:12,12 307:5	135:20 137:9 139:8 146:16	105:20 269:21
variation	311:8 320:8	161:16 163:21 172:2,19	we've
91:19 123:7 125:2 127:4	viewed	176:16 181:6 188:17,17,22	23:9 29:3 43:12 59:13
150:16 177:13 178:2,21	272:19	189:2 202:5,6,15,15,16	107:11 109:9 171:14
179:1,6 180:22 181:1 190:9		210:22 212:8 213:3,5,7	196:14 199:25 208:20
262:22	24:16 44:10,17 244:7 245:1		222:1 226:21 257:4,5
variations	303:18	217:12 232:21 239:6 244:8	316:25 331:25 332:2,5
180:4	violating	245:3,4 250:5,22 253:8	wharton
varied	47:18	254:3 255:14 258:10 266:2	5:15
260:12	violation	266:7 271:6,10 278:25	whereof
various	43:6 44:4 45:9	279:16 281:5 285:11	334:18
90:2,7 93:5,11 94:19	virtual	294:14,14,19,24 295:25	white
134:18 181:21 202:25	19:15 80:24 81:24 331:14	296:21 298:12,25 301:18	4:15 200:21
203:1 230:4 231:18 232:25		302:2 308:4 310:25 312:24	
234:8 235:11 236:2 269:20	75:21,25 170:1 237:21	317:14 318:8 319:1 323:4	167:6
284:1 310:17,18	visually	325:21 330:12,14,14	widely
vary	105:18 280:1	331:24 332:8	100:20 101:25 120:1
329:1	voice	wanted	159:22
velocity	270:22	32:1 53:13 55:12 100:2,11	window
280:4,5 288:6,10,18 289:8	volat	133:13,25 140:9 158:15	76:13 96:3 97:7 98:8 117:7
289:16,21,23 290:4 295:7	317:5	167:4,4,19 173:4 179:17	117:25 253:12
verify	volatile	184:6 234:3 323:11	withdraw
104:19 105:14 151:2	293:17	wanting	248:10
versus	volatility	183:23,25	withdrawn
68:21 76:2 86:1 96:9	149:20 189:18 198:17	wants	168:20
103:12,24 111:8 112:15 122:25	292:19 316:7,8 317:6,18 318:20	18:15	witness
		washington 4:19 5:8	7:2 9:3 11:2 15:13 18:14 37:3,9,21 39:6,18 40:12,20
<b>vesey</b> 3:12	volume 33:20 279:21 280:4,6,11		40:22 48:1,20 51:17 57:9
	1	<b>ways</b> 83:24 134:18 189:2	58:10 59:11 60:10 61:19,22
<b>vice</b> 50:25	288:12,13,24,25 289:3,10 314:9,17,19 315:1,10 316:2		63:25 64:10,23 65:5,8,9
video	316:4,4 317:6,18 318:19	131:23	66:17,22 67:7,22 78:8
6:5 10:20	volumes	wednesday	107:5 131:5,8 188:1 196:22
videographer	289:7	1:16 2:19 111:8,17,23	222:1,6 263:25 266:1,8
6:5 10:5 15:18 51:5 59:18		113:1,8,16 300:7	296:8 302:11,18 329:14
59:24 122:5,10 170:12	W	week	332:18 333:4 334:4,18
171:2 222:8,14 256:14	wait	44:24 97:13 110:24 112:4	witness's
266:13,18 296:12 297:3,7	162:18,18 174:25	116:24 117:10,23 129:18	334:10
329:18,23 332:19,22	waiting	weekend	word
videotaped	56:1,1	111:1,12 116:22	17:16,17 37:15 54:19 93:4
1:14 2:15 10:8	waived	weekly	106:8 150:13,22 268:8
view	334:21	31:13,22 34:1,20 96:5	269:7 291:20,21 294:10
22:24 35:23 45:13 70:9	walk		words
72:24 73:15,17 74:9 87:22	198:7 233:12	269:6	57:24 155:5 194:14 216:6

#### [words - zoom]

13:12 19:14 30:14 31:4

#### words (cont.) xrp (cont.) xrp (cont.) Z 277:12,14,20 278:2,9,10,14 zero 227:10 230:19 232:8 292:9 46:10,12,15 50:10,12 73:10 73:25 74:22 75:7,17 76:4 279:9 280:16 281:9,13,15 work 187:13 191:22 192:22 13:7 24:17 25:13,14,23 77:18 85:3,20 87:6,13 88:1 281:25 282:1,6,15,21,25,25 193:1,12,15,17,19 194:2,7 26:7 29:12,24 30:4,8 32:7 89:10,17 90:5,9,24,24,25 283:15,16,18,22 284:17,22 194:11,17,25 195:12,15 33:15 36:17,21,23 40:5 91:6,20 92:11,18 93:14,20 285:17 286:2,16 287:3,18 196:2,10 197:4,18,23 198:3 47:1 50:10 51:14,21 53:23 93:22 94:3,16 95:5,13,25 288:2,5,20 289:17,18,20,24 198:9,13,18 199:10,14 54:14 55:14 66:17,22 68:20 96:14,25 97:6,6,18,25 98:5 289:25 290:9 292:18,19 200:3,13 202:12,13 203:5 69:8,19 70:3 71:23 72:4 98:16,21 99:1,3,6,16 293:11,15 297:15,18,19,20 205:15,23 206:10,23 73:2 88:7 89:1 100:12 115:14 119:8,22 120:6,22 298:2,6,8,18 299:7 300:6,7 207:11,15,19 212:10,11 121:15 123:19 129:3,12,15 123:6 125:2,3,21 126:13,14 300:9,10 304:5,7 305:5,6 213:11,12 217:19,21 154:15 157:25 164:23 126:15,19 127:3,4 137:5,19 305:17,18,24 306:2,2,6,9 218:15,24 219:1,5,12,25 174:19 179:11,14,18,22 140:18 142:14,18,25 143:9 306:20,25 307:4,6,7 308:20 220:15 221:1,16 224:5,9 195:17,18 209:12 212:20 143:13,15 144:7,11,12,13 308:25 309:9,17 310:3,14 225:17 250:14 251:25 215:15 216:10,17,18 223:7 144:22 145:1,10,22 146:3 310:22 311:17 312:7,22 252:3,5,10,10,15,15,19,21 223:13,25 224:13,25 147:12 148:4,15,17 149:6 313:15 314:20 316:15,23 253:16 254:2 255:5 311:3,4 230:10 239:18 268:3,25 149:10,23 150:3,7,16,17,25 318:16 321:4 322:21,21 zoom 272:22 273:19 276:21 323:8,15,19 324:20 326:16 151:2,3,8,12,14,15,22,23 12:7 15:12 278:4 281:19 299:22 151:25 152:2,13,15,22,23 326:21 327:1,6,10,16,23,25 325:23 326:1,5,12 332:8 152:24 153:1,5,7,12,17,21 328:25 worked 153:24 154:6,11,19,21,25 xrp's 12:19 38:15 40:7,18 51:3 155:13 156:1,2,6 157:3,6 90:17 163:3 180:23 234:6 54:1 56:18 158:21 159:5,9,14,23 160:1 234:13 235:1,2,6 236:5 160:12,13 162:23 164:5,15 252:24 253:1 262:3,7 working 267:13,14,24,25 283:4 22:2 47:5 48:12 49:22 164:17 165:7,19 167:11 171:18 195:14 200:22 168:3,14,21 169:7 172:1,8 299:20 307:18 312:18 210:18 254:21 296:4 176:1,8 177:15,19 178:7,7 321:15 works 178:12,16,21 179:6,8,23 У 328:2 180:3,16 181:1,15,25 182:4 yeah world 182:7,13 187:8 190:9 191:1 20:4 41:9,12 43:10 54:1 157:14 223:17 191:2,4,25 192:1,20 194:16 109:8 115:2 121:6 135:17 worried 194:17,22 195:2,6,8 196:3 137:10 145:15 146:1 127:23 198:16,16 199:3 205:15 152:20 156:23 163:13,23 worse 222:22 223:12,16,22 169:16 172:2 175:1 178:24 224:10,16,21 225:15,24 205:24 206:1 197:9 202:5 214:9 226:6 worth 226:9,11 228:6,12,21,24 228:10 239:12 245:24 294:22 300:6,7 303:24 229:10,24,24 230:8,25 246:2 256:3 258:2,18 266:8 322:7 231:21 232:4,17,19 233:2 279:20 280:10 285:9,9,13 233:20,25 234:5,16,25 write 291:16 297:1 301:3 302:17 250:1 272:25 273:22 235:9,10,12,16,22,24 236:3 322:8 323:3 328:9 282:12 288:3 236:8,9,16,17,19,20,21,23 year writing 237:5,12,14,20 238:1,20 36:22.22 65:24 75:5 129:7 67:25 242:24 243:15 244:2 247:9 156:21 161:6 181:24 writings 247:11 248:8,10 249:12,23 183:13 184:15 230:9,9 68:9 250:4,15,16,18 251:6,7,9 231:19,20,20 233:1,2 268:6 written 251:10,17,20,21 252:6,19 years 58:24 82:13 309:10 254:6,9 255:19 258:5,7,8 40:15 62:1 64:7 118:5 258:24 259:1,2,4,7,10,11 wrong 119:24 151:21,21 265:11 259:24 260:4,7,10,12 261:3 308:8 268:20 287:4 261:4,12,20,21 262:8,17,18 wrote yen 55:19 210:19 248:6 262:24 263:3,11 264:9,15 157:16 179:20 223:18 264:20 265:14,16,21,22 X york 268:6,14,20 269:5 270:14 1:2 2:2,17,18,21 3:8,14,14 xr 271:9,12,13,19 272:3 273:2 282:7 4:6,6 5:19,19 10:17,18 273:3,12,14,23 274:10 xrp 69:12 70:4 334:1,3 275:20 276:2,7,9,23 277:7